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I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Project Team

County of Monterey
Meg Clovis, Cultural Affairs Manager
Monterey County Parks Department
855 E. Laurel Drive, Building G
Salinas, CA 93905

California Office of Historic Preservation
Marie Nelson, State Historian II
Surveys & Contexts/CLG Coordinator
California Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816

PAST Consultants, LLC
Seth A. Bergstein, Principal, Project Manager and Historic Context Statement co-author*
Paige J. Swartley, Esq., Principal, Historic Context Statement co-author*
916 Union St., #304
Alameda, CA 94501

* Seth A. Bergstein and Paige J. Swartley both meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualifications Standards in Architectural History and History.

B. Funding

Under the auspices of the Certified Local Government (CLG) program, the federal government and the County of Monterey jointly funded this Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook. The 1980 amendments to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 created a CLG program to encourage local governments’ direct participation in identifying, evaluating, registering and preserving historic properties and integrating preservation concerns into local planning and decision-making processes. California’s CLG program is a partnership among local governments, the California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) and the National Park Service, which administers the National Historic Preservation Program. The total project cost for this Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook was $30,500. OHP awarded Monterey County a $25,000 CLG grant for the 2010-2011 CLG funding year and Monterey County contributed an additional $5,500 towards the project. The grant period for this project was October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.
C. Project Description

1. Project Summary

The Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook synthesizes three historic context statements devoted to historic agricultural resources in Monterey County’s North County Planning Area, Salinas Valley and South County Planning Area: PAST Consultants, LLC’s Historic Context Statement for Agricultural Resources in the North County Planning Area, Monterey County (2010); Clark Historic Resource Consultants, Inc.’s Agriculturally Related Historic Resources Located in the Unincorporated Areas Between Salinas and Soledad, Monterey County, California, Phase I (2000) and Phase II (2001); and Galvin Preservation Associates, Inc.’s Monterey County Parks Reconnaissance Survey and Context Statement of Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area (2009).

One of the biggest challenges in saving historic resources is answering the question “What do we preserve and why?” Developing a historic context statement is the first step towards helping citizens and municipalities understand the significance of specific historic resources and to prioritize their preservation. The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Preservation Planning defines three primary standards for historic preservation:


Historic context statements are the finished product of Standard I and provide the foundation for governmental agencies to implement Standards II and III: prioritizing the identification, evaluation, registration and treatment of certain historic properties and making the process an integral component of land use planning.¹

National Register Bulletin Number 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation defines historic contexts as “historical patterns that can be identified through consideration of the history of the property and the history of the surrounding area.”² National Register Bulletin 16A: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form is a little more specific, defining a historic context as:

Information about historic trends and properties grouped by an important theme in the prehistory or history of a community, State, or nation during a particular period of time. Because historic contexts are organized by theme, place and time, they link historic properties to important historic trends.3

To place a resource within its historic context, evaluators must identify the resource’s period of significance and the historic theme it represents. The period of significance is the “span of time in which a property attained the significance for which it meets” the relevant local, California Register or National Register criteria.4 A historic theme “is a means of organizing properties into coherent patterns based on elements such as environment, social/ethnic groups, transportation networks, technology, or political developments that have influenced the development of an area during one or more periods of prehistory or history.”5 By focusing on place, time and theme, historic context statements explain how, when, where and why the built environment developed in a particular manner. They describe an area’s significant land use patterns and development, group the patterns into historic themes, identify the types of historic properties that illustrate those themes, and establish eligibility criteria and integrity thresholds for registering historic properties on national, state or local registers of historic properties.

This historic context statement addresses the following place, time and themes:

**Monterey County:** Monterey County is a large, geographically and geologically diverse region of California. This diversity strongly influences the type of agricultural pursuits that have occurred there over the past few centuries. Recognizing this diversity, Monterey County commissioned three separate historic context statements to uncover the agricultural history of the North County Planning Area, Salinas Valley and South County Planning Area. The North County encompasses about 72,720 acres of the southern Pajaro Valley and the northern Salinas Valley, including the communities of Castroville, Moss Landing, Prunedale, Pajaro, Las Lomas and part of Aromas. The Salinas Valley survey area focused on unincorporated areas in a limited region between Salinas and Soledad, covering about 271,349 acres and the communities of Salinas, Spreckels, Chualar, Gonzales and Soledad. The South County Planning Area encompasses approximately 819,840 acres, including the communities of San Lucas, San Ardo, Bradley, Jolon, Lockwood, Parkfield, Hames Valley, Priest Valley, Peachtree Valley, Bryson and Hesperia. The previous historic context statements did not cover the communities of Greenfield and King City, but the Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook includes them.

**Pre-History to 1960:** The agricultural history chapter reviews the settlement of Monterey County by time period, discussing the Ohlone, Esselen and Salinan people (ca. 5000 B.C.-ca. 3 U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Register Bulletin 16A: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1997), 4. 4 U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Register Bulletin Number 16A: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form, Appendix IV, 3. This appendix provides a useful glossary of National Register terms. 5 U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Register Bulletin Number 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation, 8.
Agricultural development occurred in four major periods: the Spanish Period (1769-1822), the Mexican Period (1822-1848) and American Settlement (1848-1960). Other books and reports discuss the Salinan, Esselen, Ohlone, Spanish and Mexican periods in great detail, so this historic context statement focuses on agricultural developments during those periods that have left an imprint on the cultural landscape. The Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook focuses primarily on extant properties from the American period, because they constitute most of what remains in today’s built environment.

Theme Summary: The themes that tell the story of Monterey County agriculture are: Extensive Agriculture; Intensive Agriculture; Corporate Agriculture; Agricultural Colonies; Processing and Distribution; and Community Development. Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds discusses these themes in detail, focusing primarily on extant historic properties. Many ethnic and cultural groups have played a significant role in Monterey County’s agricultural history, including the Irish, Chinese, Japanese, Italians, French, Danes, Croatians, Swiss, Dust Bowl migrants, Filipinos, Mexicans and many others. Their contributions are discussed throughout the historic context statement.

2. Project Objectives

The objectives of the Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook are to:

- Establish significant events and locational patterns in the agricultural development of Monterey County up to 1960.
- Organize Monterey County’s developmental events and patterns into a group of themes that represent agriculture-related resources developed up to 1960.
- Provide a guide to agricultural buildings, structures and objects in Monterey County.
- Provide examples of associated property types within each theme, focusing on extant historic properties.
- Provide eligibility and integrity thresholds for purposes of surveying and/or nominating historic properties to national, state and local registers of historic resources.
- Identify preservation priorities and suggestions for further research.
- Suggest a methodology for evaluating historic agricultural resources throughout California.

3. Project Methodology

This project relies heavily on information contained in the North County, Salinas Valley and South County agricultural historic context statements that Monterey County commissioned between 2000 and 2010. PAST synthesized historical and property information from those three historic context statements and where information gaps appeared, PAST performed additional research. PAST then developed a list of historic themes that convey the context within which Monterey County’s agricultural resources developed. To link the historic themes with extant
properties, PAST categorized the agricultural properties identified in the three previous historic context statements. Where gaps existed, PAST conducted field reconnaissance surveys to identify additional extant properties. PAST developed a comprehensive list of associated property types and their eligibility criteria and integrity thresholds for each property type. After evaluating agricultural properties from throughout Monterey County, PAST developed a guide to agricultural buildings, structures and objects to assist property owners and Monterey County staff in identifying those resources. Based on discussion held during meetings of the Agricultural Study Group, convened by the State Office of Historic Preservation, PAST developed an addendum discussing how to apply agricultural evaluation criteria statewide.

a. **Historical Research:**

PAST prepared this historic context statement under professional standards established by the U.S. Department of the Interior, California State Office of Historic Preservation and professional historic preservation practice. PAST conducted historical research at the following repositories:

- Agricultural History Project, Watsonville, California
- California Agricultural Workers’ History Center, Watsonville Public Library, Watsonville, California
- California History Room, California State Library, Sacramento, California
- North Monterey County Chamber of Commerce, Castroville
- John Steinbeck Library, Salinas, California
- Monterey County Agricultural and Rural Life Museum, King City, California
- Monterey County Historical Society, Salinas, California
- Monterey County Library, Aromas Branch, Aromas, California
- Monterey County Library, Prunedale Branch, Salinas, California
- Pajaro Valley Historical Association, Watsonville, California
- San Antonio Valley Historical Association
- Sonoma County Library, Petaluma, California

b. **Field Reconnaissance Survey:**

While preparing the North County historic context statement in 2009-2010, PAST conducted a “windshield” or “reconnaissance” survey of the area to (1) locate properties that represent the historic themes illustrating the North County’s agricultural history, (2) determine the physical condition of the properties, and (3) develop a set of eligibility criteria and integrity thresholds for each property type. After studying the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) 523 property survey forms in the Salinas Valley and South County historic context statements, PAST also visited some of these properties. Where information gaps existed, PAST conducted additional reconnaissance surveys. In most cases, PAST surveyed properties visible from public roads only. Few roads traverse Monterey County’s agricultural areas and many large properties are not easily visible from the road.
c. **Limitations:**

The *Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook* does not provide a complete agricultural history of Monterey County, list every crop ever grown, describe every ethnic group that worked in local agriculture, or identify everyone who owned, labored on, designed, constructed or inhabited historic agricultural properties up to 1960. Rather, it provides a global look at agricultural properties by establishing broad historic trends and patterns that influenced the county’s agricultural development, organizing the historic context into themes, and illustrating those themes with property types and extant properties.

Monterey County’s agricultural history is inseparable from that of the Central Coast; therefore, this historic context statement includes information that is relevant to the whole region. To fully understand the area’s agricultural history, public agencies and other organizations in Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito counties should recognize and emphasize the interconnectedness of the region. Nonprofit organizations like the Agricultural History Project and the Pajaro Valley Historical Association, both located in Watsonville, already emphasize those connections. When setting future preservation priorities and making land use decisions, municipalities should also explore cooperative historic preservation and educational efforts and recognize that decisions made on local and countywide levels have a regional impact.

4. **Project Meetings**

During this project, PAST participated in numerous project meetings with Meg Clovis, Cultural Affairs Manager for the Monterey County Parks Department; members of the Monterey County Historic Resources Review Board (HRRB); and Marie Nelson, the Certified Local Government Coordinator for Surveys and Contexts at the California Office of Historic Preservation.

On November 5, 2010, we traveled to Salinas to meet with Meg Clovis and tour historic agricultural resources in the Salinas Valley and South County areas of Monterey County. On November 24, 2010, we participated in a conference call with Meg Clovis and Marie Nelson, the Survey/CLG Coordinator at OHP. We reviewed the purposes and content of the AREH; relevant background materials, including federal and state guidance for preparing historic context statements; work products that will be submitted during the course of the year-long project; deadlines; payment arrangements; and procedures for submitting inquiries and documents.

On December 16, 2010, we met with Meg Clovis and HRRB members Kent Seavey and Judy MacClelland to discuss the draft table of contents, historical research and potentially significant agricultural properties. We also discussed research materials, repositories, and individuals who could provide relevant information about Monterey County’s agricultural history. In July 2011, we toured Monterey County agricultural resources with Kent Seavey. In August, we presented a progress report about the project at an HRRB meeting. The final progress report and submittal of the 100% AREH occurred at an HRRB meeting on September 1, 2011.
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II. INTRODUCTION AND AGRICULTURAL DEFINITIONS

A. Introduction

Monterey County has been an important agricultural center since the 1800s, supplying food and other agricultural products for local, regional, national and international markets. Many factors have contributed to the local agricultural economy’s ongoing success, including a temperate Mediterranean climate; fertile and highly productive soils; relatively open landscape; large Spanish and Mexican land grants, which made big farm parcels possible; reclamation and irrigation projects; the adaptive and plentiful workforce, including many different ethnic groups who arrived in successive stages of immigration; access to major transportation and distribution networks; and a willingness to experiment with new crops and products. The crops, technology, distribution methods and labor force have changed over time, but reaping the bounty of the land remains a proud tradition in Monterey County.

To understand Monterey County’s agricultural history, it is important to place its evolution as an agricultural center in context. This historic context statement explores the principal geographical, geological, environmental, economic, cultural, social, political, governmental, technological and other factors that have affected the region’s development, shaped land use patterns, and influenced the creation of cultural landscapes and the built environment. It also identifies important property types associated with particular facets of history, explains why those property types are important, shows how they illustrate the relevant historic context, and describes the characteristics properties must retain to convey their historic significance.

It is also important to understand relevant terminology. This chapter defines agricultural terminology. The next chapter defines historic context statement terminology as well as historic resource identification and evaluation terminology, particularly focusing on rural properties. It also describes the national, state and local registration criteria for historic resources.

B. What is Agriculture?

1. Monterey County Code Definitions

To understand the types of historic agricultural resources located in Monterey County and why they might be worthy of preservation, it is important to define “agriculture” and related terms. Local decision-makers will rely in part on the Monterey County Code (MCC) to protect these resources, so this historic context statement uses the MCC’s definitions of “agriculture,” “agricultural operation,” “agricultural support service” and “agricultural processing plant.” The

---

definitions are a useful starting point, yet the full breadth of what is meant by “agriculture”
cannot be captured within a simple definition, just as the meaning of “food” is infinitely broad.

Generally, agriculture encompasses a wide range of activities related to managing plants and
animals for human use. The MCC defines agriculture as “the art or science of cultivating the
ground; harvesting of crops; rearing and management of livestock; tillage; husbandry; farming;
horticulture; and forestry science and art of the production of plants and animals useful to man;
and wildlife management.” In other words, agriculture is intimately tied to the natural
environment: soil, water, nutrients, climate, geography, geology, animals and plants. The built
environment is also critical. The business of agriculture requires facilities and infrastructure
devoted to planting, cultivating, processing, packing, distributing and consuming agricultural
products. Perhaps most importantly, no agricultural product would reach consumers without
people playing hundreds of roles in different businesses: farm ownership and operation,
cultivation, irrigation, transportation, processing, packing, storage, marketing, machinery
manufacture and sales, chemicals, seed production, banking, financing, agricultural extension
services, research, governmental oversight, groceries, roadside stands, farmers markets and other
support services.

Under the MCC, an agricultural operation includes cultivating and tilling soil; dairying;
producing, cultivating, growing and harvesting agricultural commodities including horticulture,
timber, apiculture, livestock, fish, or poultry; and cultural practices associated with farming
operations, such as preparing goods for the market, delivering goods to storage, delivering goods
to the market, or delivering goods for transportation to the market.

The business of agriculture requires many types of physical facilities. Under the MCC, an
agricultural support service is typically located on or close to a farm. It is a “necessary and
accessory facility principally established to serve on-site farming or ranching activities” and
“relies on the on-site agriculture as its major means of support.” Support facilities include
without limitation coolers, cold storage, loading docks and shops. An agricultural processing
plant is a broader term that includes any structure, building, facility, open or enclosed area, or
other location for “refining, treating, or converting agricultural products where a physical,
chemical or similar change of an agricultural product occurs.” Examples include coolers,
dehydrators, cold storage houses, hulling operations, wineries and facilities for sorting, cleaning,
packing and storing agricultural products in preparation for sale or shipment. Some facilities can be classified as both an agricultural support service and an agricultural processing plant, such as coolers and cold storage facilities.

2. Types of Agriculture

The MCC’s definitions of agriculture and related terms provide a framework for understanding the types of historic resources that convey Monterey County’s agricultural history. In addition, the agricultural industry uses specific terms to classify farming methods, reflecting the level of labor, money and technology required to modify land and produce agricultural products.

Agriculture is divided into two primary types: extensive and intensive. Extensive agriculture tends to utilize large parcels of land and limited labor, whereas intensive agriculture generally requires an acute level of effort on smaller parcels. More specifically:

**Extensive agriculture** or **extensive cultivation** relies on existing technology to cultivate the land and uses a low level of labor and capital relative to the size of the farmed area. Examples of extensive agriculture include cultivating grains (e.g., wheat and barley) and raising livestock. For much of the nineteenth century, Monterey County farmers primarily conducted extensive agriculture operations. They focused on growing “staple” crops that would feed both humans and animals, but they also lacked the technology and labor required to cultivate intensive crops.

**Intensive agriculture** or **intensive cultivation** produces or increases crop yields by applying a relatively high level of labor, capital and technology. Examples of intensive crops grown in Monterey County include artichokes and strawberries, which require large labor pools and significant irrigation and technical expertise to produce. The phrase **truck crops** is an umbrella term that typically indicates the products of intensive agriculture. Examples include high-value specialty crops like fruit and vegetables that are transported on trucks, the preferred mode of local and regional transportation after the 1920s.

**Specialization, specialty crop agriculture, single-crop farming** or **monoculture** was a major development in American agriculture. As fruit and vegetable growers discovered which crops grew best in particular locations and as their production and marketing costs increased, farmers moved towards **intensive specialization**, focusing on one crop. To allay risks, growers adopted advancements in breeding, fertilizing and pest management, as well as marketing and politics.¹³

**Industrial agriculture** means specialization on many levels: crop specialization; labor specialization (laborers trained to perform a single task such as harvesting crops versus a single

---


family performing all labor on their family farm); and the complete commercialization of farming. It also requires close connections between growers, labor, scientists, investors, marketing agencies, regional markets, governmental regulators, businesses and consumers.\textsuperscript{14}

Monterey County agriculture followed the general trend found elsewhere in California: extensive agriculture preceded intensive agriculture. The financial, labor and technological limitations of early settlers restricted agricultural production to raising animals and crops that satisfied the local population’s needs. As the population increased and more money was available, workers and technology arrived in Monterey County, farmers transitioned from extensive to intensive agriculture. To read the cultural landscape and understand how it changes over time, we must recognize that farmers use land differently for extensive and intensive agriculture, modifying the natural and built environment to facilitate their specialized agricultural production.

\textit{Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds} integrates these terms into the historic themes that convey the significance of Monterey County’s agricultural resources. Two of the historic themes, Extensive Agriculture and Intensive Agriculture, reflect the historical division between the two major types of agriculture.

\textsuperscript{14} Stoll, \textit{The Fruits of Natural Advantage}, xiv.
III. IDENTIFYING AND EVALUATING MONTEREY COUNTY RESOURCES

This historic context statement provides the general framework for identifying Monterey County’s agricultural resources and evaluating them for historic significance and historic integrity. This chapter describes how the process works and outlines the basic format of the rest of the document. First, it describes how historic context statements are organized. Second, it provides eligibility criteria for listing properties in the federal, state and local registers of historic resources. Third, it defines historic integrity and integrity thresholds. Fourth, it defines different types of cultural landscapes (including rural historic landscapes, like those found in Monterey County) and describes landscape characteristics. Fifth, it describes how Chapter 5, the themes chapter, addresses property types, landscape characteristics and integrity thresholds.

A. Historic Context Statements

One of the biggest challenges in saving historic resources is answering the question “What do we preserve and why?” Historic context statements help provide some answers. They identify the geographical, environmental, social, cultural, political, governmental and technological factors that influenced land use patterns and shaped the cultural landscape. They classify those historical developments into themes and identify associated property types that illustrate each theme. Finally, they provide guidance for determining which resources possess historic significance and historic integrity and are therefore eligible for listing on historic registers. All of this information helps to establish what we preserve and why, providing the historic context within which individual resources can be evaluated using criteria from the National Register of Historic Places, California Register of Historical Resources, Monterey County Local Official Register of Historic Resources and other applicable registers.

From a preservation planning perspective, municipalities and citizens use historic context statements to help them understand the significance of specific historic resources so they can make informed decisions about preserving them. The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Preservation Planning defines three primary standards for historic preservation:

Historic context statements are the finished product of Standard I and provide the foundation for governmental agencies to implement Standards II and III: establishing historic preservation priorities and integrating those priorities into local land use planning.¹⁵

National Register Bulletin 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation defines **historic contexts** as “historical patterns that can be identified through consideration of the history of the property and the history of the surrounding area.”¹⁶ National Register Bulletin 16A: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form is a little more specific, defining a historic context as:

> Information about historic trends and properties grouped by an important theme in the prehistory or history of a community, State, or nation during a particular period of time. Because historic contexts are organized by **theme, place and time**, they link historic properties to important historic trends.¹⁷

To place a resource within its historic context, evaluators must identify the resource’s period of significance and the historic theme it represents. The **period of significance** is the “span of time in which a property attained the significance” for which it meets the relevant local, California Register or National Register criteria.¹⁸ A **historic theme** “is a means of organizing properties into coherent patterns based on elements such as environment, social/ethnic groups, transportation networks, technology, or political developments that have influenced the development of an area during one or more periods of prehistory or history.”¹⁹ Lastly, an **associated property type** is defined as “a grouping of individual properties characterized by common physical and/or associative attributes.”²⁰ The associated property type is the physical evidence present on the landscape that illustrates the historic theme, which in turn illustrates the historic context.

By focusing on theme, place and time, historic context statements explain how, where and when the built environment developed in a particular manner. They describe significant land use patterns and development, group the patterns into historic themes, identify the associated property types of historic properties that illustrate those themes, and establish **eligibility criteria**

---


¹⁸ U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, *National Register Bulletin Number 16A: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form*, Appendix IV, 3. This appendix provides a useful glossary of National Register terms.


and integrity thresholds for listing properties on national, state or local registers of historic resources. Eligibility criteria, historic integrity and integrity thresholds are discussed below.

B. Eligibility Criteria

Historic resources may be designated on the federal, state or local level. Generally, to be eligible for listing, a resource must be historically significant and retain enough historic integrity to convey that significance. The criteria for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, California Register of Historical Resources and the Monterey County Local Official Register of Historic Resources are described below.

1. National Register of Historic Places (NR)

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 authorized the Secretary of the Interior to create the National Register of Historic Places. Districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering and culture are eligible for listing if they meet at least one of four criteria. Eligible resources are those

A. That are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or
B. That are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
C. That embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
D. That have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Eligible resources must also retain sufficient integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association to convey the relevant historic significance. The seven aspects of integrity are described later in this chapter.

In general, cemeteries, birthplaces, or graves of historical figures; properties owned by religious institutions or used for religious purposes; structures that were moved from their original locations; reconstructed historic buildings; properties primarily commemorative in nature; and properties that have achieved significance within the past fifty years are considered ineligible for listing in the National Register. However, such properties will qualify if they are integral parts of districts that do meet the criteria or if they fall within the following categories:

(a) A religious property deriving primary significance from architectural or artistic distinction or historical importance; or

22 36 C.F.R. § 60.4.
(b) A building or structure removed from its original location but which is significant primarily for architectural value, or which is the surviving structure most importantly associated with a historic person or event; or  
(c) A birthplace or grave of a historical figure of outstanding importance if there is no appropriate site or building directly associated with that person’s productive life; or  
(d) A cemetery which derives its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, from age, from distinctive design features, or from association with historic events; or  
(e) A reconstructed building when accurately executed in a suitable environment and presented in a dignified manner as part of a restoration master plan, and when no other building or structure with the same association has survived; or  
(f) A property primarily commemorative in intent if design, age, tradition, or symbolic value has invested it with its own exceptional significance; or  
(g) A property achieving significance within the past 50 years if it is of exceptional importance.  

2. California Register of Historical Resources (CR)  

A resource is eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources if it:  

1. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California’s history and cultural heritage.  
2. Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past.  
3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values.  
4. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.  

The California Code of Regulations notes that integrity is the authenticity of a historical resource’s physical identity evidenced by the survival of characteristics that existed during the resource’s period of significance. Resources eligible for listing in the California Register must retain enough of their historic character or appearance to be recognizable as historic resources and convey the reasons for their significance.  

The same seven aspects of integrity are considered when evaluating resources for listing in the National Register and California Register: location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association. Alterations over time or historic changes in use may themselves be significant. However, resources that may not retain enough integrity to meet National Register criteria may still be eligible for listing in the California Register.  

23 36 C.F.R. § 60.4.  
24 California Public Resources Code § 5024.1(c).
A moved building, structure, or object may be listed in the California Register if it were moved to prevent its demolition at its former location and the new location is compatible with the resource’s original character and use. The resource should retain its historic features and compatibility in orientation, setting, and general environment. A resource less than fifty years old may be considered for listing in the California Register if it can be demonstrated that sufficient time has passed to understand its historical importance. A reconstructed building less than fifty years old may be eligible for listing if it embodies traditional building methods and techniques that play an important role in a community’s historically rooted beliefs, customs, and practices, such as a Native American roundhouse.25

3. Monterey County Local Official Register of Historic Resources (MCR)

Chapter 18.25 of the Monterey County Code addresses the “Preservation of Historic Resources” and establishes criteria for listing properties and districts in the Local Official Register of Historic Resources.26

Section 18.25.070 (“Review Criteria”) governs the designation of historical resources and historic districts. Specifically, “[a]n improvement, natural feature, or site may be designated an historical resource and any area within the County may be designated a historic district” if the improvement, natural feature, site, or area meets the criteria for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical Resources, or if the County finds that one or more of the following conditions exist:

A. Historical and Cultural Significance.
   1. The resource or district proposed for designation is particularly representative of a distinct historical period, type, style, region, or way of life.
   2. The resource or district proposed for designation is, or contains, a type of building or buildings which was once common but is now rare.
   3. The resource or district proposed for designation was connected with someone renowned.
   4. The resource or district proposed for designation is connected with a business or use which was once common but is now rare.
   5. The resource or district proposed for designation represents the work of a master builder, engineer, designer, artist, or architect whose talent influenced a particular architectural style or way of life.
   6. The resource or district proposed for designation is the site of an important historic event or is associated with events that have made a meaningful contribution to the nation, State, or community.
   7. The resource or district proposed for designation has a high potential of yielding information of archaeological interest.

---

25 California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 4852(c) and (d).
26 Monterey County Municipal Code, Chapter 18.25. Section 18.25.100 defines the Local Official Register of Historic Resources. Section 18.25.070 establishes the review criteria.
B. Historic, Architectural, and Engineering Significance.
   1. The resource or district proposed for designation exemplifies a particular architectural style or way of life important to the County.
   2. The resource or district proposed for designation exemplifies the best remaining architectural type of a community.
   3. The construction materials or engineering methods used in the resource or district proposed for designation embody elements of outstanding attention to architectural or engineering design, detail, material or craftsmanship.

C. Community and Geographic Setting.
   1. The proposed resource materially benefits the historic character of the community.
   2. The unique location or singular physical characteristic of the resource or district proposed for designation represents an established and familiar visual feature of the community, area, or county.
   3. The district is a geographically definable area, urban or rural possessing a significant concentration or continuity of site, buildings, structures, or objects unified by past events, or aesthetically by plan or physical development.
   4. The preservation of a resource or resources is essential to the integrity of the district.

4. Other Local Registers Within Monterey County

Criteria for listing in other local registers maintained by municipalities within Monterey County, whether in existence now or developed in the future, shall also be considered when evaluating agriculture resources within those jurisdictions.

C. Historic Integrity

*National Register Bulletin 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation* defines **historic integrity** as “the ability of a property to convey its significance.” Historic properties either retain their integrity or they do not. To retain integrity, a resource will always retain several and usually most of the seven aspects of integrity:

1. **Location:** the place where the historic property was constructed or the place where the historic event occurred.
2. **Design:** the combination of elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style of a property.
3. **Setting:** the physical environment of a historic property.
4. **Materials:** the physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern or configuration to form a historic property.
5. **Workmanship:** the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history or prehistory.
6. **Feeling:** a property’s expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time.

7. **Association:** the direct link between an important historic event or person and a historic property.

*National Register Bulletin 15* notes that evaluating historic integrity may be a subjective analysis, but is always based on understanding the property’s physical features and how they relate to the property’s historic significance. The integrity evaluation can begin only after the evaluator establishes the property’s significance: *why* it is significant (identifying its area of significance and how it meets the relevant National, State or Local designation criteria), *where* it is important (location), and *when* the resource is significant (its “period of significance”).

After establishing the property’s historic significance, the evaluator assesses integrity using *National Register Bulletin 15*’s four-step approach:

1. Define the **essential physical features** that must be present for a property to represent its significance.
2. Determine whether the **essential physical features are visible** enough to convey their significance.
3. Determine whether the property needs to be **compared with similar properties**. And,
4. Determine, based on the significance and essential physical features, which **aspects of integrity** are particularly vital to the property being nominated and if they are present.

*National Register Bulletin 15* emphasizes that “ultimately, the question of integrity is answered by whether or not the property retains the **identity** for which it is significant.”

A resource need not be “frozen in time” to retain its historic integrity. A property may have multiple periods of significance, or a long period of significance that includes important changes to the property. Physical changes from different eras may be historically significant in their own right if they illustrate the property’s historic significance and they date to the property’s period of significance. For example, properties evolve as changes in land use, ownership, technology and architectural styles occur. Monterey County’s agricultural properties evolved to accommodate the transition from extensive to intensive agriculture; the farmer’s decision to change crops; technological innovation; and modifications in planting, cultivating, irrigating, processing and distribution methods. These changes must be evaluated for their own historic significance and historic integrity. The property must retain the essential physical attributes that identify it as a historic property, and these attributes must date to the property’s period(s) of significance.

---

D. Property Type Registration Requirements: Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds

As noted above, a property is eligible for listing as a historic resource if it possesses historic significance under the relevant national, state or local registration criteria and it retains enough historic integrity to convey its significance. To help identify potential historic resources, a historic context statement defines historic themes that illustrate the relevant historic context, defines associated property types for each theme, and establishes property type registration requirements that address the interplay between historic significance and historic integrity. National Register Bulletin 16B: How to Complete the National Register Multiple Property Documentation Form states that property type registration requirements should include:

the physical characteristics, associative qualities, or information potential that an example of the property type must possess to qualify for the National Register. This section should specify the aspects of integrity (location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association) and an explanation of how each aspect is defined for the specific property type.28

The California Office of Historic Preservation’s guidelines are more explicit. OHP Preferred Format for Historic Context Statements states that

[t]his section should also provide direction for evaluating integrity based on which aspects of integrity are critical for each property type to be able to convey its significance within the theme or context. This guidance should take into consideration the types of changes that may have been made to a resource through time as a result of its original design, location, materials, workmanship and uses.29

The California Office of Historic Preservation defines this process as identifying the eligibility criteria and integrity thresholds of an associated property type.30

As noted above, National Register Bulletin 16B states that for every associated property type described in a historic context statement, the property type registration requirements should discuss various physical and associative qualities in addition to discussing the seven aspects of historic integrity. Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds follows National Register Bulletin 16B’s guidance and includes two charts for each associated property type. The first chart discusses the following seven categories of physical information for each associated property type:

1. **Physical characteristics** such as style, period, site or structural type, size, scale, proportions, design, architectural details, method of construction, siting, orientation, spatial arrangement or plan, materials, workmanship, artistry, and environmental relationships.

2. **Associative characteristics** such as the property’s relationship to important activities, persons, or events, including information such as dates, functions, role, cultural affiliations, relationship to important research topics, and the presence of natural features or resources that helped determine location.

3. **Geographical information** such as the property’s relationship to natural resources, climate, topographical features, and soil conditions that may have been relied upon for industry, transportation, defense, or subsistence, or that helped determine the siting, location, form, design, function, and materials of associated cultural resources.

4. The likely nature of **boundaries** for related properties and any special factors to be considered in selecting boundaries, such as the likelihood of the resource to exist in groups or in combination with other significant property types forming historic districts.

5. **Variations** occurring within the property type due to changing cultural, chronological, or geographical influences.

6. **Locational patterns** of the property type, that is, generalizations about the known or likely location, occurrence, and distribution of examples representing the property type.

7. **Condition** or expected condition of property types.\(^{31}\)

The second chart discusses the **seven aspects of integrity** for each associated property type: location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association.

In this historic context statement, Chapter 5 includes a third chart for two of the historic themes and their associated property types. Theme 1 (Extensive Agriculture) and Theme 2 (Intensive Agriculture) include associated property types that are cultural landscapes: farmsteads. Therefore, Chapter 5 discusses the eleven landscape characteristics that are described further in the next section.

### E. Types of Landscapes

Farmsteads generally include many buildings, structures and landscape features that support agricultural production: the individual components comprise a recognizable, cohesive unit. Therefore, this historic context statement evaluates farmsteads as cultural landscapes. This section describes natural landscapes, cultural landscapes, historic rural landscapes and eleven landscape characteristics.

\(^{31}\) *National Register Bulletin Number 16B: How to Complete the National Register Multiple Property Documentation Form*, 14-15.
1. Natural and Cultural Landscapes

When the first inhabitants arrived in the Monterey Bay Area, the transformation from a natural landscape to a cultural landscape began. A natural landscape is the rare, almost non-existent environment that has not been altered, affected, or occupied by people through habitation, agriculture, landscaping, building, pollution or other activity. An example might be a prairie free of non-native plants, roads or other intrusions linked directly or indirectly to humans.

In contrast, a cultural landscape reflects humanity’s impact on the natural environment. Preservation Brief 36: Protecting Cultural Landscapes: Planning, Treatment and Management of Historic Landscapes, defines a cultural landscape as “a geographic area, including both cultural and natural resources and the wildlife or domestic animals therein, associated with a historic event, activity, or person or exhibiting other cultural or aesthetic values.” Human imprints within a cultural landscape can be obvious, e.g., cities, highways, power plants and resorts. They can also be subtle, e.g., invasive plant species, plowed fields, telephone poles, trails through open space, dry-laid rock fences delineating property boundaries, contour-terrace paths made by cattle grazing on hills, abandoned wharf pilings in a slough, railroad tracks and water tanks. Monterey County’s cultural landscapes contain all of these features.

Preservation Brief 36 defines four general types of cultural landscapes. Monterey County contains examples of each type:

**Historic Designed Landscape:** a landscape that was consciously designed or laid out by a landscape architect, master gardener, architect, or horticulturist according to design principles, or an amateur gardener working in a recognized style or tradition. The landscape may be associated with a significant person(s), trend, or event in landscape architecture; or illustrate an important development in the theory and practice of landscape architecture. Aesthetic values play a significant role in designed landscapes. Examples include parks, campuses, and estates.

**Historic Vernacular Landscape:** a landscape that evolved through use by the people whose activities or occupancy shaped that landscape. Through social or cultural attitudes of an individual, family or a community, the landscape reflects the physical, biological, and cultural character of those everyday lives. Function plays a significant role in vernacular landscapes. They can be a single property such as a farm or a collection of properties such as a district of historic farms along a river valley. Examples include rural villages, industrial complexes, and agricultural landscapes.

---

33 Gordon, Monterey Bay Area: Natural History and Cultural Imprints, 4.
Historic Site: a landscape significant for its association with a historic event, activity, or person. Examples include battlefields and presidential homes.

Ethnographic Landscape: a landscape containing a variety of natural and cultural resources that associated people define as heritage resources. Examples are contemporary settlements, religious sacred sites and massive geological structures. Small plant communities, animals, subsistence and ceremonial grounds are often components.34

2. Rural Historic Landscapes

Cultural landscapes can be urban, rural or anything in between. Some of Monterey County’s historic agricultural resources qualify as rural historic landscapes. National Register Bulletin 30: Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes defines a rural historic landscape as

a geographical area that historically has been used by people, or shaped or modified by human activity, occupancy, or intervention, and that possesses a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of areas of land use, vegetation, buildings and structures, roads and waterways, and natural features.35

National Register Bulletin 30 states that rural historic landscapes may be listed in the National Register (and by association, a state register) as either historic sites or historic districts:

Landscapes small in size and having no buildings or structures, such as an experimental orchard, are classified as sites. Most, however, being extensive in acreage and containing a number of buildings, sites and structures – such as a ranch or farming community – are classified as historic districts.

For properties to qualify as rural historic landscapes, they must “. . . possess tangible features, called landscape characteristics, that have resulted from historic human use.”36 These characteristics are described below.

3. Landscape Characteristics

Whereas individual buildings retain historic integrity by retaining their significant character-defining features, rural historic landscapes retain historic integrity by possessing a considerable number of landscape characteristics. According to National Register Bulletin 30: Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes,

Landscape characteristics are the tangible evidence of the activities and habits of the people who occupied, developed, used, and shaped the land to serve human needs; they may reflect the beliefs, attitudes, traditions, and values of these people.37

The eleven landscape characteristics are:

1. Land Uses and Activities: Land uses are the major human forces that shape and organize rural communities.
2. Patterns of Spatial Organization: The organization of land on a large scale depends on the relationship among major physical components, predominant landforms, and natural features.
3. Response to the Natural Environment: Major natural features, such as mountains, prairies, rivers, lakes, forests, and grasslands, influenced both the location and organization of rural communities.
4. Cultural Traditions: Cultural traditions affect the ways that land is used, occupied, and shaped.
5. Circulation Networks: Circulation networks are systems for transporting people, goods, and raw materials from one point to another.
6. Boundary Demarcations: Boundary demarcations delineate areas of ownership and land use, such as an entire farmstead or open range.
7. Vegetation Related to Land Use: Various types of vegetation bear a direct relationship to long-established patterns of land use.
8. Buildings, Structures, and Objects: Various types of buildings, structures, and objects serve human needs related to the occupation and use of the land.
9. Clusters: Groupings of buildings, fences, and other features, as seen in a farmstead, ranch, or mining complex, result from function, social tradition, climate, or other influences, cultural or natural.
10. Archaeological Sites: The sites of prehistoric or historic activities or occupation, may be marked by foundations, ruins, changes in vegetation, and surface remains.
11. Small-Scale Elements: Small-scale elements, such as a foot bridge or road sign, add to the historic setting of a rural landscape.38

38 For a complete discussion of the eleven landscape characteristics see National Register Bulletin 30: Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes (Revised 1999), 4-6, 15-18.
National Register Bulletin 30 divides the eleven landscape characteristics into two categories, processes and physical components:

The first four characteristics are processes that have been instrumental in shaping the land, such as the response of farmers to fertile soils. The remaining seven are physical components that are evident on the land, such as barns or orchards. Many, but not all, rural properties contain all eleven characteristics. When historic processes are linked to existing components, the rural landscape can be viewed as a unified whole.39

When evaluating Monterey County farmsteads, the eleven landscape characteristics are a critical component of the analysis of historic significance and historic integrity. As noted in Section D, Chapter 5 describes the eleven landscape characteristics associated with cultural landscapes described in Theme 1 (Extensive Agriculture) and Theme 2 (Intensive Agriculture).

IV. MONTEREY COUNTY AGRICULTURAL HISTORY

A. INTRODUCTION

To understand the historic context in which Monterey County agriculture developed, this chapter presents a broad overview of the geographical, environmental, social, cultural, political, governmental and technological factors that individually and cumulatively shaped Monterey County’s cultural landscape and land use patterns up to 1960. It incorporates the previous three Monterey County agricultural historic context statements, which focused on specific geographic areas: Clark Historic Resource Consultants, Inc.’s *Agriculturally Related Historic Resources Located in the Unincorporated Areas Between Salinas and Soledad, Monterey County, California, Phase I (2000) and Phase II (2001);* Galvin Preservation Associates, Inc.’s *Monterey County Parks Reconnaissance Survey and Context Statement of Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area (2009);* and PAST Consultants, LLC’s *Historic Context Statement for Agricultural Resources in the North County Planning Area, Monterey County (2010).*

Historic contexts are organized by **place, time and theme**, linking historic properties to important historic trends. Focusing on **place**, this chapter describes Monterey County’s location, boundaries, geology, geography and climate. The area’s coastal location, fertile soil, alluvial plains, rolling hills and mild climate make it one of the world’s most productive agricultural regions. This chapter also covers settlement by **time** period, discussing the Ohlone, Esselen and Salinan people, the Spanish Period (1769-1822), the Mexican Period (1822-1848), the Early American Period (ca. 1848–1890), Agricultural Expansion (ca. 1870–1940), and Industrial Agriculture (ca. 1925–1960). Most of Monterey County’s extant historic agricultural resources date from American statehood. Many ethnic and cultural groups have played a significant role in Monterey County’s agricultural history and this chapter reviews their contributions.

The historical developments described in this chapter form a set of coherent patterns or **themes** that tell Monterey County’s agriculture history: Extensive Agriculture; Intensive Agriculture; Corporate Agriculture; Agricultural Colonies; Processing and Distribution; and Community Development. Chapter 5 describes these themes in more depth and identifies significant Monterey County properties, events, activities, individuals and groups that illustrate each theme.

B. Monterey County

1. Location and Boundaries

Monterey County is on California’s Central Coast, about 100 miles south of San Francisco and 300 miles north of Los Angeles. The county is roughly forty-five miles wide and 124 miles long, covering more than two million acres of scenic and fertile land.\(^{40}\) This historic context

statement covers only a portion of Monterey County, three distinct planning areas called the North County Planning Area, Salinas Valley and South County Planning Area.

The North County Planning Area (North County) encompasses about 72,720 acres of the southern Pajaro Valley and the northern Salinas Valley, including the communities of Castroville, Moss Landing, Prunedale, Pajaro, Las Lomas and part of Aromas. The Salinas Valley survey area focused on unincorporated areas in a limited region between Salinas and Soledad, covering about 271,349 acres and the communities of Salinas, Spreckels, Chualar, Gonzales and Soledad. The South County Planning Area (South County) encompasses approximately 819,840 acres, including the communities of San Lucas, San Ardo, Bradley, Jolon, Lockwood, Parkfield, Hames Valley, Priest Valley, Peachtree Valley, Bryson and Hesperia. The previous historic context statements did not cover the communities of Greenfield and King City, but the Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook includes them.

The Agricultural Resources Evaluation Handbook generally excludes areas of Monterey County that lie outside the North County, Salinas Valley and South County planning areas (e.g., the communities southwest of Castroville down to Fort Hunter Liggett). Areas like the Carmel Valley are unique and will require separate historic context statements.

2. Geology and Geography

In 1865, Monterey County Assessor W. P. McGarvey concluded that “Monterey County is not an agricultural county and by its geological nature is precluded from becoming so.” He was wrong: virtually every land feature has contributed to the region’s agricultural dominance. In the Miocene era (5.3 to 23.8 million years ago), the sea covered most of the county and into the Central Valley. For the past million years, seismic activity, storms and the receding and advancing sea shaped the land. Erosion deposits, sediment, animal carcasses and skeletons formed a thick, mud-like material, contributing to the fertile soils that make Monterey County a productive agricultural center.

a. Natural Features:

Natural features contributing to Monterey County’s agricultural history include the Pacific Ocean, Monterey Bay, the Pajaro, Salinas, San Antonio and Arroyo Seco rivers, the Elkhorn and Moro Cojo sloughs, the fertile Pajaro and Salinas valleys and the inland hills.

the Monterey County Board of Supervisors, this “souvenir edition” book was published in conjunction with the 1915 Panama-Pacific Exposition in San Francisco and extolled the county’s merits to potential settlers].


43 Fink, Monterey: The Presence of the Past, 7.
The rivers and sloughs are significant in Monterey County’s agricultural history because farmers shipped their agricultural products to distant markets via those waterways in the 1800s. When inland goods reached wharves along the Monterey Bay and Pacific Ocean, waiting vessels transported them to San Francisco and other regional markets. The rivers are also important because they provided irrigation water to the fields as early as the 1700s, when the friars at Mission San Antonio de Padua built a water system in the South County. Irrigation projects also enabled agricultural colonies like the Clark Colony (now Greenfield) to develop in the early twentieth century. Without irrigation water from the Arroyo Seco River, the colonists would have been unable to convert sandy, dusty land to fertile orchards and fields.

The fertile, alluvial lowlands along the Pajaro and Salinas rivers are significant because they are among the world’s most productive agricultural regions, producing billions of dollars of agricultural goods. Long before settlers planted crops in the valleys, the natural grasses in the valleys fed enormous herds of cattle during the Spanish, Mexican and early American settlement periods. The small but very fertile Pajaro Valley covers roughly 50,000 acres in northern Monterey County and southern Santa Cruz County. The Pajaro River forms the county line and divides the Pajaro Valley in two. The Monterey County portion is about fifteen miles long (from the Monterey Bay inland) and six to eight miles wide (from the Pajaro River south to Elkhorn Slough). The Salinas Valley lies between the coastal Santa Lucia Mountains and the

---

44 Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 38. Trouset was related to Cato Vierra, the construction engineer who owned the ferry and built Moss Landing’s warehouses, wharf and other facilities.
45 Fink, Monterey: The Presence of the Past, 165. Hanson, Geohydrologic Framework of Recharge and Seawater Intrusion in the Pajaro Valley, Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties, California, 1.
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inland Gabilan Mountains. It is over 100 miles long and contains more that 640,000 acres or 1,000 square miles. The Salinas River forms part of the North County’s southwest boundary.48

Monterey County’s hillsides and interior valleys have historically been grassland, grass-oak woodland and chaparral forest zones.49 Water was abundant when Spanish and Mexican settlers arrived in the 1700s. Lakes, ponds, springs and brooks were common and the water table was a few feet below ground. Settlers lived and farmed near the water, using it for animals, crops and households, but floods regularly damaged property and turned valleys into swampy land.50

When early settlers modified the landscape to pursue agricultural interests, no environmental controls existed. Farmers sought to use every inch of productive soil, damaging the environment in the process. In the 1850s and ’60s, reclamation projects converted marshy areas into productive agricultural land. When farmers planted down to the water’s edge, they polluted adjacent waterways with silt and pesticides. Similarly, when settlers cleared hillsides and planted and later removed fruit and eucalyptus trees, erosion resulted. Agricultural experts advised residents to combat erosion by planting Douglas fir trees, a successful experiment that led some North County residents to operate Christmas tree farms. Extensive land clearing and erosion have continued to affect hills, canyons and valleys east of Elkhorn Slough; those hills have the highest rates of soil erosion west of the Mississippi River.51 Today, organizations like the Elkhorn Slough Foundation educate farmers about the environmental dangers of farming up to the water’s edge and work with them to protect and restore lands bordering waterways.52 Public and private entities have acquired more than 7,000 acres around the slough to protect it, stabilize and restore the hills and wetlands, and continue farming the land sustainably.53

b. **Soils:**

Monterey County’s most productive and lucrative farmlands are in the North County, Greater Salinas, and Central Salinas Valley Planning Areas, which grow primarily cool season

---

vegetables, strawberries, wine grapes and nursery crops. Of approximately 1.3 million acres of agricultural land in the county, about eighty percent is used for grazing. The South County contains much of the grazing land. Grasses grow well on hillsides, allowing for ranching operations like livestock grazing and growing cereal crops like wheat, grain and barley.

For planning purposes, Monterey County classifies an area’s land use capability partly based on soil fertility. Classes I and II are highly productive “prime soils” good for crops or livestock grazing. The Pajaro Valley and coastal Springfield District north of Moss Landing have prime soils. Even Class III and IV “non-prime soils” may produce yields as high as prime soils if the soil quality, location, growing season, irrigation and technology allow. Specialty crops like berries do well on productive non-prime soils.

Alluvium (heavy, rich, bottom land soil made of loose gravel, sand, silt, or clay deposited in flood plains) borders the rivers and extends inland towards the Gabilan Mountains. Soil in alluvial fans and river terraces is well-drained and irrigated intensive crops like lettuce, artichokes and strawberries grow well in it. Field, forage, row and truck crops (primarily artichokes, broccoli and celery) grow in loam on flood plains, in swales and terraces. Poorly drained clay soils on flood plains or in basins are used mostly for intensively irrigated row crops like celery, lettuce, broccoli and cauliflower.

Monterey County’s fertile soils are a significant contributor to the region’s long, prosperous agricultural history. Without them, the region would not have developed into one of the most productive agricultural regions in the world.

3. Climate

Monterey County’s mild climate significantly impacts the region’s agricultural history because it makes year-round agricultural production possible. The temperate seasons are typical of coastal Central California, with the bulk of the annual precipitation falling in late autumn, winter and spring. Winter is cool and wet; little rain falls in the mild summers. Precipitation generally
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54 “Chapter 6.0: Agricultural Element,” Monterey County General Plan (Salinas, CA: County of Monterey, October 26, 2010), AG1.
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increases from south to north.\textsuperscript{61} Annual precipitation ranges from fifteen inches in the inland valleys to more than forty inches in the higher mountain ranges.\textsuperscript{62}

The Pajaro Valley benefits from the winds, fog and rain coming ashore from the Monterey Bay.\textsuperscript{63} The Salinas Valley is America’s “salad bowl,” the state’s biggest vegetable producer. Its three climatic zones support different crops. The coastal zone has relatively high humidity and a narrow temperature range suitable for year-round vegetable production; artichokes do very well there. The adjacent zone is more suitable for truck crops like lettuce, broccoli, celery and carrots. In the third zone, further inland and down the valley, warmer weather crops like tomatoes, beans and cucumbers thrive.\textsuperscript{64}

Monterey County’s weather cycles have affected the region’s agricultural history and cultural landscape. Devastating droughts and floods in the 1860s decimated Monterey County’s cattle industry, forcing ranchers and farmers to pursue new opportunities. Some grew grains, transforming their open grazing land to crop fields. Other ranchers experimented with community development, forever changing the cultural landscape from open agricultural land into thriving agricultural towns. For example, in 1863-1864, Juan Castro subdivided part of his vast rancho and created Castroville in the North County, the first subdivision in Monterey County. These developments are discussed further, below.

C. Spanish and Mexican Periods (1769–1848): Missions, Land Grants and Extensive Agriculture

1. Introduction

The Spanish Period (1769-1822) and Mexican Period (1822-1848) were significant in Monterey County’s agricultural history for several reasons. First, Spanish missionaries and soldiers introduced \textit{extensive agriculture} (crops and animals requiring a low level of labor and capital relative to a farm’s size, \textit{e.g.}, grains and livestock) to the region. Monterey County ranchers and farmers focused mainly on extensive agriculture until the end of the nineteenth century, when \textit{intensive agriculture} became more prominent (crops and animals requiring a high level of labor and capital, \textit{e.g.}, dairying, fruit and vegetables). Second, the missionaries realized the importance of crop irrigation and built an extensive water system at Mission San Antonio de


\textsuperscript{62} Zinke, “Soils and Climate,” \textit{A Guidebook to California Agriculture}, 51.

\textsuperscript{63} Hanson, \textit{Geohydrologic Framework of Recharge and Seawater Intrusion in the Pajaro Valley, Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties, California}, 8.

Padua (1771, National Register, California Historical Landmark, Monterey County Register) in the South County and an aqueduct at Mission Nuestra Señora de la Soledad (1791, California Historical Landmark, Monterey County Register) near Soledad.\textsuperscript{65} Irrigation continues to be a critical component of modern agriculture. Third, Spanish and Mexican settlers expanded the pathways used by the local Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen populations and created new transportation routes to deliver agricultural products in the region. Fourth, Spanish settlers introduced adobe construction and some of the adobe structures associated with Monterey County’s early agricultural history still exist. Fifth, Spain and Mexico awarded vast land grants to private ranchers and farmers. Although the owners eventually subdivided these large parcels, many modern Monterey County farms are still much larger than elsewhere in America, a testament to the size of these original land grants. All of these developments significantly modified the cultural landscape in ways that are recognizable today.

Given the age, rarity and agricultural significance of properties from the Spanish and Mexican Periods, any extant property or archaeological resource dating from those eras is potentially eligible for listing in the National Register, California Register and/or the Monterey County Register if its significance is confirmed and it retains historic integrity.

2. Spanish Period (1769 – 1822)

Monterey County agriculture is a relatively recent phenomenon, starting in earnest during the Spanish settlement period.\textsuperscript{66} Although Spaniards visited the area in 1595 and 1602, they did not establish permanent settlements until after Captain Gaspar de Portolá and Father Junípero Serra led the 1769 Portolá Expedition up the California coast. The party of explorers, soldiers, cowboys and animals left San Diego on July 14, 1769 and by September arrived in present-day Monterey County.\textsuperscript{67} During this trip, they named the Pajaro River and in 1770 founded the Presidio of Monterey and Mission San Carlos Borromeo in Monterey (later moved to Carmel).\textsuperscript{68} In 1771, Father Serra returned to Monterey County, founded additional missions and introduced agriculture to the local Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen populations.

Five thousand years before the Spanish arrived, the Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen people had already begun converting the natural landscape into a cultural landscape. Foreshadowing the agricultural practices of later settlers, they manipulated the environment to improve their food supply, organized their labor and collected, processed, dried and stored their harvests.\textsuperscript{69} Fortified

\textsuperscript{65} Galvin Preservation Associates, Inc., \textit{Monterey County Parks Reconnaissance Survey of Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area} [hereafter, Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}] (Redondo Beach, CA: Galvin Preservation Associates, Inc., October 2009), 45.
\textsuperscript{66} Gordon, \textit{Monterey Bay Area: Natural History and Cultural Imprints}, 6.
\textsuperscript{67} Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 37-38.
\textsuperscript{68} Fink, \textit{Monterey: The Presence of the Past}, 17-24, 30, 37, 40, 43. Gordon, \textit{Monterey Bay Area: Natural History and Cultural Imprints}, 166. In 1769, Father Crespi wrote that soldiers named the river the Rio del Pajaro (Bird River) after a large dead condor hanging from a pole in an Ohlone village on the river bank.
\textsuperscript{69} Margolin, \textit{The Ohlone Way}, 41-43, 45, 52. The Ohlones stored dried acorns in hampers and acorn granaries — large, basket-like containers on stilts. Mugwort and aromatic herbs drove away insects and helped prevent mold.
by acorns, wildlife and plants, the local population did not have the tools or the need to engage in most of what the Monterey County Code (MCC) considers “agriculture” (e.g., cultivating soil, planting crops, horticulture and raising animals). Instead, they practiced MCC’s “wildlife management” form of agriculture: hunting and gathering food and burning the land. Their deliberate fires altered the region’s appearance and ecology and created a cultural landscape. Fire germinated food sources, encouraged grass and flower growth, prevented brush from invading food-rich meadows, provided good game habitat and prevented larger fires.70

When the Spanish introduced crop and livestock agriculture to Monterey County’s Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen people, they changed the population’s social, cultural, political and economic practices and altered the cultural landscape in ways that are still evident today.71

a. Spanish Missions, Presidios and Pueblos

During the Spanish period, Monterey County residents relied on outside trade for most provisions rather than developing their own agriculture or other significant commerce.72 Abundant fertile land existed but agriculture was limited by primitive equipment, basic cultivation methods and a dwindling Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen workforce, decimated by disease and the virtual slavery system that held them.

Monterey County agriculture in this era consisted primarily of cattle ranching and grain production, types of extensive agriculture (animals and crops that require a low level of labor and capital relative to the size of the farmed area).73 Missionaries and soldiers grew food for subsistence and used cattle hides and tallow in trade. For some time, the missions were the only “farms” producing food in California. The first California wheat harvest occurred around 1770 at the San Diego Mission. In 1771, missionaries planted the first barley (the primary livestock feed) at Mission San Antonio de Padua in the Monterey County settlement of Jolon in the South County. Grains were important cool-season crops, grown with little or no irrigation.74 Ranching and farming expanded beyond the missions when Monterey Presidio soldiers used rudimentary

72 Holliday, Rush for Riches, 27.
plows to cultivate four acres of wheat, beans, barley and rice.\textsuperscript{75} Soldiers also brought Spanish beef cattle from Baja California.\textsuperscript{76}

Spanish missionaries forced the local Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen populations to adopt Christianity and work at the missions cultivating crops, raising livestock, preparing hides and tallow, making soap, building adobe structures, forging tools, working leather, spinning and weaving. After Mexico secularized the missions in 1834, some Ohlone, Salinan and Esselen people worked as servants or ranch hands, either voluntarily or as forced laborers after being accused of vagrancy and failing to show sufficient funds. Ranchers bid for them, paid the State and gave the laborers only room and board. Others returned to the hunter-gatherer life, married into the community or formed villages.\textsuperscript{77}

\textit{Mission San Antonio de Padua} (1771, National Register, California Historical Landmark, Monterey County Register) was the South County’s first permanent settlement and first agrarian community.\textsuperscript{78} The mission grounds are northwest of Jolon on Fort Hunter Liggett land.\textsuperscript{79} In 1771, Fathers Junípero Serra, Miguel Pieras and Buenaventura Sitjar co-founded the mission near the San Antonio River. After a 1772 drought, they moved the mission to its current location in the Los Robles Valley. It was the third and one of the largest of California’s twenty-one missions and had access to water, arable land, trade routes and the Salinan people. The mission’s Salinan population peaked around 1,000 people but declined in the early 1800s.\textsuperscript{80} The settlers built a water system and at least three outposts. Archaeological deposits likely exist around the mission, outposts and outlying areas.

The Spanish missionaries taught the Salinans adobe construction in the Spanish tradition. The self-sustaining mission’s religious, social and economic functions dictated the complex’s layout, which originally included a chapel, a small sacristy, houses, store rooms, outbuildings (e.g., facilities for making soap, candles, weavings and leather goods), vineyards, orchards and priests’ property on thirty-three acres. Built on the flat valley floor, the mission’s rectangular main compound had a central courtyard with a chapel in the middle and other buildings around it. Cattle grazed on hundreds of surrounding acres. An outbuilding was located on the San Antonio River, southeast of the mission. Reflecting their inferior status, the Salinan residents (called “neophytes”) lived apart north of the mission in long, adobe dormitories with tile roofs laid over

\textsuperscript{75} Fink, \textit{Monterey: The Presence of the Past}, 42-43, 45, 47. The San José pueblo, near the Santa Clara Mission, was also an agricultural base for the Bay Area presidios.
\textsuperscript{76} Fink, \textit{Monterey: The Presence of the Past}, 42-43, 45, 47. The San José pueblo, near the Santa Clara Mission, was also an agricultural base for the Bay Area presidios.
\textsuperscript{77} Margolin, \textit{The Ohlone Way}, 162, 164-167.
\textsuperscript{79} Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 42.
\textsuperscript{80} Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 38, 40. Don Howard, \textit{Lost Adobes of Monterey County}, (Carmel, CA: Monterey County Archaeological Society, 1973), 82. Father Sitjar worked at the San Antonio Mission for thirty-seven years.
reeds. Unmarried men and women lived in separate dormitories. Married couples and children lived in adobe houses with rows of rooms primarily for sleeping.\footnote{Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 39-42.}

The Salinans built an elaborate water system at Mission San Antonio to operate a gristmill, irrigate field crops and orchards, and water gardens. Part of it is still extant. The water system included a sixty-five foot long mortar and rock dam; canals; underground aqueducts; diversion weirs; a water wheel; ponds; reservoirs; and stone-lined irrigation ditches for the corn and wheat fields.\footnote{Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 39, 45.} The canal carried water from San Miguel Creek to the mission. Water flowed southeast to the mission’s living quarters, pooled in an area called “Mill Pond,” and the inhabitants likely used this water for a variety of purposes. The aqueduct continued southeast of the mission and split into two channels to surround and irrigate the agricultural fields. The aqueduct’s western branch curved back toward San Miguel Creek, south of the mission, near the tannery and gristmill. The water powered the gristmill as it ground wheat into flour. The mission also had a circular threshing ground for wheat.\footnote{Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 40.} Mission San Antonio’s water system was the first and most elaborate water system of the California missions and its remains are significant as an individual resource.\footnote{Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 45.}

The missionaries taught the Salinans to grow crops on small plots.\footnote{Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 39.} Crops included fruit, olives, grapes, wheat and corn. Fields and trees were fenced off to keep livestock out. The fenced-in area included the vineyardist’s adobe house, which may have doubled as a winemaking room. The Salinans dried and stored the fruit, pressed olives into oil, made wine for the missionaries and fed grain to the livestock. They also sent grapes to Monterey for trade. Trained to be cowboys (“\textit{vaqueros}”), the Salinans raised and drove the livestock, including large herds of cattle, sheep and horses. They branded cattle, rounded them up in late summer and early fall, and sold them for their hides, tallow and dried meat. Some livestock were corralled in an area east of the mission, but most cattle roamed freely in the valley, hills and mountains.\footnote{Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 39-40.}

Mission San Antonio outposts included adobe corrals, houses for \textit{vaqueros} tending cattle, and the so-called “Indian’s Adobe.” The \textbf{“Indian’s Adobe” ruins} (circa 1860, Monterey County Register) are along Milpitas Road about one mile north of the mission, on the former Milpitas Rancho.\footnote{“Monterey County Register of Historic Resources as of January 2010.” Galvin, \textit{Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area}, 41, 53.} The adobe may have housed the person responsible for maintaining the mission’s water system. At one point, the Salinan family of Eusebio and Perfecta Encinales lived in the Indian’s Adobe while Eusebio worked 500 acres at the head of the San Antonio Valley, irrigating
a vineyard and orchard, and raising sheep, hogs and cattle. It was a modest, rectangular, unfinished adobe building with a gable roof covered in Spanish tiles. It had small window openings, hand-hewn lintels, a rough coursed exterior, a fireplace and tile floor.

Ruins of the Los Ojitos Adobe, another mission outpost, are still extant along the San Antonio River. The site housed the mission vaqueros and served as a main cattle watering hole during dry months. The adobe was originally 39 by 29 feet and had two rooms, a covered porch, thick adobe walls, and huge redwood beams, lashed together with rawhide to support the roof. The east room had a fireplace with floor tiles and the window had a hand-hewn lintel. The outpost grounds also included a corral and possibly two other adobe buildings. A ranching operation eventually bought the site and it served as the area’s first post office. A third mission outpost was located just north of the present community of San Lucas.

On October 9, 1791, Father Fermín Francisco de Lasuen founded Mission Nuestra Señora de la Soledad (1791, California Historical Landmark, Monterey County Register). The reconstructed chapel is at 36641 Fort Romie Road near Soledad. The mission’s twenty-acre vineyard occupied a canyon about three-and-a-half miles southwest of the mission; it had 5,000 vines by 1836. Other crops included barley, cherries, corn, cotton, figs, flax, garbanzo beans, grapes, hemp, horsebeans, olives, oranges, pears, peas, plums, tobacco and wheat. Salinan laborers built a fifteen-mile aqueduct to irrigate 20,000 acres of crops. The missionaries also raised cattle, chickens, goats, horses, pigs and sheep. Typical of the time, cattle hides and tallow were the mission’s main agricultural products. In 1840, Mexico granted to José de la Torre 16,916 acres of former mission land called Rancho Arroyo Seco. The mission was in ruins by 1841 and the vineyard and orchards had deteriorated. In 1841, Feliciano Soberanes became
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administrator of other Soledad Mission lands and later acquired the land by Mexican grant. In 1859, Joseph Sadoc Alemany, the Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Monterey, received a patent for the mission’s former twenty-acre vineyard. The chapel fell into ruins after 1874 but the Native Daughters of the Golden West reconstructed and rededicated it in October 1955.

After Mexico secularized the missions in 1833, the innovative and extensive irrigation systems at Mission San Antonio and Mission Soledad were abandoned. Canal-based irrigation did not return to Monterey County until the 1880s.

b. Transportation Routes

The missions changed Monterey County’s cultural landscape beyond the mission complexes themselves, including expanding existing footpaths and building new roads to transport agricultural products and connect the missions, presidios, pueblos and related outposts. The transportation routes generally followed natural low lands and waterways.

El Camino Real began as a footpath closely following the 1769 Portola Expedition route along rivers, valleys and canyons and it eventually connected all of the missions. El Camino Real segments still exist near Jolon. Missionaries and laborers also used foot and horse trails and roads to access mission outbuildings and outposts. The vaqueros drove cattle to the outposts and to the Port of Monterey for slaughter. Horses and oxen pulled carts of hides and tallow through the Quinado Canyon to the north of the Jolon Valley and on to Monterey. A carreta (a two-wheeled oxcart) trail ran from Mission San Antonio north to over Reliz Canyon to Soledad and up the Salinas Valley to Monterey. Mission Road connected the mission to the San Antonio River and Jolon Valley. It is paved and still exists today. The route originally continued into the Santa Lucia Mountains towards the coast. Another route southwest of Mission San Antonio traversed the mountains and was likely the path of present-day Nacimiento-Fergusson Road. Later South County maps also show a route from the south that follows the San Antonio River, traversing the Pleito Canyon area near the San Antonio Reservoir.

The town of Jolon developed on the site of a former Salinan village. It was part of Mission San Antonio’s original holdings, five miles from the mission. Salinans later lived, worked and
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attended mass at the mission. Because of its proximity to the mission, Jolon became a major stage stop on El Camino Real, which ran roughly along the route of today’s Jolon Road. Spain and Mexico awarded four land grants near Jolon: Rancho Los Milpitas (Little Gardens), Rancho San Miguelito (Little St. Michael), Rancho El Piojo (The Louse), Rancho Los Ositos (The Little Bears). From 1855 to the late 1880s (shortly after the Southern Pacific Railroad came to the South County), the stagecoach route served as a mail route through Jolon. The town was a major trading post for workers in the Los Burros mines (southwest of Jolon, between present-day Fort Hunter Liggett and the Pacific Ocean) and for settlers on the Big Sur coast.

A new road later paralleled the rail line, replacing formerly important transportation routes like El Camino Real. After the railroad bypassed it, Jolon lost its status as a main stagecoach stop. In its heyday, Jolon had the Dutton Hotel, the Tidball Store, three saloons, two blacksmith shops, two stores, a large dance hall, jail, Episcopal church, livery stable, a Chinatown for Chinese gold panners, a Chinese laundry and two places called China Gulch. The deteriorated remains of the Dutton Hotel (1850-1874 period of significance) on Jolon Road are listed in the National Register of Historic Places and the Monterey County Register. Antonio Ramirez of Monterey built the Dutton Hotel around 1860 and mission Salinans made the adobe bricks. Lieutenant George Dutton and Captain Tidball acquired the property and remodeled and enlarged it in 1876. Dutton’s family sold it to William
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Randolph Hearst in 1929. The Tidball Store (1875-1899) on Jolon Road is listed in the National Register and the Monterey County Register.

c. Spanish Land Grants

Around 1775, Spain brought Mexican settlers to California and eventually awarded several large land grants. Land grants were a significant development in Monterey County’s agricultural history because they allowed ranchers to conduct extensive agriculture on a grand scale beyond the limited mission confines. Rancho owners raised cattle and grew crops to supply the local population’s needs. Originally unfenced, the ranchos and later subdivisions established property boundaries that are still evident today. Before Spanish rule ended in 1822, California residents acquired twenty-five major land grants ranging from 4,000—300,000 acres. That pattern of land distribution continued in the Mexican Period (1822-1848). A map of the Spanish and Mexican land grants and a chart listing the grantee, grant date and size follows Section 3, below.

3. Mexican Period (1822 – 1848)

Mexico declared its independence from Spain in 1822 and Americans and foreigners moved to California seeking landowning opportunities. Some married into local Mexican families, became Mexican citizens and obtained land grants. The new landowners built adobe buildings and raised longhorn cattle on vast, open acreage.

a. Mexican Land Grants

In April 1822, mission padres and Presidio of Monterey officers swore allegiance to Mexico and the new government gave Presidio commandants and pueblo alcaldes (municipal magistrates) authority to grant land to individuals. Mexico secularized the missions in 1834 (they became parish churches) and distributed former mission lands to encourage agriculture and industry, reward soldiers and provide land to settlers. From 1822-1848, Mexico awarded almost forty Monterey County land grants. Mexico awarded no South County land grants between 1822-1831. Instead, the Mission San Antonio padres established a few outposts and ranches to
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manage the mission’s cattle herds. Between 1838-1846, Mexico awarded eleven South County
grants, carving some from former mission pastures and crop fields. Many grantees were
Mexican or Spanish and the South County retained their values and traditions. Land grant applicants chose the most desirable properties, often in valleys and hillsides with good grazing land. As Monterey County developed and owners subdivided their vast parcels, important agricultural communities developed on these former ranchos in the North County, Salinas Valley and South County. These communities are described later in this chapter.

b. Adobe Construction and the “Monterey Colonial” Style

Land grantees had to build a dwelling within one year, erect fences and possibly plant fruit trees on the boundaries. Ranchos generally had a simple house and rudimentary outbuildings made of adobe and timber, a small vegetable garden and open grazing fields. Adobe buildings from this period had three-foot thick walls, thatched roofs, dirt floors and simple plans. Rooms were generally arranged in a row with connecting doorways or doorways leading to a common yard.

The Salinas Valley included at least four adobe residences associated with the Soberanes family’s ranches and farms. Mexico granted the family’s application for the former Soledad Mission lands and the family built three adobes along Fort Romie Road between Mission Soledad and the Salinas River: the Dudgeon/Duncan Adobe; the Barloggi/Costa Adobe; and the Soberanes Adobe (no longer standing) on the D’Arrigo Brothers’ Ranch Eleven.

The fourth Soberanes adobe is the Los Coches or Richardson Adobe (1843, California Historical Landmark, National Register, Monterey County Register) at the northwest corner of Highway 101 and Arroyo Seco Road, south of Soledad. In 1841, Governor Juan Bautista Alvarado granted the 8,994-acre Rancho Los Coches to María Joséfa Soberanes de Richardson. Maria’s husband, William Brunner Richardson, built the adobe in 1843, planted locust trees in 1846 and
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made wooden additions to the adobe in 1848.125 It later served as a Wells Fargo Station Agent office and post office. Between 1854-68, it served Bixby Overland Stage passengers as an inn and stop along the San Francisco-Los Angeles route.126 The Los Coches Inn lost money and the Richardsons took a high interest rate loan from wealthy landowner David Jacks. Jacks foreclosed on the property in 1865 but it took him three years to get the family to leave.127 Jacks raised cattle and sheep there and the adobe continued to serve as an inn.128

On December 20, 1872, the Southern Pacific Railroad extended its tracks from Salinas to Soledad, the railroad terminus until 1886. The Coast Line Stage Company operated stage coaches south from Soledad and travelers stayed at the Los Coches/Richardson Adobe while awaiting transportation.129 After Jacks died in 1909, the David Jacks Corporation established several dairies on the property, west of Highway 101.130 The Jacks family donated the Los Coches/Richardson Adobe and ten adjacent acres to the State of California in 1958.131 It became a travelers’ campground but has been vacant since the State transferred it to the City of Soledad in the 1980s.132 When the City of Soledad raises funds to complement a $300,000 California Cultural and Historic Endowment grant it received in 2008, it plans to rehabilitate the adobe as a museum and visitor’s center, interpreting the area’s transportation and agricultural history.133

During the heyday of adobe construction, new Monterey County residents brought advanced carpentry skills and introduced new architectural styles. Thomas Larkin’s home was the first two-story home in the county (built in 1835 at 464 Calle Principal, Monterey, outside the scope of this historic context statement). Built with a redwood frame and adobe walls, the property is a National Historic Landmark and California Historical Landmark and is part of Monterey State Historic Park. Based on Larkin’s house, the “Monterey Colonial” style soon spread beyond the City of Monterey. Governor Alvarado and the Soberanes, Abrego, Amesti and Pacheco families built new homes or remodeled existing homes in the style.134 The Soberanes family added a

127 Clark, Agriculturally Related Historic Resources in Salinas Valley, Phase I, Historic Overview, 3. David Jacks had a big impact on Monterey County agriculture. His contributions are explained later in this historic context statement.
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second story to the Los Coches/Richardson Adobe and the owner of Rancho Buena Vista also added a second story to at least one adobe.135

c. Agricultural Commerce

During the Mexican Period, Monterey County agriculture continued to focus on the hide and tallow trade established during the Spanish Period.136 California received only one supply ship per year in the Spanish era, limiting trade to mostly within California. After 1822, the Mexican government built a Custom House in Monterey and opened the Monterey Bay to foreign trade so cattle ranchers could exchange their products for goods produced elsewhere.137

Water woes delayed Monterey County’s agricultural development and limited the products available for trade. In 1837, HMS Sulphur Midshipman Francis Simpkinson noted that “The only inconvenience at Monterey and the only thing that nature has not supplied them with is water . . . nothing is grown about Monterey and the people are dependent on the few ranchos about San Francisco for whatever they may require.”138 They relied on East Coast merchants like Boston’s Bryant & Sturgis firm, which controlled most of California’s trade by 1823 and offered “leather dollars” or “California bank notes” to missions and ranchers, exchanging cattle hides for goods. The hide trade peaked from 1822-1846: tons of tallow and more than a million hides became candles, soap and leather products. The meat was not sold, generally.

Monterey County pioneers focused on survival. Like their Ohlone, Esselen and Salinan predecessors, they did not have the tools to develop significant agriculture and land values represented grazing potential rather than soil fertility.139 In 1831, California produced only 115,000 bushels of grains and vegetables. In 1832, the missions owned about 151,000 cattle; 14,000 horses; and 140,000 sheep, goats and pigs. When Mexico secularized the missions in 1834, the ranchos produced little or no milk, butter or cheese.140

By 1846, California’s population was still low: 6,900 Californios, 6,200 native residents and 77 foreigners (mostly Americans).141 After the 1848 Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo ended the two-
year Mexican-American War, the United States acquired California and a new era dawned.\textsuperscript{142} Political changes and the Gold Rush brought a flood of new residents and prompted new agricultural developments during the American settlement period.

The Spanish and Mexican Periods were significant in Monterey County’s agricultural development because the Spanish and Mexican land grants became the future ranches and farms of the American period. Commercial agriculture in California began on a grand scale because of large land grants, open land unimpeded by forests, and few settlers who required housing.\textsuperscript{143} Details of the Spanish and Mexican land grants follow.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANCHO</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACRES</th>
<th>GRANTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>North County:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolsa de San Cayetano</td>
<td>1824</td>
<td>8,866</td>
<td>Ignacio V. F. Vallejo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolsa del Moro Cojo</td>
<td>1825, ’36-37, ’44</td>
<td>30,901</td>
<td>Simeon Castro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolsa Nueva</td>
<td>1829, 1836</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Francisco Soto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cañada de Carpenteria</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>2,236</td>
<td>Joaquín Soto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familia Sagrada/Bolsa del Potrero</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>6,916</td>
<td>José Joaquin de la Torre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moro Cojo</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>1,629</td>
<td>María Antonia Linares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Carneros (east of Prunedale)</td>
<td>1834</td>
<td>4,482</td>
<td>David Littlejohn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Carneros (north of Prunedale)</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>4,310</td>
<td>Antonio María Castro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salinas Valley:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arroyo Seco</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>16,523</td>
<td>Joaquín de la Torre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buena Vista</td>
<td>1822-23</td>
<td>7,726</td>
<td>Santiago &amp; José Estrada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Alisal</td>
<td>1823 &amp; ’34</td>
<td>5,941</td>
<td>Feliciano Soberanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe y Llanitos de Los Correos</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>8,859</td>
<td>Juan Malarín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llano de Buena Vista</td>
<td>1822-23</td>
<td>8,446</td>
<td>Santiago &amp; José Estrada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Coches</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>8,794</td>
<td>Joséfa Soberanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacional</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>6,633</td>
<td>Vicente Cantua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraje de Sanchez</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>6,584</td>
<td>Francisco Lugo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rincon de la Punta Del Monte</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>15,219</td>
<td>Teodoro Gonzales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>4,414</td>
<td>Gabriel Espinosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Vicente</td>
<td>1835</td>
<td>19,979</td>
<td>Francisco E. Munróas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa de Chualar</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>8,890</td>
<td>Juan Malarín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soledad Mission Lands</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>Feliciano Soberanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanjones</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>6,714</td>
<td>Gabriel de la Torre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South County:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Piojo</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>13,329</td>
<td>Joaquín Soto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Ojitos</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>Mariano Soberanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milpitas</td>
<td>1838</td>
<td>43,281</td>
<td>Ignacio Pastor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleyto</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>13,299</td>
<td>José Antonio Chavez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poza de Los Ositos</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>16,939</td>
<td>Carlos Espinosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>6,671</td>
<td>Francisco Garcia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernabe</td>
<td>1841 &amp; ’42</td>
<td>13,297</td>
<td>Petronilo Rios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardo</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>13,346</td>
<td>José M. Soberanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>21,884</td>
<td>Feliciano Soberanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo/Peachtree</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>22,264</td>
<td>Francisco Rico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo/Topo</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td>48,286</td>
<td>Rafael Sanchez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lucas</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>8,875</td>
<td>Rafael Estrada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Miguelito de Trinidad</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>22,136</td>
<td>Rafael Gonzales</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

144 Fink, Monterey: The Presence of the Past, Appendix. Land grants were originally designated by leagues rather than acres. Often, the boundaries were vague and the amount of land included in the grant was not known exactly.
D. EARLY AMERICAN PERIOD (ca. 1848–1890): LAND GRANT SUBDIVISIONS, HOMESTEADING AND TRANSITIONS IN EXTENSIVE AGRICULTURE

1. Introduction: Statehood, Settlers and Subdivisions

During the Early American Period (ca. 1848-1890), various geographical, environmental, social, cultural, political, governmental and technological factors shaped Monterey County’s agricultural development. This period was significant because after the Gold Rush, rancho owners began subdividing their unfenced, vast holdings into smaller, sometimes fenced farm parcels and town lots, changing the cultural landscape. Similarly, homesteaders established small, 160-acre farmsteads on government lands throughout the county. From the mid- to late-nineteenth century, ranches and farms transitioned among different types of extensive agriculture (animals and crops requiring a low level of labor and capital relative to the farm’s size). Beef cattle gave way to grain fields, potatoes and beans.

California and Monterey County had low populations before the Gold Rush, but immigrants flooded in after rumors of potential riches traveled the world. In the first two years of statehood (1850-1852), California’s population jumped from 92,597 to 265,000 and Monterey County’s population rose from 1,872 to 2,700. Monterey County’s population doubled between 1852 and 1860, when its 4,739 residents included six Chinese.\footnote{Fink, Monterey: The Presence of the Past, 116. J. D. B. DeBow, The Seventh Census of the United States: 1850 (Washington, D.C.: Robert Armstrong, Public Printer, 1853), 969, 970, 972, 982. Joseph C. G. Kennedy, Preliminary Report of the Eighth Census, 1860 (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1862), 247. The Chinese population was undercounted in the census. By about 1860, several hundred Chinese residents lived in a Monterey County fishing village near the present-day Hopkins Marine Station of Stanford University in Pacific Grove.} In the ensuing decades, many ethnic groups converted Monterey County into a highly productive agricultural center.

Initially, newcomers were far more interested in mining gold than tilling soil. In 1850, three-quarters of male Californians were miners. When gold fortunes proved elusive, former miners sought new work and some started farming in Monterey County.\footnote{DeBow, The Seventh Census of the United States: 1850, 976. Fink, Monterey: The Presence of the Past, 136. California Department of Transportation, A Historical Context and Archaeological Research Design for Agricultural Properties in California (Sacramento, CA: Division of Environmental Analysis, California Department of Transportation, 2007).} As new residents clamored for land, Congress created the United States Land Commission in 1851 to review Spanish and Mexican land grants and open invalid claims for settlement. But by the mid-1860s, still only a few thousand people owned the state’s prime agricultural land.\footnote{Fink, Monterey: The Presence of the Past, 136-137. Nuckton, et al., “California Agriculture: The Human Story,” A Guidebook to California Agriculture, 11. Even into the 1930s, a few people owned a great deal of land: 516 owners possessed a total of 8,685,439 acres and sixteen owners controlled at least 84 square miles each.}

In the mid- to late-1800s, Monterey County agriculture made several major shifts. Until the mid-nineteenth century, ranching was big business because it did not rely on water and cattle herds could graze on large expanses of land. When the Gold Rush and American statehood brought
thousands of new settlers to California, miners and residents of San Francisco and Sacramento needed food, demand for cattle rose, and ranchers sold them as beef on the hoof instead of for hides and tallow. They drove livestock from southern Monterey County through the San Antonio and Salinas Valleys. However, after droughts and floods in the 1860s killed thousands of cattle, sheep and other stock and destroyed profits, land became more valuable for crops than for grazing and the cultural landscape changed to reflect this economic reality.

Agricultural pursuits changed from cattle ranching to dry farming, grain production, dairying and raising smaller animals like hogs and sheep. As less grazing land was needed, the size, pattern and use of parcels changed to accommodate new agricultural practices. Some farmers fenced in open land or fenced in their building clusters. In some cases, fencing separated animals from crops. In other cases, landowners fenced in large properties as a display of wealth.

Settlement incentives also changed Monterey County’s cultural landscape. Both the California Land Settlement Act of 1851 and the Homestead Act of 1862 created smaller land parcels and more farmers. Under the Land Act, owners of the large Spanish and Mexican land grants either patented or lost their holdings. As owners subdivided vast tracts into smaller farms and settlers claimed 160-acre tracts of public land, agricultural production increased and diversified.

New buildings and structures dotted the landscape, including rammed-earth adobe farmhouses, livestock barns, wood-transverse crib barns, stables, storage buildings for agricultural machinery, workshops, machine sheds, privies, storage sheds, smoke houses, warehouses, granaries, corrals, fences, windmills, water pumps, elevated water tanks, cisterns, watering troughs and wharves. Vegetation included shade trees around the building cluster, vegetable and flower gardens, and plantings demarcating entries and roadways.

2. **Land Grant Subdivisions**

**California Land Settlement Act of 1851.** Congress passed the California Land Settlement Act (Land Act) of 1851, spurring conveyances and subdivisions that changed the cultural landscape by dividing vast ranchos into smaller farms. Owners of Spanish and Mexican land grants had two years to prove their titles before the United States Land Commission. If a grantee failed to make a claim, the property became federal land. Some grantees lost their property to foreclosure, attorneys, speculators or squatters because of high legal defense fees and the average seventeen-year wait to adjudicate claims. The City of Monterey suffered the most notorious

---
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property loss under the Land Act, losing 30,000 acres to its attorney Delos R. Ashley and local resident David Jacks, who bought the land at auction when the City could not pay Ashley’s bill for successfully patenting the land.  

David Jacks.  Scotland native David Jacks (1822-1909) was one of Monterey County’s most controversial and pivotal figures. Including the 30,000 acres he bought from the City of Monterey, Jacks acquired about 100,000 acres through purchase, foreclosure and auction, taking full advantage of the Land Act’s negative repercussions on property owners. His acquisitions and agricultural pursuits significantly impacted the county’s cultural landscape, particularly in the Salinas Valley, where he owned many of the Spanish and Mexican adobes that were associated with Monterey County’s early agriculture. He was a progressive landowner, signing formal contracts with his farmers and tenants, working with Chinese and Japanese farmers, using modern equipment, adopting new irrigation methods, seeking responsible and profitable land use, and subdividing his property into smaller farms when appropriate. His contributions to Monterey County’s agricultural history are discussed later in this chapter.

---

152 This land included the future City of Pacific Grove, Pebble Beach, Fort Ord, Seventeen Mile Drive, Hotel Del Monte, the Del Monte Forest, Del Rey Oaks, Seaside, Jacks Peak County Park and many other local landmarks.


155 Larsen, “The Amazing Success Story of the Jacks Family,” Mills Quarterly, 8-10. Jimmy Costello, “Monterey Lost Rich Heritage to Shrewd Scot,” Monterey Peninsula Herald, 1963. In 1907, David Jacks turned all of his property over to his wife, Mary (Maria). On July 3, 1907 the David Jacks Corporation was formed in Nevada and two days later, Mary deeded all of her property to the Corporation. The couple’s seven children served as company directors, paying their parents a monthly income until David Jacks died in 1909 and Mary Jacks died in 1917. The six surviving Jacks children dissolved the corporation in 1919 and divided the property equally. The Jacks children continued to manage, sell and donate property until the last child died in 1962; none of the children had offspring. Margaret Jacks donated the Los Coches/Richardson Adobe (National Register; California Historical Landmark; Monterey County Register) to the State of California in 1958.
Juan B. Castro and Castroville. Before the 1860s drought, Juan B. Castro’s cattle grazed on his family’s 36,000-acre land grant, Rancho Bolsa Nueva y Moro Cojo. When the drought killed his animals and lowered cattle prices, Castro unsuccessfully tried to sell his rancho for fifty cents an acre. His back-up plan — founding the North County town of Castroville — forever changed Monterey County’s cultural landscape and land use patterns.

In the winter of 1863-1864, Castro created the county’s second subdivision (the first was called “Little Town,” named for Milton Little in the 1850s) and second town (after Monterey). He founded Castroville on a southwest portion of his rancho, donating land for public use and giving away 100 parcels by lottery. Each block had an alley in the middle; individual lots measured fifty by 130 feet. In 1870, seeking more residents, Castro offered “alternate lots, on any part of the town site we still own . . . to any person who will build as soon as practicable, a good comfortable dwelling-house on his lot.” Castro also subdivided his eastern land. In 1871, he wanted the Southern Pacific to build its Salinas Valley terminus in Castroville, but asked too much for the land and would not donate it to the railroad. Instead, the railroad built the region’s first roundhouse in Castroville and the terminus in Salinas.

Juan Castro was significant in Monterey County’s agricultural history because he founded Castroville, the county’s first subdivision and the North County’s largest town. He subdivided his land when extensive agriculture proved unprofitable, starting a Monterey County land use trend. Other rancho owners later subdivided their properties into smaller parcels and intensive agriculture replaced extensive agriculture. Castro’s rancho was originally associated with cattle ranching, but since the 1920s, Castroville has been devoted to growing artichokes, an intensive crop. Castroville has also been home to several ethnic communities that worked in agriculture: Italians (who developed the artichoke industry), Chinese (who lived in Castroville’s one-block

---
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160 Johnston, Old Monterey County: A Pictorial History, 80. Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 7, 9, 18-19. Dunn, Monterey County, California, 17. Starting in 1911, the Southern Pacific called the Castroville train station “Del Monte Junction” for a time. Patrons of Monterey’s Hotel Del Monte switched trains at Castroville for the hotel. (Clark, Monterey County Place Names, 134.)
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Chinatown) and Japanese (who worked with sugar beets and other crops and built Castroville’s Japanese Language School in 1936).

**John Porter and Pajaro.** In 1864, the same time that Juan Castro founded Castroville, prominent North County citizen John T. Porter acquired 820 acres of the Vallejo family’s San Cayetano Rancho. The property was just south of the Pajaro River and north of Castro’s rancho. His property is the current location of the North County town of Pajaro. Among other achievements, he co-founded the Bank of Watsonville (1874) and the Pajaro Valley National Bank (1888), which offered favorable loans to farmers. Porter was the area’s largest sugar beet grower in the 1870s and part-owner of Claus Spreckels’s sugar beet factory at Soquel. He was also an early strawberry farmer, planting fifty acres on his Pajaro ranch in 1883.

Porter was one of the first Pajaro Valley farmers to hire Chinese laborers. He also helped them with immigration matters, testified on their behalf in criminal proceedings and attended their social events. Porter owned the land and buildings in Watsonville where a Chinatown developed in 1865 on the corner of Maple and Union. After anti-Chinese sentiment arose in Santa Cruz County in the 1880s, Porter moved Watsonville’s Chinatown — buildings and residents — to his Pajaro property in 1888.

The new settlement was called “Brooklyn,” reportedly because it occupied a similar geographical (and perhaps status) relationship to Watsonville as the New York borough of Brooklyn did to Manhattan. It became one of California’s largest Chinatowns. The Porters provided a fire department, school and other municipal services. Chinatown burned in 1924 and 1933, after which the Porter family subdivided and sold the land. The Chinese Association bought the Chinese School at 18 Brooklyn Street, which had replaced the school destroyed in the 1924 fire, and which survived the 1933 fire. The school is listed in the Monterey County

---

163 Swift, “Unveiling the Porter Family Legacy.” Different sources list the purchase date as 1864 or 1874. In 1928, Mrs. Porter told a newspaper that they paid off the purchase in ten years. In those days, deeds generally were recorded only when the land was paid off, which would be 1874. (“Mrs. J. T. Porter, 90 Today, Taught Our First School,” Watsonville Register-Pajaronian, 7 March 1928.)


168 Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 7, 76.
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Register, but has suffered extreme integrity loss. Students learned the Chinese language, history and culture for four hours every day, after attending public school. The school operated until World War II.\footnote{Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 77.} It contains apartments that have significantly altered the building’s integrity.

The Pajaro and Watsonville Chinatowns, although no longer extant, were significant to the region’s agricultural history. The Chinese, an early important component of the North County’s agricultural labor force, experienced widespread discrimination but the Porter family readily offered them a place to live and conduct their businesses. The old Chinese School and the name of Brooklyn Street are some of the only reminders of Pajaro’s former Chinatown.

In 1938, the John T. Porter Company also subdivided a portion of its property in the North County’s Hall District, now part of

\footnote{Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 72, 76, 79, 81.} \footnote{Sanborn Fire Insurance map of Pajaro, 1908. County of Monterey Historical File: Pajaro Survey.}
Along Hall Road, the Porter Company created a series of twenty-one one-acre lots so buyers could create small farms to supplement their seasonal agricultural income. This subdivision continued the Porter family’s tradition of using its land holdings to provide housing for local agricultural workers. The subdivision implemented Federal Housing Administration (FHA) financing standards and used FHA-approved house plans. The Porter Company provided all building materials and retained title to each parcel until the buyer paid off the house and other improvements. Some of the Las Lomas FHA houses still exist and are described in Chapter 5.

Several other properties associated with the Porter family are still extant. The Porter-Vallejo Mansion at 29 Bishop Street in Pajaro is one of two North County properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places; it is also in the Monterey County Register. The Porter family continued to own their historic Las Lomas Ranch (in the same vicinity as the 1930s Las Lomas subdivision) until recently, when they donated it to the Elkhorn Slough Foundation. The next chapter describes the Porter-Vallejo Mansion, the 1930s Las Lomas subdivision and the Las Lomas Ranch in greater detail.

Juan Castro and John Porter significantly modified Monterey County’s cultural landscape, prompting extensive agriculture-related community development. Both men carved planned settlements out of their vast North County land holdings but retained some land for extensive and intensive agriculture pursuits. Their subdivisions are still visible on the landscape today. The towns of Castroville, Pajaro and Las Lomas still retain original street patterns, property boundaries, transportation networks and agriculture-related buildings that developed because of Castro’s and Porter’s decisions to subdivide and develop their properties. For example, the Southern Pacific Railroad built its tracks and established major stops through Castroville and Pajaro because both communities had become significant agricultural centers. Because of the railroad’s presence, many agricultural businesses built processing and distribution facilities along the railroad tracks in Castroville and Pajaro, to ship agricultural goods to market as soon as possible. These and other related developments are discussed further below and in Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds.

After Castro and Porter started dividing their holdings, other subdivisions followed and by 1890, Monterey County farmers owned smaller parcels and crop diversification followed. The size, layout and buildings on farmsteads varied depending on animal and crop requirements, the property owner’s financial means and other factors. Farms developed along primary transportation routes, either railroad or roadway, facilitating distribution of goods to the

---

174 In 2001, the Elkhorn Slough Foundation acquired 332 acres of the Porter property along Elkhorn Road and Hall Road. It is called the Porter Preserve and includes the marsh at the northern end of the Elkhorn Slough, the historic Porter house and oak-studded pasture land. Elkhorn Slough Foundation, “Elkhorn Slough Protected Lands,” http://www.elkhornslough.org/protected.htm (accessed 5 March 2010).
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marketplace. Remarkably, many of the historic property boundaries from the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries are still evident on the landscape.

3. Homesteading

In the first few decades after California statehood, governmental incentives brought new settlers to Monterey County to farm on plots much smaller than the original land grants. These laws changed the cultural landscape by requiring settlers to build residences and farm the land. Under the 1851 Land Act, potential settlers could petition for title to public lands by promising to build and occupy a house and to farm or raise livestock.\(^{178}\) Similarly, under the Homestead Act of 1862, settlers selected 160 acres of surveyed, unclaimed public land, acquiring title after building a house, living there for five years, farming, and paying fees. Settlers could also gain title after six months by changing from homestead to preemption status and paying $1.25 an acre.\(^{179}\)

Homesteaders took advantage of these settlement opportunities where public land was available. However, many large Monterey County parcels were in private hands, including the vast Spanish and Mexican land grants that often comprised the best land. Some landowners, like David Jacks, subdivided and leased property to tenant farmers, a trend discussed later in this chapter.\(^{180}\)

A few South County homesteaders made claims in the 1860s, but most came in the 1870s and 1880s. The Civil War, the area’s remoteness, drought and lack of transportation limited new settlement in the area until then. Transcontinental migration increased after the Civil War ended in 1865. Some of the earliest South County homesteaders were Salinan Indians who formerly worked at Mission San Antonio, as well as Hispanic settlers. Others came from the town of Monterey, the Midwest, Mexico, England, Ireland, France, Italy and Germany.\(^{181}\) Land grantees had already claimed the best property along the Salinas and San Antonio rivers, so homesteaders were limited to hilly areas, canyons and smaller valleys, including Long Valley, Pine Valley, Priest Valley, Indian Valley, Slack Canyon, Hames Valley, Sapaque Valley and Harris Valley. Some squatted on unsurveyed land. To meet their social, spiritual and daily needs, some South County homesteaders concentrated in tight-knit communities like Lockwood, Jolon, Bryson, Hesperia, Parkfield, Hames Valley, Priest’s Valley and Harris Valley. Town centers generally included a post office, school, hotel, church, market and community hall. Some families settled near others from their homeland, like German families from the Isle of Föhr living in the Lockwood area.\(^{182}\)

\(^{182}\) Galvin, *Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area*, 91-92.
Lockwood is typical of early small Monterey County agricultural settlements. Located west of the Salinas River in the lower San Antonio Valley, Lockwood is named after Belva Ann Lockwood, the first woman to run for President of the United States. Lockwood developed when new settlers arrived in the 1870s from the Milpitas Rancho, Jolon, Germany’s Isle of Föhr and elsewhere. Earlier homesteaders sold 160-acre parcels to the newcomers, who brought relatives to help expand the farms. The fifth generations of early Lockwood families farm the original parcels today; some have grown to several thousand acres. At one point, Lockwood had a hotel, saloon, general store, livery stable, community hall and the Pleasant View School.183

Many new South County settlers dry farmed barley, wheat, hay and corn and raised cattle, hogs, sheep, poultry and horses. With limited funds, the settlers built simple structures with materials found on the property. Common designs included a saltbox house with a simple, side-gable roof; a one-story, two-room, hall and parlor house; or log cabins. Settlers in the 1880s built homes out of rammed earth adobe constructed in forms. Most had a fireplace and sandstone or hard-packed earth floors. Rectangular adobes had gable roofs, and shed roofs over full-width porches or verandas. Square adobes had a hipped or pyramidal roofs and a veranda. Some of the adobe houses had basements, which the farmers dug to provide earth to build the house and any adobe outbuildings.184 After the Southern Pacific Railroad expanded into the South County, farmers and ranchers started to build larger, two-story, wood-framed homes.185

184 Galvin, Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area, 91-92, 94.
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The South County contains a number of extant homesteads that are covered in more detail in Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds. Most contain an adobe residence and other agricultural buildings. One of the most intact homesteads is the Patterson Ranch at 69461 Bradley Lockwood Road in Lockwood. In 1882, Benjamin Franklin Patterson moved from Oregon to the South County. He established a ranch about two miles southeast of the Lockwood area, in San Antonio Valley. Patterson raised cattle, hogs and chickens and grew wheat and barley. The homestead was originally 160 acres but grew to 3,000 acres. The 160-acre Patterson Ranch contains three homes (a circa 1899 rammed-earth adobe with Italianate detailing, a circa 1920 house, and one of unknown date), a barn serving as a wagon shed and granary (circa 1880), machinery shed (circa 1880s-'90s), chicken coop (circa 1920s), adobe smokehouse (circa 1870s), horse barn (circa 1870s), three circular grain storage bins (circa 1916), and sheds. It also had a blacksmith shop and a cistern.186

4. Transitions in Extensive Agriculture

The extensive agriculture begun during the Spanish and Mexican periods continued to dominate Monterey County farms in the American Period. Newcomers discouraged by California’s dry summers and wet winters persevered and Monterey County grain and vegetable production increased by the early 1850s.187 During this period, large ranchos, small farms and subsistence family farms engaged in extensive agriculture like ranching and growing grains. Farmers gradually converted open grazing lands to fenced fields of barley, wheat, hay, oats, potatoes and beans to feed the burgeoning population.188 Fencing the land changed the cultural landscape. Previously, natural features like trees or rock outcroppings marked property boundaries. Fences kept animals out of crop fields, clarified previously vague property boundaries and announced that the property owner was wealthy enough to afford fencing.

Extensive agriculture is significant because it was a principal factor in transforming the relatively open, sparsely populated natural landscape into productive agricultural land. Many Monterey County communities developed in association with the growth of extensive agriculture, including most of the communities along the Southern Pacific Railroad line, discussed later in this chapter.
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a. **Cattle Ranching:**

Monterey County’s large cattle ranches represent the first phase of extensive agriculture, dating to the mission period and the Spanish and Mexican land grants. As non-Hispanics acquired the grants, the cultural landscape changed from a few isolated, unfenced rancho outposts raising cattle to larger clusters of fenced cattle complexes and large corporations, representing the shift from free-range to corralled cattle. The rammed-earth adobes, barns, outbuildings, railroads, fences and other man-made features associated with cattle ranching changed the cultural landscape and marked the expansion of California agriculture. In part because of its remoteness, the South County has retained more cattle operations than other parts of Monterey County.

By 1849, enormous herds of black Spanish cattle roamed freely over the County’s large, unfenced Spanish and Mexican land grants.\(^{189}\) Cattle ranching flourished between 1849 and 1865 but then declined in favor of crop agriculture when supply matched demand in the mid-1850s; rancho owners subdivided or lost their land grants; breeders introduced improved American livestock to the market; and drought and floods killed thousands of cattle.\(^{190}\) To get to market in the early years of ranching, vaqueros drove herds of about 700 to 1,000 cattle on hoof through California’s coastal and interior valleys. Herds ate grass along the route as they moved north at a daily clip of about ten or fifteen miles. To allow their cattle to recover from the trip and regain weight before being slaughtered, owners might lease land near the destination point.\(^{191}\) When Southern Pacific extended its rail lines to southern California in the late 1800s, ranchers shipped their stock via rail and the cultural landscape continued to evolve.\(^{192}\)

When demand for beef rose in California during the Gold Rush, cattle prices rose and stayed high until about 1855. By 1853, 62,000 head of cattle had arrived from the East and Midwest and fattened up in the San Joaquin and Sacramento Valleys before hitting the market.\(^{193}\) The influx of out-of-state cattle plus the growth of California’s sheep industry in the early 1850s drove cattle prices down.\(^{194}\) By 1856, the market was saturated and cattle prices dropped by two-thirds.\(^{195}\) Ranchers were in debt, unable to pay high interest rates and many lost their land, which was subsequently subdivided into smaller parcels.\(^{196}\)

Problems worsened in the early 1860s when climactic fluctuations dramatically impacted California’s agricultural focus and economy. It began pouring in December 1861 and floods
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crippled California. From 1861 to 1865, thirty days of rain and then thirty months of extreme drought killed more than 75,000 Salinas Valley cattle.\textsuperscript{197} Monterey County ranchers owned 90,450 cattle in 1862, but only 41,847 by 1875.\textsuperscript{198} This disaster forced ranchers to shift their economic focus. Juan Castro subdivided his rancho and founded the town of Castroville in 1863-64 (described above in the section on Land Grant Subdivisions).\textsuperscript{199} The southern Salinas Valley shifted from cattle to grain farming and the northern Salinas Valley shifted from cattle to sheep ranching.\textsuperscript{200}

Rainfall from the Sierra Nevada and the coast ranges pooled into a Central Valley inland sea, business and travel halted, and about 200,000 head of cattle drowned statewide (possibly one-quarter of California’s taxable wealth). Some cattlemen prospered, however. At the market’s height in the early 1850s, cattle brought up to $75 a head in San Francisco. When prices plummeted to about $8 a head during the 1860s drought, the wealthy agricultural corporation of Miller and Lux bought cattle cheaply and drove herds to safety in Oregon. Because their cattle empire spread across millions of acres in California, Nevada and Oregon, the firm was able to survive the devastating effects of the floods and drought.\textsuperscript{201}

Monterey County’s ranching complexes were vast acres of valleys and rolling hills. They utilized natural landscape features, including natural grasses and valley waterways, to feed and water their livestock when possible. Ranches had a building cluster, including a house, barns and other outbuildings. The main residence was typically made of adobe. Some ranch buildings were rectangular with a gable roof, plus a shed roof over the porch. Others were square with a hipped or pyramidal roof and a veranda. Ranch properties generally included multiple horse and livestock barns. Some were adobe, front-gable barns; others were wooden, transverse crib barns. Outbuildings included bunk houses, stables, workshops, machine sheds, storage sheds, wood sheds, pump houses, granaries, privies and later, garages. Other structures, objects and features included windmills, wells, water pumps, watering troughs, cisterns and natural springs. Large graded dirt areas surrounded the building cluster. Roadways and circulation routes included the main road to the house, pathways between buildings, animal pathways and hillside cattle terraces. Besides the natural vegetation, shade trees surrounded the building cluster, vegetable and flower gardens supplied the household, and plantings demarcated entries and roadways. In later years, ranches also had fencing and corrals.\textsuperscript{202}

When California became a state, it adopted the common law of England to the extent it did not conflict with federal or state law. The common law of grazing recognized that residents had
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common grazing rights, free access to the open range, so California’s “Trespass Act” of 1850 required farmers to fence out cattle rather than requiring cattle owners to fence them in. If farmers wanted to be compensated for future damage cattle inflicted on their crops, they had to build stone fences 4.5 feet high, lumber or rail fences 5.5 feet high, or hedges 5 feet high. These new fences were a major change on the cultural landscape. When the agriculture industry’s focus shifted from cattle to grains, the 1872 “No Fence Law” shifted the fencing burden from farmers to cattle owners. However, exemptions applied to California counties where stock raising was extensive, likely because of the prohibitive cost of fencing large grazing tracts. The new law spurred yet another change in the cultural landscape when inventors filed many barbed wire patents in the 1870s, making fencing cheaper for cattle owners.203

In 1852, English rancher Joseph Roadhouse bought 800 acres along the Elkhorn Slough, built a home and raised cattle and race horses.205 In 1867, Azores Islands native Cato Vierra, who built Moss Landing’s wharf and warehouses, also owned a 1,000-acre cattle ranch in the wharf vicinity.206

Chinese workers arrived in Monterey County in the mid-1860s. By 1866, they (and later the Japanese) worked on reclamation projects to drain swampy areas of the North County for agricultural use, including sloughs, lakes and marshes around Castroville and wetlands around the Elkhorn and Moro Cojo sloughs.207 The reclaimed land was first used primarily for livestock grazing.208

Irish immigrants James and Mary Kirby started buying a great deal of North County property in 1870, eventually more than 5,500 acres. They raised cattle, pigs, chickens and bees, and grew hay and other crops. Much of their land was in the Hall District (now Las Lomas), Hidden

204 Elkhorn Slough Foundation, “History of Elkhorn Slough, 1852.”
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Valley and Strawberry Valley. The Nature Conservancy, Elkhorn Slough Foundation and Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine Reserve have permanently preserved much of the Kirby family’s former cattle grazing land. The North County currently has a few cattle operations, mostly in the eastern hills along San Juan Grade Road.

Because of its remoteness and its hilly, mountainous terrain, the South County has retained more ranching operations than either the North County or the Salinas Valley. Large South County cattle operations operated on rancho land in the best valley and hill areas. Some expanded to include dry farming. Representative ranching operations from this period included San Bernardo Ranch, San Lucas Ranch, Peach Tree Ranch, Pleyto Ranch, Milpitas Ranch, Ranchos El Piojo and San Miguelito, and the Salsipuedes Ranch. The San Bernardo Ranch at 63113 Railroad Street in San Ardo is 233 acres and contains numerous buildings associated with both cattle ranching and dairying, including horse, dairy and main barns.

Large cattle herds also grazed on the former Milpitas Rancho near Jolon. In 1838, Mexico granted the 43,280-acre rancho, former Mission San Antonio land, to Ignacio Pastor. By 1872, Faxon D. Atherton owned the land, farmed on 10,000 acres, dammed Mission Creek to irrigate alfalfa fields, grazed large cattle herds on the rolling hills and conducted a small dairy operation. Olive and fruit trees grew without irrigation on the former mission lands.

Large-scale farming and ranching supplied the beef needs of grocery stores and restaurants in large cities like San Francisco and Los Angeles. The firm of Miller and Lux, which owned land in Monterey County, was one of the most important agricultural and land companies meeting those needs. Miller and Lux’s cattle empire controlled about three million acres in California, Nevada and Oregon, including all of Peachtree Valley (slightly northeast of King City) in Monterey County. The company established surveying offices to get accurate land measurements, determining property boundaries, elevations and dimensions.
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German butcher Heinrich Kreiser moved to New York in 1846 and to California in 1850 as Henry Miller, the name on the non-transferable steamer ticket he bought from a New York friend. He opened a successful San Francisco butcher shop on Jackson Street. He bought cattle from stockyards in San Francisco, Santa Clara Valley, San Joaquin Valley and the San Francisco peninsula. In 1857, he expanded his cattle empire by buying options on all available cattle north of the Tehachapi range in Southern California, stunning his colleagues and allowing Miller to set terms. In 1858, he founded Miller and Lux with former competitor Charles Lux, who managed the San Francisco office while Miller traveled California buying land and cattle. Like dairyman David Jacks did in Monterey County, Miller and Lux acquired land in many different ways. They bought ranchos outright or bought out one rancho heir, raised cattle on the land as a tenant in common with other heirs, then bought them out. They loaned ranchers money on future cattle profits and foreclosed on the loan when sales disappointed. They paid the firm’s employees to file 160-acre Homestead Act claims and then bought them out.

Their large landholdings throughout the state heavily influenced California’s water law and irrigation development. Miller and Lux and the Kern County Land Company of Haggin and Tevis were the biggest landholders in Kern County and built almost all of the southern San Joaquin Valley’s big drainage projects and canal systems. In the case of Miller & Lux v. Enterprise Canal & Land Co. (1915) the court held that a landowner’s riparian rights (owners of land bordering a body of water have the right to reasonable use of it) last only from when the water reaches the user’s land until it flows past the land. After Miller and Lux’s litigation, irrigation districts soon developed to distribute water in California (described in the section on Irrigation, below).

b. Sheep and Other Stock:

Starting in the Spanish and Mexican eras and continuing into the American period, Monterey County ranchers raised sheep. After floods and drought devastated Monterey County from 1861 to 1865, northern Salinas Valley ranching shifted from cattle to sheep. By 1870, Monterey County raised more sheep than any other California county.

In 1859, Englishman Eugene Sherwood started raising sheep on Rancho San Lorenzo (north of San Lucas in the South County) but quit the business after the drought. However, he and other
established the Monterey County Fairgrounds to exhibit the area’s high quality stock and produce.226

In the South County area of San Lucas, Italian Alberto Trescony persevered through the climate changes and operated Rancho San Lucas, one of the most prominent and successful Monterey County sheep, cattle and barley ranches in the nineteenth century. Rancho San Lucas is the County’s best example of an extensive agriculture farmstead. The Trescony family has owned and operated it since 1862.227 As a teenager, Alberto Trescony (ca. 1812-1892) left his native Italy for Paris and then for America in the late 1830s, working in tinsmithing, construction, restaurants and other enterprises until he arrived in Texas. From there, he took advantage of Mexico’s bonus for sheep driven to the capital, selling 2,000 animals there and moving to Monterey, California with the profits. There, he worked in metal, operated a cantina, owned cattle and horses, and acquired a cattle brand that is the oldest working cattle brand in the state today. In addition to owning Monterey’s Washington Hotel (where delegates to California’s Constitutional Convention stayed) and San Juan Bautista’s St. John’s Hotel (used by people on the way to the southern mines), Trescony bought Elias Howe’s Half Way House tavern along the Monterey-San Juan Bautista stage route. Combining all of his previous trades into one enterprise, Trescony added a hotel, store and blacksmith shop to the tavern and the property became a centerpiece of the city of Salinas.228

Trescony paid Monterey merchant James McKinley $3,000 for the 8,875-acre rancho in 1862, in the midst of the floods and drought that destroyed the stock herds and wealth of many rancho owners. In 1867, he bought about 3,000 acres of the nearby Rancho San Bernardo. Trescony raised cattle and horses, but sheep were his focus. By 1870, Trescony’s herd of 22,000 sheep ranged on Rancho San Lucas and neighboring properties. His Basque shepherds drove the herd as far as San José to graze on open land. Trescony sold the sheep for their meat, hides and wool. Trescony drove the sheep to rail stations at Soledad, Gilroy or San José and shipped hides and wool from Moss Landing to San Francisco commission merchants.229

In 1880, Trescony bought the 22,000-acre Rancho Tularcitos in Carmel Valley, which was a dairy farm.230 He kept it going but also leased or sold portions of the rancho to tenant farmers. In 1885, he added 6,700 acres to Rancho San Lucas after buying the adjacent San Benito Rancho. He grew a high-quality malting barley which he sold on the international market, including in Liverpool, England.231 Monterey County has always traded internationally, starting with the Mexican Republic in the 1800s, and Trescony’s endeavors are a good example of early international trade from the County.232
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Trescony was one of a series of Monterey County landowners to offer land to the Southern Pacific Railroad so the rail line could link Northern and Southern California. The railroad had already reached Chualar, Gonzales and Soledad by 1873, thanks to David Jacks, (through his Chualar Rancho) the Gonzalez brothers (through their Rincon de la Puente del Monte Rancho) and Catalina Munras (through her Rancho San Vicente). In 1883, Trescony deeded a twelve-mile right-of-way through Rancho San Lucas to the railroad. In 1886, the railroad laid track through the lands of Charles King (King City station and town), Trescony (San Lucas station and town), Brandenstein and Godchaux (San Ardo station and town) and Bradley Sargent (Bradley station and town).233

After the town of San Lucas became established on his property in 1886-87, Trescony equipped and leased fifteen farms to tenant farmers. Some tenants later bought their own farms, just like tenant farmers did after working for other Monterey County agricultural operations like the Spreckels Sugar Company, Salinas Land Company, California Orchard Company, and David Jacks. The tenant farming system was a critical component of transitioning immigrants from field workers to land owners. Trescony tenant M. Righetti of Cayucos leased 3,000 acres for a dairy farm and Trescony supplied the materials for a barn, two dairy houses, water pumps, corrals and barbed wire. Trescony also graded a road from San Lucas to the west, opening 8,000 acres for wheat farming. By the time he died in 1892, Trescony owned more than 40,000 acres of farmland and San Lucas was the most important shipping point in the South County.234

Listed as a historic district in the National Register of Historic Places, Rancho San Lucas’s period of significance is from 1862-1892. The rancho is significant because of Alberto Trescony’s substantial contributions to Monterey County agriculture, including cross-breeding livestock, introducing improved cereal varieties, and developing San Lucas as the most important market center in the South County.235 The 3,400-acre ranch includes ten historic buildings and structures, corral fencing and historic landscape features. The buildings include an adobe ranch house, adobe blacksmith shop and transverse adobe stock barn (all 1865), a bunkhouse and granary (both 1888), a three-bay stock barn, transverse stock barn, bull barn, wooden granary (all 1880s) and a cattle chute (circa 1911). Trescony’s wife Catherine created the Ranch House’s design and plan.236
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Besides sheep and cattle, Monterey County ranchers raised horses in the 1850s and 1860s. For example, English rancher Joseph Roadhouse, raised race horses (and cattle) on 800 acres along the Elkhorn Slough starting in 1852. In addition to his cattle, Meyer Brandenstein also operated a large pig farm near San Ardo in the 1870s. Hiram Corey and others also raised and bred prize horses in Monterey County. In 1872, Corey also leased the Bueno Vista Rancho (7,725 acres) and bought it in 1883, operating it as a stock and dairy ranch. The Corey House is listed on the National Register.

c. Grains:

As the United States Land Commission adjudicated claims and rancho owners divided their land into smaller parcels, crop production surpassed cattle grazing as the primary land use. Wheat demand rose during and after the Gold Rush, expanding as the Civil War opened markets, and farmers planted wheat, barley and other grains in Monterey County for decades. Partly because of the lack of summer rains or significant irrigation, farmers focused on winter grains.

J. Bryant Hill was one of the first Monterey County farmers to grow grain commercially, planting ninety-five barley acres in the Salinas Valley in 1852. Monterey and San Benito counties had 570 acres of wheat and 1,880 acres of barley in 1857; 5,350 acres of wheat and 18,486 acres of barley by 1862. The grain fields were vast, treeless and unfenced.
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After the droughts and floods of the early 1860s killed so many head of cattle, southern Salinas Valley farming shifted heavily to grain production. By 1867, California farmers grew oats. By 1869, wheat, barley and oats were the Pajaro Valley’s primary crops. Hill-grown wheat was “clear and free from rust” and considered superior to valley wheat. In 1873, local farmers produced 4.5 million tons of wheat, barley, oats, beans and potatoes, shipping daily loads to Moss Landing. Two years later, Monterey County farmers cultivated more than 130,000 acres, including almost 100,000 acres in wheat. The 1875 Watsonville Pajaronian noted that the Pajaro Depot had “tier upon tier of valuable grain piled nearly to the roof twenty feet high,” showing “the great productiveness of the valley.” However, grain crops suffered through more drought and floods in the 1870s and 1880s.

As new, faster, better agricultural machines came on the market, Monterey County farmers were able to produce more goods with less effort and fewer workers. In the early settlement period, clearing agricultural land in the North County hills was arduous. Men felled oak trees with cross-cut saws, removed stumps with hand shovels or a horse and pulley system, and cut roots with axes. The hard work of tilling soil, cultivating, harvesting and processing crops followed.

In 1848, a Santa Cruz foundry made California’s first iron plows, a vast improvement over the rudimentary plows first used by Monterey Presidio soldiers in the late 1700s. In 1859, horse-drawn mechanical harvesters replaced men who reaped grain by hand. Using gang plows, farmers could prepare the field and sow eighty to one
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hundred acres a day. Six horses or mules pulling a twelve-foot “header” could harvest fifteen to twenty-five acres of grain a day. In the 1870s, threshing crews had needed fourteen laborers, two feeders, an engineer and a sack sewer (sewing shut an average of 1,000 sacks of threshed grain a day). However, crew sizes fell by one third when a flatbed wagon called a low Derrick Table was invented to move stacked grain to the thresher. By 1880, California farmers used steam-powered threshers; the steam-powered tractor arrived a decade later. The 1870s and 1880s were the era of “bonanza wheat” farms, and grain acreage soared to a new high in 1889. Around 1900, gasoline-powered harvesters replaced thirty-horse combined harvesters.

An 1873 publication observed that the “rich little [Pajaro] valley has long been noted for the immense crops of grain and other products which it annually yields. . . . This is really garden land, and the adjoining hills and canyons are good grain land.” In the North County, grain fields covered the Pajaro Valley, including along San Juan Road and in the town of Aromas. In 1873, Daniel Tuttle had some of the best land in the valley, including wheat and sugar beet fields, and George Pardee had about 160 acres of good grain land near the beach. The area between Castroville and Salinas also contained extensive grain fields.

Several mills were located in and around Monterey County. Castroville had a flour and grain mill by 1868. The Farmers Flouring Mill in Watsonville processed local grains. Charles Thomas’s Pajaro Street mill could produce 100 barrels of flour in twenty-four hours. William Brumwell built the Salinas Flour Mill in 1870-71, north of the future Southern Pacific Railroad depot and west of Natividad Street.

Chinese workers labored in the grain fields, replacing the Ohlones. The Directory of the Town of Watsonville for 1873 noted that “[b]inding in the harvest fields seems by common consent to have been turned over to the Chinese, white laborers not caring particularly for this kind of work.” Paying the Chinese about $1.50 per acre, farmers employed many of them during the
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harvest season and throughout the year. Still, they said they “prefer white labor but are compelled to accept Chinese labor,” reflecting the same type of racial discrimination that forced the Chinese to move from the Watsonville Chinatown to a new Pajaro Chinatown in 1888.272

By 1888, California was the nation’s second-biggest wheat producer.273 But wheat production declined after 1890 when soils became depleted, disease harmed crops, farmers started growing intensive irrigated crops, foreign markets declined, and Argentina, Russia and India became competitive wheat producers.274 Faced with these challenges, Pajaro and Salinas Valley rancho owners subdivided their land into smaller parcels, often twenty acres or fewer, for sale or lease.275 Even so, Monterey County was one of California’s principal grain producers in 1915. At that point, Salinas Valley farmers grew mostly barley, wheat and oats. Eastern breweries bought most of the local barley and King City in the South County shipped most of the grain.276 In the early 1900s, Salinas Valley farmers produced about 95,000 acres of wheat, 59,000 acres of barley 58,000 acres of small grains, 2,374 acres of potatoes and 1,587 acres of alfalfa.277

The San Lucas Grain Elevator (circa 1900), located near the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks south of Main and Mary streets in San Lucas, is representative of this theme. The building cluster included the grain elevator and five metal cylindrical grain storage bins (circa 1950).278

The Patterson Ranch at 69461 Bradley Lockwood Road in Lockwood is one of the most intact nineteenth century homesteads and was used in part for growing wheat and barley, as well as raising cattle, hogs and chickens. Benjamin Franklin Patterson’s ranch (originally 160 acres but grew to 3,000 acres, now 160 acres again) contains three homes (a circa 1899 rammed-earth adobe with Italianate detailing, circa 1920, and unknown date), a barn serving as a wagon shed and granary (circa 1880), three circular grain storage bins (circa 1916), machinery shed (circa 1880s-’90s), chicken coop (circa 1920s), adobe smokehouse (circa 1870s), horse barn (circa 1870s), and sheds. It also had a blacksmith shop and a cistern. A portion of the property is used for livestock grazing.279

One of the most unusual remnants of the North County’s extensive agriculture is the Ellingwood Hay Company’s barn (1000 Highway 101, Aromas). In 1945, the Ellingwood Hay Company built the 20,000 square foot steel-framed hay barn.280 Leon’s Machine Works, Inc. of Watsonville used more than 22,000 pounds of aluminum and 100 tons of steel; Kaiser

272 Martin, Directory of the Town of Watsonville for 1873, 55-56, 58.
275 Mekis, Blossoms Into Gold, 71.
276 Dunn, Monterey County, California, 5.
277 “Salinas Valley’s History is Long and Distinguished,” The Packer, 26 June 1976.
278 Galvin, Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area, DPR 523, “San Lucas Grain Elevator, (No Address Available), San Lucas, CA.”
279 Galvin, Agricultural Resources In The South County Planning Area, 99 and DPR 523, “Patterson Ranch, 69461 Bradley Lockwood Road, Lockwood, CA.”
Permanente supplied some of each. More than 200 feet long, 100 feet wide and fifty-three feet high, the barn held about 5,000 tons of hay. It is still a major landmark along the highway. In contrast, the **Fanoe Road Farmstead** (circa 1930) on the 27300 block of Fanoe Road in Gonzales has a more traditional hay barn with twelve-inch wide vertical boards as siding.  

**d. Other Extensive Agriculture:**

When the Gold Rush began, farmers sought a fast profit from miners and hotels. Seen as a scurvy cure or preventative, potatoes were a prized crop and Monterey County farmers grew it extensively. In 1851, J. Bryant Hill planted the first Pajaro Valley potatoes on 1,000 Santa Cruz County acres. Disillusioned miners moved to the Pajaro Valley to replicate his success, but the 1853 crop overwhelmed the market and many farmers were financially ruined. Some recovered and planted wheat and other crops. The Irish were the first important immigrant group in Monterey County, farming potatoes and other crops. Other Monterey County farmers continued to grow potatoes over the years. Farmers also grew potatoes and beans in the Elkhorn area around 1914 and around Castroville. In 1915, Monterey County promotional materials claimed that the Salinas Valley “excels the world in potato raising,” particularly the Salinas Burbank potato. In that year, the “Salinas Burbank” potato grew on 5,000 acres in the northern Salinas Valley. Farmers also planted white, pink and red beans.

Monterey County residents also raised bees and other animals. In the nineteenth century, local bees produced a fine sage honey from the black or California sage covering the North County hills. After 1900, manzanita began displacing the sage and honey production declined. During World War II, Robert Blohm sold North County manzanita bulbs for smoking pipes.

From the 1860s to the 1890s, Californians planted many eucalyptus trees, thinking the hardwood would make good furniture. However, curing and marketing the wood was problematic and the trees became an important fuel source instead. In the early 1870s, some doctors incorrectly believed that eucalyptus could eradicate malaria and so the U.S. Department of Forestry and California Board of Forestry began distributing the so-called “Fever Destroying Tree” for that...
purpose. By 1874, about 1,000,000 eucalyptus trees grew in California.\textsuperscript{292} Between 1900 and 1930, North County farmers again planted eucalyptus trees as a crop.\textsuperscript{293} Planted from 1911-1920 for furniture use, the eucalyptus grove along Highway 101 east of Aromas is the largest in North America. Because eucalyptus trees do not spread far from where they are planted, the grove retains the sharp rectangular outline it had originally. Trees harvested from there have been used for firewood and cardboard.\textsuperscript{294} After Prunedale farmers stopped growing apples, they planted eucalyptus trees but found that the hard and dense wood cracked, making it a poor wood for making furniture. Instead, growers cut it for firewood, causing erosion problems. In 1929, the agricultural commissioner convinced them to replace the eucalyptus trees with fir trees.\textsuperscript{295} As part of a Depression-era project, the Civilian Conservation Corps also planted fir trees around the North County.\textsuperscript{296} Christmas tree farms later became big business in the North County.

5. Water Transportation of Agricultural Products

Before the Southern Pacific Railroad arrived in Monterey County in 1871, farmers had two shipping alternatives: wagons or boats. Neither was ideal. Limited roads, blocked routes and long distances made wagon distribution inefficient. Subsistence farming was the primary agricultural pursuit before 1860, but Monterey County farmers shipped some crops, like durable grains, to San Francisco and other markets via the Pacific Ocean.\textsuperscript{297} Farmers shipped goods from three main sites in the North County: Pajaro Landing, Brennan’s Landing (later called Watsonville Landing and Hudson’s Landing) and Moss Landing.

In 1855, James Brennan — ship owner, commission produce broker and owner of several coastal landings — bought Pajaro Landing at the mouth of the Pajaro River, near the end of present day Beach Road. At first, Ohlones hand-carried 100-pound sacks of grain to rowboats, then rowed the cargo out to larger ships. In 1856, Captain Edward Barry installed a mechanism that used an

\textsuperscript{292} Gordon, Monterey Bay Area: Natural History and Cultural Imprints, 78-79.


\textsuperscript{294} Gordon, Monterey Bay Area: Natural History and Cultural Imprints, 81-82. In Alfred Hitchcock’s 1958 movie \textit{Vertigo}, Jimmy Stewart and Kim Novak’s characters drive through the eucalyptus grove on Highway 101.

\textsuperscript{295} Jackson, “Prunedale?,” North Monterey County Fortnighter.


\textsuperscript{297} Molho, “Crossing the Bar: A Brief History of Agriculture and Transportation on the Central Coast.”
offshore buoy, pulley system, and a mule-driven windlass to tow crops efficiently and safely on covered, protected surf boats out to anchored schooners.298

Brennan sought a location where ships could be more easily loaded, so he tried bringing ships into the Salinas River mouth. Starting in 1860, he built Brennan’s Landing, warehouses and loading facilities at Elkhorn Slough’s northern end. He had the Salinas steamer built, which delivered grain and produce from the landing to San Francisco twice weekly and brought goods back from the city. In 1867, Brennan sold his interests in the Salinas, Brennan’s Landing and his other interests to his partner and employee Captain Robert Sudden. Captain Sudden needed a new agent and brought in Goodall, Nelson and Perkins, a shipping line that eventually became the Pacific Coast Steamship Company. Brennan’s Landing’s name changed to Watsonville Landing and then to Hudson’s Landing, after Mark A. Hudson who operated it for 40 years, starting in 1868.299 In 1914, E. C. Vierra dismantled the landing’s warehouse buildings and salvaged over 200,000 board-feet of valuable redwood, some boards up to two feet wide.300

Impressed by James Brennan’s success, Captain Charles Moss built Moss Landing at the mouth of the Elkhorn Slough in 1866 and it became the main shipping point for Salinas and Pajaro Valley crops until the railroad arrived in 1871.301 Captain Moss’s farm was about one mile from the Moss Landing harbor. Moss Landing sat at the entrance to the Elkhorn and Moro Cojo sloughs and received Pajaro and Salinas Valley shipments of grain, potatoes, beans, produce, lumber and other products, which were loaded directly to the schooners. Moss and his partner

298 Allan Molho, personal correspondence to Meg Clovis, 26 February 2011. Allan Molho, “Crossing the Bar: A Brief History of Agriculture and Transportation on the Central Coast,” Exhibit at the Agricultural History Project of the Central Coast, Watsonville, CA.
299 Molho, personal correspondence to Meg Clovis, 26 February 2011. Molho, “Crossing the Bar: A Brief History of Agriculture and Transportation on the Central Coast.” Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 44.
300 “Queen of Elkhorn Slough Waterways Survived Grave; Became Schoolhouse,” Register Pajaronian, 15 September 1937. Vierra was the son of Cato Vierra, who built Moss Landing’s wharf and warehouses in 1866.
301 Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 7.

Remnants of a toll bridge across the Elkhorn Slough. (PAST photograph, 2010.)
Donald Beadle hired Cato Vierra, an emigrant from the Azores Islands, to build a wharf, bridges, warehouses and other infrastructure.\textsuperscript{302} Vierra built the first bridge over the Salinas River so horse-drawn wagons could unload cargo directly at the warehouses, which stored up to 15,000 tons of grain. Vierra also operated a ferry across the Elkhorn Slough’s mouth and built a toll bridge in the early 1870s. He sold the bridge to Monterey County in 1889.\textsuperscript{303}

Moss sold his interests to the Pacific Coast Steamship Company in 1876 and most of the region’s agricultural products then shipped by rail.\textsuperscript{305} The 1906 earthquake destroyed Moss Landing warehouses, bridges and the pier, and damaged the railroad tracks.\textsuperscript{306} Moss Landing retains few physical remnants of its heyday in agricultural shipping.

In Monterey County’s agricultural history, Moss Landing, Pajaro Landing, and Brennan’s/Hudson’s Landing were significant because they were associated with farmers’ early efforts to distribute agricultural goods outside the region and they facilitated the expansion of the county’s grain industry. This creative, water-based distribution network was a precursor to the more efficient railroad network that eventually allowed Monterey County farmers to ship their crops to markets in the Midwest, East Coast and abroad. Wood pilings rotting in the water are the main physical evidence of these former shipping hubs.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=0.6\textwidth]{Moss_Landing Warehouses_and.Shipping_Facilities_1891.jpg}
\caption{Moss Landing warehouses and shipping facilities, 1891.\textsuperscript{304}}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{303} Clovis, \textit{Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys}, 42-44. Vierra bought his property from Paul Lazere, a Frenchman who envisioned building the town of St. Paul where Moss Landing now stands. In 1916, Vierra’s relatives David and Ed Vierra established a 5,000-acre saltworks plant where Lazere intended St. Paul to be. The Vierra saltworks, Vierra oyster beds in the Elkhorn Slough, and the Moss Landing fishing industry are beyond the scope of this historic context statement. Clovis, \textit{Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys}, 53, 60.
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E. AGRICULTURAL EXPANSION (ca. 1870–1940): INTENSIVE AGRICULTURE, RAILROAD & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, TENANT FARMING, CORPORATE AGRICULTURE AND AGRICULTURAL COLONIES

1. Introduction

Starting in the 1870s, intensive agriculture began replacing extensive agriculture in Monterey County and the cultural landscape evolved as ranchers and farmers made the transition. Intensive agriculture applies a high level of labor, capital and technology, such as advanced equipment, irrigation, horticultural research and technical expertise. New communities developed along the rail lines in the North County, Salinas Valley and South County. Property owners converted open grazing and grain fields to dairy farms, orchards and row crop production. They built milking parlors, greenhouses, equipment and storage barns, windmills, irrigation ditches, produce drying sheds, and other agricultural buildings and structures. As gasoline engines replaced horse-drawn equipment, farmers built structures in which to house and repair automobiles and gas-powered machinery like tractors and harvesters. As production increased and family farms could not handle all farming tasks on-site, entrepreneurs built packing sheds, creameries, cold storage facilities, shipping facilities and other large buildings to distribute agricultural products to distant markets. Property owners built worker housing to accommodate the expanding labor force. New roads connected new communities and expanded truck transportation of agricultural products, eventually overtaking railroad transit.

2. Intensive Agriculture (ca. 1870-1960)

Monterey County’s important intensive agriculture businesses have included dairies, orchards and row crop farms. As the twentieth century progressed, large commercial operations increasingly took over and replaced family farms. The new business model was significant because it changed the cultural landscape. Companies built large production and storage facilities on farms and near the railroad lines. Corporations that bought family farms converted the main farmhouse to either offices or housing for employees. Some smaller outbuildings fell into disuse or disrepair, no longer suitable for large farming operations.

Several factors spurred Monterey County’s transition from extensive to intensive agriculture, including climate, agricultural financing, the railroad’s arrival, the shift to demand-based agriculture, a large immigrant labor pool and technological advances.

The change from a production-based to a demand-based agricultural model fueled Monterey County’s transition from extensive to intensive agriculture. Traditionally, family farmers had followed the subsistence agricultural model: they grew crops in “kitchen gardens” or small plots, feeding their families first and selling or bartering excess crops. As farmers began planting larger plots, they still planted the crop and amount they wanted, simply seeking a

308 Stoll, The Fruits of Natural Advantage, xii.
market after the harvest. This production-based method exposed the farmer to financial risks of a poor harvest, excess supply and low demand. In the 1870s and 1880s, Claus Spreckels’s sugar beet factory and Croatian apple brokers devised a new demand-based model, offering contracts to farmers before they planted or harvested the crop, buying the produce outright and shifting more financial risk to themselves. These were called “blossom contracts” in the apple industry because brokers would base their contract offers on how good the apple blossoms looked. Growing a single specialty crop was deemed risky for farmers because of potential supply and demand problems, labor issues, weather and insect problems and changing freight costs. But Croatian brokers thought of crops in terms of markets and trade. Treating crops as a commodity and as a speculative large-scale investment was a revolutionary concept in the nineteenth century. Contract-based plantings became more common and farmers began limiting their crops to those for which they had contracts: intensive crops.309

Also, farmers realized that the area’s mild climate and long growing season allowed fruit to flourish.310 As Pajaro Valley farmers started planting fruit instead of grains in the 1880s, San José bankers loaned them up to $400 per acre of orchard versus $50 per acre of wheat. Small-scale agricultural banking institutions financed new ventures, but without track records, new farmers had difficulty qualifying for bank loans. To overcome this problem, the Pajaro Valley’s Croatian apple brokers creatively funded farmers with whom they had “blossom contracts” by paying part of the purchase price at the outset and paying the balance from escrow at harvest time. Local farmers, shippers and others served on bank boards in the late 1800s and early 1900s and were sympathetic to agricultural interests. In particular, the Croatian brokers were instrumental in developing the local agricultural financial industry.311

When the Southern Pacific Railroad extended its line into Monterey County, new towns developed and existing towns expanded. Such railroad-impacted communities include Aromas, Pajaro, Las Lomas, Castroville, Salinas, Spreckels, Gonzales, Soledad, Greenfield, King City, San Lucas, San Ardo and Bradley. Growers and shippers built packing houses along the rails to facilitate distribution to distant markets. The Southern Pacific Milling Company owned and operated twenty-five warehouses from Salinas south to Santa Paula for shipping and storing grains and other products.312

New workers from different ethnic groups arrived by rail to fill the increasing demand for agricultural labor.313 Many immigrant agricultural workers came without families and moved around the area as different crops ripened and needed harvesting. As they married or brought families from home, they settled permanently and new migrant workers replaced them. Because

311 Mekis, *Blossoms into Gold*, 69.
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313 Johnston, “A Brief History of Southern Monterey County.”
of language and cultural differences, a new middleman found work in agriculture: labor contractors serving as interpreters and mediators between employers and workers.\textsuperscript{314} When they came to the area in the 1860s, the Chinese created the “boss” labor contracting system, centrally organizing a cheap labor pool for employers. The bosses thoroughly understood farming. The July 26, 1894 \textit{Watsonville Pajaronian} noted that “The Chinese bosses are good judges of the coming beet crop, and they all say that the coming crop will be mammoth, and that 20 tons to the acre will be frequently reported.” They were right.\textsuperscript{315}

After the Japanese arrived in North County around 1892, they modified the Chinese boss system, using it to rise in rank from seasonal laborers to sharecroppers, renters, managers and owners. Japanese labor clubs were common by 1910 and open to anyone who could pay the annual fee. Members only participated as long as they wanted the services. Bosses negotiated with employers, determined wages (generally charging five percent as a fee), found jobs for workers, provided job information to migrant workers, traded information with other regional bosses, and expanded to neighboring counties. The clubs also negotiated land and home leases.\textsuperscript{316} The labor contracting system encouraged workers to band together. In a June 1901 disturbance at a Spreckels sugar beet ranch in King City, Monterey County, a foreman fired eight Japanese workers. About sixty others quit immediately, expressing a preference for the Pajaro Valley, where the work was lighter, the sun cooler and the Japanese were better respected.\textsuperscript{317}

Other Monterey County ethnic groups also organized their labor. In 1934, Luis Aguido and Damian Marcuelo established the Filipino Farm Labor Union.\textsuperscript{318} In 1934 and 1936, Filipino unions waged strikes in the Salinas lettuce fields. From 1965-1982, the United Farm Workers (UFW) movement organized labor in the area, leading to the rise of Cesar Chavez.\textsuperscript{319}

With new labor available, farmers quickly cultivated more acres.\textsuperscript{320} Entrepreneurs introduced new crops and pesticides, as well as creative growing, packing, distribution and marketing methods. Irrigation increased, eliminating reliance on unpredictable rainfall. As growers learned that crops were suited to specific soils and climactic zones, specialization and diversification followed.\textsuperscript{321} These changes all modified the cultural landscape.

Into the twentieth century, large farms still outnumbered small family farms. In 1915, a local author noted that this “resulted in many tracts being rented, and has had a tendency to hold back the more rapid development of the county . . . .” But times were changing and “... owners of large tracts are yielding to the inevitable, and many of them are cutting up their unwieldy tracts
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and selling them to settlers who show a disposition to add to the wealth of the county by adhering to the rules of intensive farming.” However, a lot of prime land never became small parcels, especially as industrial agriculture took over in the twentieth century.

Intensive agriculture is significant in Monterey County’s agricultural history because it prompted the booming expansion of the local agricultural economy. Businesses involved in intensive agriculture modified the cultural landscape by constructing new processing and distribution facilities, as well as worker housing to accommodate the large labor force. Intensive agricultural operations also neglected, demolished, or adaptively used buildings that previously supported extensive agricultural operations. Case-by-case analysis of individual buildings is necessary to determine how and when the buildings changed to accommodate different agricultural practices.

a. **Dairying:**

Dairying is considered intensive agriculture because it requires high levels of capital and technology, especially after testing requirements for tuberculosis and butterfat hit the industry. It is also associated with irrigation, an expensive undertaking.

Little milking occurred during the Spanish and Mexican periods and Monterey County had only 248 milking cows in 1850. Dairy herds became more common in the 1860s. C. S. Abbott was one of the first and most important local dairymen. In 1865, he bought 4,000 acres, including the present site of the Salinas Valley town of Spreckels and drove 500 cows down from Marin County. By 1870, Abbott had 1,500 cows and sold most of their output as butter.

---

California’s temperate climate allowed farmers to raise cows for about sixty percent of East Coast costs because food and shelter are cheaper. Here, crops grow year-round and cow feed is therefore more abundant and less costly than in cold climates. When sugar beets were a major Monterey County crop, local cows ate 100,000 tons of their pulp annually. Dairying increased as farmers devoted more acres to alfalfa, another popular cow feed. Mild Monterey County winters allow cows to live mostly outside rather than in barns, further reducing costs. Thus, the climate also impacted the cultural landscape: Monterey County farmers simply did not need to build the large dairy barns typically found in colder climates.

Each dairy made butter and milk on-site until creameries opened. Founded in 1897, the Castroville Cooperative Creamery was Monterey County’s first creamery. The Royal Creamery bought it before World War II and moved it to Salinas. By 1902, the Watsonville Creamery operated on San Juan Road in Pajaro. In 1907, the Alpine Evaporated Cream Company opened. Castroville’s Del Monte Junction Creamery made award-winning butter by 1915. In 1933, dairymen formed the Salinas Valley Milk Producers’ Cooperative.

From 1900 to 1911, Monterey County produced almost 7.4 million pounds of butter and 10.7 million pounds of cheese. In 1915, the county had about 20,000 dairy cows, forty-five creameries, and one evaporated milk plant. The county produced fifteen percent of California’s cheese. Both the Salinas and Pajaro valleys were dairy centers, with the latter “especially . . . adapted for dairying, the climate being absolutely ideal in every respect.” Dairymen fed milk by-products such as whey and buttermilk to their calves and pigs.

Dairies thrived in the Salinas Valley, from Salinas to San Lucas; in north county dairies near Castroville and the Elkhorn Slough and in the Springfield District (north of Moss Landing). By 1881, San Francisco banker and “gentleman farmer” J. Henry Mayers (or Meyer) had a mansion
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near Castroville where he grew grain and prospered with his Elkhorn Dairy, which supplied all of Stanford University’s milk.\textsuperscript{335}

Danish immigrants arrived by the 1860s and became prominent Monterey County ranchers and dairymen. Important Danish dairying families in the North County included the Springfield District’s Struve family and the Pajaro Valley’s Storm family, who intermarried.\textsuperscript{334} The Struve family was one of the first to settle in the Pajaro Valley and pioneered the local use of tractors.\textsuperscript{335} Struve Road and Struve Slough are named after them.\textsuperscript{336} The Arts and Crafts-style \textbf{Struve House} (1770 Highway 1, north of Moss Landing) is a significant North County property.

Swiss and Portuguese families eventually dominated the California and Monterey County dairy industries. In 1889, Portuguese dairymen rented 100-acre and larger parcels from Salinas Valley landowners who had been farming grain or leasing property to grain farmers.\textsuperscript{337} Swiss families settled along the Salinas River in the late 1880s and many rented dairy land. Dairying expanded as young dairy hands saved money to buy land and bring their families to the farm.

For example, Swiss dairymen Candido Franscioni arrived in the Salinas Valley in 1888, worked as a farm hand for fifteen years, operated a dairy for eight years on a rented part of David Jacks’s ranch near Soledad and finally bought sixty acres in Greenfield. He milked forty of his sixty cows, made 26,000 pounds of cheese annually, raised milk-fed hogs and sold calves.\textsuperscript{338} In the late 1800s and early 1900s, a Swiss family operated a dairy on the I. Scaroni Ranch in the Mission District (named after the former Mission Soledad). One of the daughters worked on the dairy and said dairymen “had no milk barns in those days . . . [w]e milked right out in the corral, rain or shine, hot or cold. It was hard, hard work.”\textsuperscript{339}

\textsuperscript{333} Clovis, \textit{Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys}, 53. \textit{History of Monterey County}, 112 and illustration after page 24.
\textsuperscript{334} “Peter Storm Killed by Falling Tree: A Horrible Death for Prominent Resident,” \textit{Watsonville Evening Pajaronian}, 10 January 1916. Storm may actually have worked on a McCusker or McClusky ranch. Family names were often misspelled in different sources. Built before 1881, the McCusker House was between Moss Landing and the Pajaro River, near the Monterey Bay and the McClusky Slough.\textsuperscript{334}
\textsuperscript{335} David Pacani, “Exhibit of Struve family planned,” \textit{Watsonville Register-Pajaronian}, 1 December 1999.
\textsuperscript{336} “Hans Struve,” obituary, June 1977. In 1936, noted architect William W. Wurster designed a Pajaro Valley home at 483 Trafton Road for Edith and Nels H. Struve (1886-1974). (Pajaro Valley Historical Association, “Pajaro Valley Historical Association Heritage Homes Tour.” Circa 1989.) The property is bounded by Highway 1 and Trafton Road but is difficult to see. Nels was the son of Danish native Nels N. Struve, who owned a 320-acre Pajaro Valley ranch. The younger Struve ranched with his father and then bought property near Harkins Slough and farmed in the Trafton District. He raised beef and dairy cattle and grew sugar beets and other vegetables. (“Nelse H. Struve,” \textit{Watsonville Register-Pajaronian}, 18 April 1974. His name is spelled variously as Nelse or Nels.)
In 1915, Gonzales was the largest dairying town in Monterey County. In the 1920s, many dairies operated in the southern portion of the Salinas Valley, from Chualar to San Lucas. At the peak of the Salinas Valley dairy industry, three milk plants operated in the area, two in Soledad alone. In 1938, Salinas Valley Milk Producers’ Cooperative members started buying feed from the Co-op. Between 1955 and 1960, the farmers had saved on feed costs, leading to larger herds and an oversupply of milk. Surplus milk coupled with pesticide contamination problems in the 1960s forced many Monterey County dairies to close in the mid- to late-1960s. By 1970, only three dairies remained in the Salinas Valley Milk Producers’ Cooperative. Many Monterey County dairies have sold their land to row crop farms and vineyards.

David Jacks and the “Jacks Houses.” After David Jack’s initial agricultural failures with potatoes and hogs, he became well-known for producing Monterey Jack cheese. In the Spanish and Mexican periods, Franciscan missionaries made the soft, creamy, light cheese, then called queso del pais (country cheese) or queso blanco (white cheese). It became a local dietary staple. In the 1880s, Dona Juana Cota de Boronda made small quantities of queso del pais at the family’s Rancho de Los Laureles in Carmel Valley and sold it locally.

David Jacks was the first person to make the cheese successfully on a large commercial scale. He owned a dairy on the Salinas River, leased land to dairy farmers, and formed partnerships in fourteen dairies with Portuguese and Swiss dairymen. In the 1880s or 1890s, he started making queso del pais and marketed it as “Jacks Cheese” or “Jacks Monterey Cheese.” Very popular on the West Coast, it became known as Monterey Jack cheese. Some dispute exists about whether the “Jack” memorializes David Jacks or the “house jack” implement used to pressurize the milk into cheese. Carmel Valley resident Domingo Pedrazzi made “Pedrazzi’s Jack Cheese” before David Jacks produced his cheese, lending credence to the latter explanation.

The Salinas Valley is home to a number of so-called “Jacks Houses,” named for David Jacks and associated with his dairy operations. They are iconic vestiges of the Salinas Valley’s dairy history. The David Jacks Corporation built many identical one-and-a-half story houses plus ancillary farm buildings on Jacks’s land from Chualar to Soledad. The designer is unknown. The buildings appear to reflect late nineteenth century architectural design although they were
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David Jacks subdivided and leased out parcels of his large Salinas Valley landholdings to dairymen and ranchers. Reportedly, when tenants signed a lease with the David Jacks Corporation, they had the option to pay $800 to have the company build a Jacks House on the property. A Monterey area mill pre-cut the house materials and the pieces were delivered to the dairy or ranch. Each Jacks house is twenty-six feet by thirty-two feet, with a six-foot deep front porch and a six-foot deep rear shed addition, making the full footprint twenty-six feet by forty-four feet. One distinctive design feature makes them easy to recognize: the roof eaves cut off the tops of the side upper-story windows.

Many of the extant Jacks houses are located near the Highway 101 corridor between Chualar and southern Soledad. The highest concentration is at the southern edge of Soledad between Highway 101 and Arroyo Seco Road. Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds identifies the known extant and demolished Jacks houses and their addresses. In the future, Monterey County might designate the extant Jacks houses as a non-contiguous historic district. The Foletta Road Jacks House Dairy (1908) at 24645 Foletta Road in Chualar is one of the best examples.

The Salinas Valley’s Albertoni Dairy (37221 Arroyo Seco Road, Soledad) has a long dairy history and also includes a Jacks house. It operated as a dairy until the 1980s but now features row crops. Swiss immigrant Osvaldo Albertoni arrived in the Salinas Valley in 1921 and started operating dairies with Charlie Gianolini and Gene Sciaroni of Greenfield. Albertoni founded the Albertoni Dairy in 1943 and his sons Oliver and Clem later took over the operation. The property includes a Jacks house, horse barn, dairy house, milking barn, dairy barn, water tower, granary, chicken coops, shop, garage and modern buildings.

The Binsacca Foothill Ranch (37393 Foothill Road, Soledad) is another representative Salinas Valley dairy ranch. Like many in the region, it specialized in Monterey Jack cheese. The extant agricultural buildings and structures reveal its long and diverse agricultural history,
including a residence (1902), dairy barn, two dairy houses, horse barn, water storage tank, granary, pigeon shed, chicken coops, brooder shed, apple house, wash house and a brick oven. \(^355\)

b. **Sugar Beets:**

By the 1870s, local farmers planted sugar beets, the first intensive crop grown on a large scale in Monterey County. For more than a century, many ethnicities worked in the sugar beet fields. Japanese immigrants were among the first to do so, arriving in the Pajaro Valley around 1892 and working in the beet fields until the end of the 1800s or longer. \(^356\) For example, Toshi Murata’s family lived in the Castroville area in the early 1920s, working 250 sugar beet acres. \(^357\) The Spreckels Sugar Company ran labor camps for its workers throughout Monterey County, and many camps were divided by ethnicity (described later in the section on Labor Camps).

The Spreckels Sugar Company dominated Monterey County’s sugar beet industry for about a century. Claus Spreckels’s choice to invest in the region was a main factor easing the transition from extensive wheat farming to intensive specialty crop production. By 1887, Claus Spreckels was the Pacific Coast’s leading sugar refiner with successful ventures in San Francisco, Hawaii and Philadelphia. After years of using Hawaiian sugar cane, Spreckels switched to sugar beets. On November 5, 1887, he offered seeds and technology to Pajaro Valley farmers if they agreed to cultivate sugar beets. \(^358\) In December 1887, Watsonville (Santa Cruz County) citizens contributed $13,140 and a site for America’s largest sugar beet factory. Built in 1888, the Western Beet Sugar Company’s plant was a boon to local farmers. The first harvest (called a “campaign”) was in 1889, with the Pajaro Valley’s rich alluvial soil producing sugar percentages higher than any beets in the world. \(^359\) Spreckels offered annual planting contracts to farmers to guarantee enough beets, paying them by the ton based on sugar content and paying rail freight to the factory. \(^360\) He also leased sugar beet land to farmers. The Watsonville plant processed 350 tons of beets daily (the daily capacity later expanded to 1,000 tons) and made about three million pounds of raw sugar annually. Spreckels’s San Francisco factory refined the raw sugar. \(^361\)

Between Spreckels and his competitors, California’s sugar beet production skyrocketed from 5.2 million pounds in 1889 to about 44 million pounds in 1894. \(^362\) On August 1, 1896, Claus Spreckels spoke at Salinas’s Agricultural Hall, asking 2,000 farmers and ranchers to grow enough sugar beets to meet the demand of a new factory he planned to build locally. \(^363\) They

\(^{355}\) Clark, *Agriculturally Related Historic Resources in Salinas Valley, Phase I*, DPR Form 523, “Binsacca Foothill Ranch, 37393 Foothill Road, Soledad, CA.”

\(^{356}\) Nakane, *Nothing Left in My Hands*, 27.


agreed, he moved ahead with his new factory, and farmers, tenants and colonists in the Salinas Valley converted thousands of acres of grain fields to sugar beet fields. This economic boost drew the Salinas Valley out of a depression that had also impacted the rest of the country. The sheer magnitude of the Spreckels Sugar Company dramatically impacted Monterey County agriculture and altered the cultural landscape. The company’s biggest contributions included introducing irrigation to the Salinas Valley on a large scale, establishing beet ranches down the length of the Salinas Valley, building the company town of Spreckels, establishing segregated labor camps, and helping spur the development of Salinas Valley agricultural colonies.

Citing Spreckels’s announcement that “What we need in California is men who will go to work on the farms of the State and develop its resources,” land promoters founded agricultural colonies and enticed would-be farmers to move to Monterey County and start a new life. Two Salinas Valley colonies were founded in 1897, Fort Romie and St. Joseph’s Colony, and both supplied sugar beets to Spreckels (described below in the section on Agricultural Colonies). Spreckels also bought and developed the King Ranch near King City, across the Salinas River from the Dunphy Ranch.

To expand his sugar beet empire, Spreckels bought former dairy land about five miles south of Salinas and eighteen miles east of Monterey. Dairyman Carlyle S. Abbott had leased it by 1865, owned it by 1875, built a home and outbuildings, milked 1,500 cows and made 200,000 pounds of butter annually. Abbott Street parallels Highway 101 south of Salinas near Abbott’s dairy property. The landscape changed considerably after the Spreckels Sugar Company bought the land and founded the town of Spreckels, California, one of the few company towns remaining in California today. It is located along Spreckels Boulevard and is also accessible from Harkins Road and Harris Road, both of which intersect Abbott Street.

Because only horse-drawn vehicles could travel between Salinas and Spreckels, in 1897 the company extended its narrow-gauge railroad from the Watsonville factory to the new factory site in Spreckels, calling it the Pajaro Valley Consolidated Railroad. The rails allowed Spreckels to transport raw materials to the plant and move the refined sugar to Moss Landing for shipping.

Between 1898 and the 1930s, architect William H. Weeks designed most of the buildings in the company town, including the factory, offices, houses for workers and their families, and
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commercial structures.369 The five-story Spreckels factory was 582 feet long, 102 feet wide and required four million bricks imported from Germany and 3,500 tons of steel.370 It opened in 1899, processing 3,000 tons of beets daily, requiring 30,000 acres of beets to meet this demand.371 Irrigation was critical and the factory used 13 million gallons of water daily, the same amount the city of San Francisco used.372 Spreckels closed his Watsonville factory shortly after the new factory opened.373 The devastating 1906 earthquake damaged the factory in Spreckels but it was repaired. By 1952, the factory processed almost 7,000 tons daily. The company ceased operations at the factory before the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake damaged it; it was demolished in 1993.374

The town’s original buildings included a sixty-one room hotel and forty worker residences in twelve different designs. The Owl of October 14, 1897 reported that the four-room houses would also have an outhouse and barn.375 The houses were built in a grid bounded by Spreckels Boulevard, Llano Avenue, Fifth Street and Railroad Avenue (adjacent to the railroad tracks that serviced the factory), with cross streets of Hatton Avenue and First, Second, Third and Fourth streets. The town had a United Presbyterian church and a Catholic church.376 Black walnut trees planted along Spreckels Boulevard became an important feature of the cultural landscape.

The former sugar factory site and the town of Spreckels comprise the Spreckels Historic District, listed in the Monterey County Register of Historic Resources. The town still has the original street grid, a small commercial district, original worker housing and public buildings. Commercial structures include the two-story, brick with cast-iron storefront Emporium building and a wood building that formerly housed the library and the Spreckels Courier office. The town also has an elementary school, a Veterans Memorial building and a Catholic church. The town has about 180 single-family homes. Most are modest three-to-five room wood-framed homes but a few Spreckels employees lived in more prominent homes. For example, the company’s district manager, Charles Pioda, lived in a large bungalow (1911) at Third and Llano Streets. The large bungalow on Third Street was the former company Clubhouse but is now a home.377

The Spreckels Sugar Company exemplifies the late nineteenth century industrial boom in America. Before the 1890s, Americans imported most of their sugar. The town of Spreckels is also significant as one of the few company towns in California. Only a few remain, including
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373 Breschini, et al., Spreckels, 14. By 1901, Spreckels’s monopoly diminished with competition from the American Sugar Refining Company. Spreckels balked at the price demanded by Pajaro Valley growers, who then stopped growing sugar beets in the area. (Nakane, Nothing Left in My Hands, 26.)
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McCloud, formed by the McCloud River Railroad and Lumber Company; and Crockett, built by the C&H Sugar Company. The architectural styles of the structures in Spreckels are noteworthy. The community also has a prominent historical connection with the U.S. sugar beet industry. Distinguished people associated with Spreckels include sugar magnate Claus Spreckels, founder of the Spreckels Sugar Company and the town; and well-known California architect, William H. Weeks, who took Spreckels’s vision and made it a reality.378

By 1915, the company grew beets on about 17,500 acres and processed 200,000 tons annually.379 Spreckels grew beets at Andrew Molera’s Mulligan Hill Ranch on Molera Road near Castroville. When sugar beet prices dropped around 1920, Spreckels let his lease end and Molera found a new crop: artichokes.380

c. **Berries:**

Strawberries were an early and important intensive crop in Monterey County. Even the Ohlones harvested a local wild strawberry.381 The beneficial climate, long growing season and adaptability of many strawberry varieties to local conditions give California a leading role in strawberry production.382 Strawberries are labor intensive: growers plant them annually to maximize yield, the long fruiting season can last ten months, and hand harvesting is required because berries ripen at different times and sizes.383

Planted in 1865, the Gilkey farm in the North County’s Vega District was the first Pajaro Valley strawberry farm and the first crops were sold to the local market with some struggle.384 But when the railroad arrived in Monterey County in 1871, the region transitioned from growing grain to fruit and strawberries became more popular.385 Farmers planted strawberries as solo crops and between rows of apple trees.386 A “strawberry-shipping boom” to San Francisco began in the late 1870s and strawberry cultivation grew steadily: 42 acres in 1881, 118 acres in 1883, 185 acres in 1884, 268 acres in 1885, 522 acres in 1895, 700 acres in 1901, and 840 acres in 1902.387 In August 1902, the *San Francisco Chronicle* noted that

> Although apples lead, and although there has been a great planting in this fruit during the past ten years, berries have, all things considered, hold a prominent place as a profitable
crop. The yield of strawberries is enormous. It will startle the Eastern farmer to hear that the growers pick these berries nearly ten months of the year.\textsuperscript{388}

Backed by strawberry research and a big labor pool, farmers planted larger orchards and ranchers converted land from wheat to fruit for higher profits.\textsuperscript{389} Prominent North County resident John T. Porter was an early strawberry farmer. He planted fifty acres on his Pajaro ranch in 1883.\textsuperscript{390}

Although industrial-scale strawberry farms dominate Monterey County today, early strawberry farms were small. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, one farmer and a few workers could survive on income from a two-acre strawberry farm. Most Japanese farmers working under contract or on shares worked on farms of five or six acres.\textsuperscript{391} Japanese strawberry farmers generally farmed one parcel for four to six years then moved to another farm for fresh soil.\textsuperscript{392} Both women and men worked in the fields, including female Pajaro resident Fuji Murakami, whose family grew strawberries until World War II.\textsuperscript{393}

In 1915, landowners sold unimproved strawberry land for $100-$200 per acre or rented it out for $20-$30 per acre per year. First-year land preparation costs were $20-$25 per acre. Each acre supported about 15,000-20,000 plants, costing $3 for every 1,000 plants.\textsuperscript{394} By 1915, Monterey County farmers annually produced over one million pounds of strawberries, plus Loganberries (200,000 pounds), blackberries (50,000 pounds) and raspberries (50,000 pounds).\textsuperscript{395} Strawberry acreage in California doubled from the late 1940s to the early 1980s as industrial agriculture took over.\textsuperscript{396} Today, most strawberry workers are Mexican. In 2009, strawberries surpassed lettuce as Monterey County’s top crop for the first time.

\textbf{d. Orchards: Fruit and Nuts}

Monterey County farmers have successfully grown a wide variety of orchard crops including apples, apricots, pears, peaches, plums, prunes, cherries, almonds and walnuts.\textsuperscript{397} Some of the most prominent orchard areas have been in the Pajaro Valley and the Salinas Valley. While few extant historic resources illustrate the Pajaro Valley’s orchard industry, the Salinas Valley stretch from Greenfield to King City retains buildings and irrigation infrastructure that tell the story of
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the Clark Colony (now Greenfield), Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company, which grew thousands of acres of fruit and nut trees after 1905, 1917 and 1919, respectively.

By the 1850s, Pajaro Valley residents had planted backyard apple trees but by 1860, the Pajaro Valley still had fewer than fifty acres of fruit trees in production.\(^{399}\) In the 1870s, however, the area expanded into an internationally known apple center. By 1873, the Blackburn & Waters nursery (founded by James Waters and J. A. Blackburn) had forty acres of orchards in the North County.\(^ {400}\) While orchard growers waited for newly planted trees to mature, they interplanted other crops between the trees. In the Pajaro Valley in the 1870s, orchard owners interplanted strawberries at first but later substituted peas, corn, sugar beets and other vegetables to avoid harming apple tree root growth with excessive irrigation.\(^ {401}\) In the Salinas Valley and South County in the early 1920s, the California Orchard Company interplanted beans, peas and other annual crops.\(^ {402}\)

The Pajaro Valley apple industry expanded after 1873 when high demand, high prices, railroad transportation, sufficient labor, apple experimentation and clever Croatian fruit brokers gave the valley new agricultural prominence. In 1873-1874, Red Scale devastated the Santa Clara Valley’s apple crop, allowing Pajaro Valley growers to step in and meet San Francisco’s demand for fruit.\(^ {403}\) When the Southern Pacific Railroad arrived in Monterey County in 1871, more workers came to the orchards and fields of the Pajaro and Salinas Valleys.\(^ {404}\) However, high freight prices still kept many growers from shipping via rail and they continued to use wagons to transport their
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goods. But with the increased apple demand and higher sale prices after the Red Scale devastation, growers shipped their fruit via train. By 1915, the Pajaro Valley shipped 4,000 carloads of fruit.

Croatian fruit brokers Marco Rabasa and L. G. Sresovich created the Pajaro Valley’s first apple buying, packing and shipping system. Under the old production-based agricultural model, farmers planted what they wanted and sought buyers after the harvest. Croatian fruit brokers helped the agricultural industry expand by implementing the demand-based agricultural model in which customer preferences influenced crop plantings. They also offered “blossom contracts” to growers, buying the crop before it matured and encouraging farmers to plant more orchards. Claus Spreckels offered similar sugar beet contracts in the 1870s. But fruit contracts were riskier than beet contracts because apples are perishable, so brokers started “reading” apple blossoms to determine tree health and crop value. The broker assumed losses formerly borne by the grower: crop failure, pests, supply and demand fluctuations, and labor and transportation problems. Croatian apple distributors also developed standards for cleanliness, inspecting, grading, packing, packaging and storing apples. Railroads charged by the ton, so pooling crops saved money. In 1884, Watsonville’s first apple-packing business was founded, consolidating the harvests of multiple growers.

Standardization funneled undersized and damaged apples into dried fruit, juice or vinegar. This development was significant because it changed the cultural landscape: new buildings and structures were needed to process crops in new ways. For example, apple dryers were built throughout the Pajaro Valley. Apple drying was the most labor-intensive aspect of the industry and flourished during the 1898 Spanish-American War, when military demand was high.

Chinese laborers, seeking new work after Spreckels moved his plant from Watsonville to Spreckels in 1898, opened apple drying operations in Prunedale, Aromas and around Pajaro. Apple growers and distributors allowed the Chinese to invest in apple dryers because the business was deemed “marginal and unstable.” They acted as middlemen and contracted with the migrant laborers but when drying technology improved and made the industry more efficient and profitable, the Chinese were unable to compete.
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dryer in 1900 and J. F. Unglish later built a large kiln in Pajaro. By 1904, Croatian shippers built Unglish-style dryers and leased them to Chinese businessmen using Chinese workers. Chinese-operated apple dryers dominated the industry for the next two decades, although apple drying facilities have virtually disappeared from the North County landscape. Japanese laborers also worked in the packing and drying industries.

By 1915, Pajaro Valley land prices were the highest in Monterey County because the “most highly improved orchards” were located there as well as a good water supply. At the time, the Pajaro Valley was the world’s most productive apple area and the Monterey County section of the Pajaro Valley annually produced more than $1 million worth of apples. As of 1915, “many of the hundred [Pajaro Valley] packing-houses, sixteen evaporated and a score or more of cider, vinegar and canning establishments” were located in Monterey County. Innovations and efficiencies in contracting, packing, marketing, shipping, railroad scheduling, and railroad routes led to cost-effective production and wide distribution to the American Midwest, East Coast and abroad. Building on this success, Pajaro Valley apple growers and distributors expanded their interests to businesses related to agriculture, including finance, insurance, cold storage, lumber, steel, printing companies, steamship lines and railroads.

The expanding apple business and subsequent labor specialization created new employment opportunities for women. They worked as apple sorters and packers, especially after the Croatian packers and shippers declined to offer blossom contracts in 1891, forcing some growers to start packing and shipping their own crops.
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Monterey County Horticultural Commissioner J. B. Hickman noted in 1915 that “The warm, well-drained slopes of the hills in the northern end of Monterey County offer almost ideal locations for apricots . . . . Cool northern slopes everywhere and the heavy lands of Pajaro and Carmel valleys offer perfect conditions for apples and pears.” Prunedale, a community of about twenty-five square miles in northeast North County, is named for prune trees planted there in the nineteenth century. Farmers settled in the hilly area in the 1860s. Residents cleared hills of oak trees, shipped the valuable wood to San José via the Southern Pacific Railroad, and planted orchards on the bare hills. Before then, the area supported mostly subsistence farming, bee hives and dairies.

Prunedale farmers thought the area’s light, sandy soil and ample water supply would help orchards succeed. The San Miguel Canyon Road area of Prunedale was called the Lake District in the 1880s, attesting to the available water. Reportedly, real estate developers suggested that farmers plant prunes and named the area Prunedale. Prunes are a variety of plums with very high sugar content. Some early prune, apple and apricot crops did not fare well because the farmers did not irrigate well enough or use fertilizers. Prunes perform best in warm climates and the trees fared poorly in the chilly valleys around Prunedale. The cold, moist air split them open and the prunes failed to dry properly.

Prune orchards grew in the Prunedale area along San Miguel Canyon Road and into Echo and Paradise Valleys. The Hambey family planted the first prune orchards on 640 acres in San Miguel Canyon and Echo Valley. James Crouch, who married Mary Hambey in 1886, helped
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graft and plant the first Prunedale trees. By the time James’s son Nathaniel and Alice Crouch married in 1925, prunes were gone from the area, likely by 1910.\textsuperscript{434} The Crouch family home at 1833 San Miguel Canyon Road was built in 1886.\textsuperscript{435} The “farmers’ telephone system” (a single line strung along redwood posts about twenty feet high) served farmers along San Miguel Canyon Road, through Long Canyon and west to Elkhorn until after 1949.\textsuperscript{436}

After prune trees, they planted apples, apricots and plums.\textsuperscript{438} Apple orchards still covered most of Prunedale into the 1940s, but became unprofitable. One of the last producing orchards, along Maher Road north of Royal Oaks Park, was removed around 1970.\textsuperscript{439} Over time, the Prunedale hills also have been used as cattle grazing land, dairies, orchards and chicken farms.\textsuperscript{440}

Farmers in the Salinas Valley and South County also cultivated thousands of acres of orchards, successfully competing with farmers in the Pajaro Valley. Significantly, many of the orchard growers in the Salinas Valley and South County were associated with the Clark Colony (now Greenfield), the Salinas Land Company, and the California Orchard Company, described in more detail in the sections on Corporate Agriculture and Agricultural Colonies. By irrigating thousands of acres of arid land, planting symmetrical rows of fruit and nut orchards and row crops, establishing eucalyptus windbreaks to protect crops, building permanent worker housing, constructing outbuildings and building irrigation infrastructure, the Clark Colony, Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company significantly accelerated the Salinas Valley’s agricultural development and changed the cultural landscape.

Farmers in Greenfield (formerly Clark Colony, founded in 1904) planted orchards of fruit and nut trees and protected them from the wind with eucalyptus windbreaks. Their orchard crops included almonds, walnuts, apricots, pears, apples, peaches, prunes, plums and cherries.\textsuperscript{441} At one point, the Clark Colony’s superior apples won more blue ribbons and sold for higher prices than the esteemed apples produced in Watsonville and the Pajaro Valley.\textsuperscript{442} Founded in 1905,
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the Clark Colony Water Company developed the largest irrigation and domestic water system in the Salinas Valley and the crops thrived because of it. Row crops eventually replaced the orchards.

In the early twentieth century, American fruit consumption rose but the number of fruit trees dropped, consolidating production and profit on fewer farms. From 1910 to 1920, peach trees declined from 137 million to 87 million; pear trees declined from 24 million to 20.5 million; and plum and prune trees declined from 23.4 million to 20 million. But from 1916 to 1921, residents of New York City, Chicago, Philadelphia and St. Louis increased their peach and apple consumption by 13,150 railroad cars. In the early 1920s, imports of walnuts and almonds were necessary to meet the United States consumption demand; therefore, growing these nuts in California was a good investment. With fewer trees available to satisfy consumers’ needs in the 1910s, the time was ripe for big agricultural corporations like the Salinas Land Company (founded in 1917) and its subsidiary, the California Orchard Company (founded in 1919), to step in and fill the void. The companies bought thousands of acres between Greenfield and King City and decided to plant orchards in part because of the success of the Clark Colony.

In 1919, fruit and nut grower Carlyle Thorpe proposed to the Salinas Land Company that he and colleagues form a new corporation, buy Salinas Land Company land and plant fruit orchards. The group founded the California Orchard Company; its offices are in Greenfield on Teague
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Avenue where it abuts Highway 101. The large parcel is about four miles north of King City, which at that time had 1,500 residents and was a stop on the Southern Pacific’s rail line connecting San Francisco and Los Angeles.447 The company invested hundreds of thousands of dollars on its irrigation system and turned the previously arid land into thriving orchards.448

By 1924, the company had planted pears (300 acres), apricots (290 acres), almonds (265 acres), apples (250 acres), prunes (160 acres), peaches (150 acres), walnuts (90 acres), grapes (75 acres) and plums (50 acres).449 After the orchard trees matured, only the walnuts, apricots and almonds were profitable because although the other trees gave good fruit, they were over-produced nationally. Additional walnut trees and row crops replaced the other unprofitable crops.450 Walnuts and apricots were the main fruit and nut trees grown on Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company land until 1971, when they were removed and vegetables and vineyards became the major crops.

e. Lettuce:

Lettuce debuted as a Monterey County crop in 1915 and by 1955, the Salinas Valley produced about forty-five to fifty percent of the nation’s lettuce.451 It was the County’s top crop for many years until 2009, when strawberries eclipsed it for the first time. Many Salinas Valley labor camps were associated with lettuce workers. By 1955, most of the field workers were Mexican, many of whom came to the Salinas Valley under the federal government’s Bracero Program.452

With the ideal soil and climate for growing lettuce, plus 20,000 acres of irrigated and irrigable land, the Salinas Valley became America’s premier lettuce supplier when Southern California became unable to meet the high consumer demand. Los Angeles County was a major lettuce producer but population expansion turned the lettuce fields into new communities, removing the main source of California spring, summer and fall lettuce. The Imperial Valley continued to produce a winter lettuce crop, but it was simply too hot there to produce lettuce the other nine months of the year. The lettuce supply was diminishing and East Coast demands for western lettuce were rising at the same time that Salinas Valley growers were seeking a new, profitable crop to replace sugar beets. Sugar beets had been the major Salinas Valley crop for a few decades, but yields and value were declining. Sugar beet and lettuce production require large labor pools, so the switch between crops was relatively smooth.453

Pajaro Valley resident Moses (Mose) S. Hutchings was the first farmer to raise and ship lettuce in Monterey County and on the Central Coast. In 1915, he planted three acres of lettuce on the
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ranch of his in-laws, James and Ida Rowe, at 1767 San Juan Road in the North County. In the spring of 1916, by lantern light at 2:00 a.m., he and local high school students cut and ice-packed lettuce in the field. He drove the crop by wagon team to Pajaro Junction where Wells Fargo shipped it to the H.P. Garin Co. in San Francisco. Hutchings hired Japanese employees and planted ten acres in 1917 and sixteen acres in 1918.454

In 1920, farmers planted lettuce in the Salinas Valley.456 In 1925, the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company planted lettuce on their land between King City and Greenfield in the South County.457 Large lettuce farms became the norm after initial plantings on farms smaller than ten acres were too small to be profitable and failed to meet the national lettuce demand. Lettuce fields are flat and have raised beds in rows of uniform height, allowing farmers to irrigate the crops evenly, facilitate drainage and accommodate field operations. From planting to harvest, lettuce requires sixty-five days in the warmest season and 120 days or more in the coldest season.458

Harvesting and packing methods have shifted over time, with new machines and buildings appearing on the cultural landscape to accommodate the changes. Initially, field workers hand-harvested lettuce by moving down the rows, cutting mature lettuce heads and tossing them into trucks or trailers. Larger farms used mechanical loaders. Workers delivered the lettuce to packing sheds, where packers arranged it in wooden crates, placed ice on top and loaded the crates into refrigerated railcars. This “top-icing” kept the lettuce fresh but often bruised it, froze it or made it slimy with the combination of ice and excessive moisture.

Packing and cooling practices changed dramatically in 1946. Field “dry-packing” (placing about two dozen lettuce heads in a cardboard carton) made packing sheds obsolete. At the same time,
vacuum pre-cooling eliminated the problems with top-icing. The cultural landscape changed as a result, with carton-making machines and packing trucks appearing in the fields and vacuum cooling buildings replacing packing sheds. Field workers used either the “ground-pack,” “machine-pack” or “trailer-pack” method. In the ground-pack method, workers delivered a truck with a carton-making machine to the harvesting area where “cutter-trimmer” workers cut the mature lettuce, trimmed defective leaves and returned the heads to the planting bed. Packers picked up the lettuce heads and placed them in cardboard cartons, which they stapled and placed on a truck. In the machine-pack method, workers cut, trimmed and replaced the lettuce heads on the planting beds; a machine passed over the trimmed heads; carton makers made cartons; packers packed lettuce on the machine’s packing tables; and the closed, stapled cardboard cartons were conveyed to a truck. The trailer-pack method used a smaller crew than the machine-pack method and reduced packing and shipping costs. Cutter-trimmers prepared the lettuce; pickup men transferred the trimmed lettuce heads to packing tables extending from the sides of a trailer; packers placed the lettuce in cartons, which were gravity-conveyed to a truck. The packers, carton makers and carton closers all rode and worked on the trailer.\textsuperscript{459}

Workers then transferred the packed cartons to a vacuum cooling plant, where large vacuum tubes extracted air and evaporated moisture from the cartons, reducing the lettuce’s temperature. By 1955, vacuum cooling only took twenty-five minutes compared to the 24- to 36-hour top-icing process used before 1946. Vacuum-packed crops are much fresher when they reach the market. As of 1955, nine steam and ammonia vacuum cooling plants operated in the Salinas Valley.\textsuperscript{460}

Lettuce is unusual among vegetables, because it is only consumed fresh.\textsuperscript{461} Therefore, the cultural landscape associated with lettuce production does not include facilities like canning, drying or freezing plants. Any original lettuce packing sheds that remain in Monterey County have been adaptively used or may be vacant.

\textbf{f. Artichokes:}

Monterey County’s moist, foggy coastal region offers the perfect conditions for growing artichokes.\textsuperscript{462} In 1921-1922, Andrew Molera planted Monterey County’s first artichoke crop along Molera Road near the North County community of Castroville. Molera had leased his Mulligan Hill Ranch to Claus Spreckels for years for sugar beet production, but when Spreckels was unable to renew his lease, Molera sought new tenants and crops.

Molera acquired artichoke shoots from Italian farmers in Half Moon Bay and planted an acre of artichokes.\textsuperscript{463} On a trip through the county, Italians Angelo Del Chiaro and Egidio Maracci saw
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the crop and promptly leased 150 acres from Molera, planting the artichokes with Daniel Pieri and Angelo Del Chiaro’s cousin Amerigo Del Chiaro. They were so successful that the Del Chiaro, Pieri, Tottino and Bellone families formed the California Artichoke and Vegetable Growers Corporation by 1924. It is now called Ocean Mist.

Nine local growers had planted artichokes by 1923. By 1927, fifty growers had planted 12,000 acres of artichokes. Castroville still claims the title of “Artichoke Capital of the World,” with the name proudly emblazoned over Merritt Street since 1931.

g. **Beans:**

Monterey County farmers have been growing beans since the nineteenth century. They became a huge crop when the Salinas Land Company and its California Orchard Company subsidiary interplanted beans between their maturing orchard trees, starting in 1917. The companies and their tenants grew the King City Pink Bean (heavily used in soup and barbeque recipes), Fordhook lima beans (started in 1948 for freezing), large and baby lima beans, small white beans, Kentucky wonder beans, seed beans and many other crops.

h. **Guayule:**

Guayule looks like sagebrush and grows about three or four feet tall. In 1925, the federal government planted 8,000 experimental acres of guayule in the Salinas Valley. It planned to process the plant into rubber in case of national emergency. In 1931, an extraction mill located south of Salinas at Spence Siding produced an average of 700 pounds of rubber per acre. The government’s forethought paid off when a national emergency struck in the form of World War II. The government began the Emergency Rubber Project and farmers cultivated 40,000 acres of

466 Clovis, *Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys*, 23.

Packing artichokes at the Ocean Mist packing shed in Castroville.
guayule near the South County communities of San Ardo, King City and San Lucas. The experiment ended in 1946, however, and 21 million pounds worth of rubber in the form of guayule plants were destroyed. The United States Department of Agriculture Research Station located near the Salinas airport is the former site of the United States Natural Rubber Research Station, a guayule (used to make rubber) research station from World War II. Camp McCallum was a guayule labor camp that housed German and Italian prisoners of war during World War II. It later housed Mexican braceros and is now a labor co-operative. It is located off Old Stage Road southeast of Salinas (northeast of the intersection of Alisal Road and Old Stage Road.

i. Other Intensive Crops:

Since the 1800s, landowners throughout Monterey County raised chickens, both for home use and for commercial sale. Many properties still have chicken coops and other poultry outbuildings, including pigeon and brooder sheds, although their fragility and dilapidated condition makes them endangered in Monterey County’s cultural landscape. In the North County, at least one mushroom farm now grows part of its crop in former chicken coops.

Even the smallest agricultural products played a role in Monterey County’s agricultural history. Nurseries and home gardeners, especially begonia and fern growers, used leaf mold harvested by hand from decayed leaves of coastal live oaks. From the late-1930s to the mid-1960s, about 25,000 cubic yards of leaf mold were harvested in the North County’s Long Canyon or Long Valley. Long Canyon was the southernmost property of the James Kirby Company and it lies between Elkhorn Slough Foundation land on the east end and residential properties on the west end. Demand for leaf mold was high before World War II but increased significantly as post-war development accelerated. By 1963, treated sawdust largely replaced leaf mold as a soil amendment. The Kirby family sold leaf mold from their land in the Strawberry Valley area.

Monterey County farmers have grown many other intensive crops including Brussels sprouts, broccoli, peas, grapes, spinach, paprika peppers, canning and fresh tomatoes, onions, garlic, chili peppers, corn, cut flowers (opening in the 1950s and ‘60s, Pajaro Valley nurseries
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produced a majority of the carnations, chrysanthemums and roses in the United States),\(^{479}\) orchids\(^{480}\) and asparagus.

3. Railroads & Community Development

Trains had a significant impact on nineteenth century American life and on Monterey County’s agricultural history and cultural landscape. Railroads fueled economic booms, enabled businesses to ship goods and passengers long distances, facilitated natural resource exploitation, and encouraged western settlement and pleasure travel. From the 1850s-1870s, the United States granted more than 170 million acres of western land to railroad companies and the railroads promoted California’s climate, soils and other advantages to settlers. Railroads offered ship and rail packages to Europeans, encouraging entire groups to settle new towns. These “group settlements,” “colonizations” or “migration chains” boosted railroad revenues and established instant communities for new immigrants.\(^{481}\)

When the Southern Pacific Railroad came to Monterey County in 1871, it helped expand or create agriculture-based communities like Aromas, Pajaro, Las Lomas, Castroville, Salinas, Spreckels, Chualar, Gonzales, Soledad, Greenfield, King City, San Lucas, San Ardo and Bradley. As residents built new homes and businesses along the rails, property values and rail profits rose. On July 17, 1871, Southern Pacific began extending its rail line from Gilroy to Salinas. When Watsonville citizens failed to contribute funds to build a station in Santa Cruz County, Southern Pacific built its main depot in Monterey County’s Pajaro Junction (later named Watsonville Junction and now known as Pajaro). Service between Pajaro Junction and San Francisco began in November 1871; service between Salinas and San Francisco began in November 1872. \(^{482}\) In December 1872, the railroad reached Soledad, which was the southern terminus until 1886. After 1886, the line extended south through King City, San Lucas, San Ardo and Bradley. \(^{483}\) Salinas Valley rancho owners donated rights-of-way, including David Jacks through his 15,000-acre Chualar Rancho; Mariano and Alfredo Gonzalez through their


\(^{480}\) “Prunedale,” *North County News*, 27 February 1980. McLellan Botanicals has a large facility on 2352 San Juan Road in Aromas but current employees do not know when the company moved there. (Ibis Diaz, Sales Account Manager, McLellan Botanicals, personal communication to Paige J. Swartley, 23 July 2010.) Edgar McLellan was a dairyman who started a Burlingame (San Mateo County) nursery in 1884 and became San Francisco’s “Flower King.” In 1930, his son Rod moved the nursery to Colma and then to Aromas at an unknown date, where they grow orchids and ornamental eucalyptus. The Taiwan Sugar Company (Taisuco America) now owns the company.


11,500-acre Rincon de la Puente del Monte Rancho; and Catalina Munras through her 14,000-acre San Vicente Rancho. The towns of Chualar, Gonzales and Soledad developed on these ranchos and became railroad stops.\textsuperscript{485} Highway 101 parallels the railroad line through these towns.

When Southern Pacific arrived in Monterey County in 1871, it monopolized agricultural shipping and charged wheat growers excessive freight rates. Competition arrived in 1874 when David Jacks and local businessmen invested in the Monterey and Salinas Valley Railroad, a nineteen-mile narrow gauge line from Salinas to Monterey.\textsuperscript{486} In response, Southern Pacific expanded local service and lowered its rates.\textsuperscript{487} The Monterey and Salinas Valley Railroad could no longer compete, went bankrupt in 1880, and Southern Pacific’s subsidiary Pacific Improvement Company bought it and demolished the narrow gauge line.\textsuperscript{488} Also in 1880, Southern Pacific completed its branch line between Castroville and Monterey.\textsuperscript{489} In 1887, Southern Pacific opened a depot on Walker Street in Watsonville. New packing plants opened nearby and fruit hauling to the old Pajaro Depot declined.\textsuperscript{490}

\begin{footnotes}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{484} Clovis, \textit{Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys}, 83.
\item \textsuperscript{485} Clark, \textit{Agriculturally Related Historic Resources in Salinas Valley, Phase I}, Historic Overview, 5. Stockdale, \textit{Monterey County Illustrated: Resources, History, Biography}, 31.
\item \textsuperscript{489} Fink, \textit{Monterey: The Presence of the Past}, 130. As of 1983, Southern Pacific owned more than 20 million acres in California, more than any other entity. For every mile of track laid, the federal government granted 12,800 acres to the railroad. The land alternated on either side of the tracks in a checkerboard pattern, every mile. (Nuckton, \textit{et al.}, “California Agriculture: The Human Story,” \textit{A Guidebook to California Agriculture}, 11.)
\item \textsuperscript{490} Mekis, \textit{Blossoms into Gold}, 52.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotes}
More rail competition arrived when Claus Spreckels built his Western Beet Sugar Company factory in Watsonville and founded his own railroad in January of 1890. Chinese laborers built the 14-mile line from Watsonville, across large North County farms, terminating at Moss Landing’s wharf.492 In 1891, a 23.6-mile narrow gauge line from Moro Cojo to Salinas opened. In 1897, Spreckels built the Pajaro Valley Extension Railroad to access the Gabilan Mountains’ limestone quarries that provided construction and sugar beet processing materials. On December 9, 1897, Spreckels’s railroad and its spur lines became the Pajaro Valley Consolidated Railroad, nicknamed the “Dinky Line” because the locomotives were small.493 As more farmers began shipping by truck, profits fell and rail operations ended in 1927. Southern Pacific bought the “Dinky Line” or “Dead Beet Line” in 1930 and removed the narrow gauge tracks.494

Monterey County farmers knew that the railroad would link them to large, distant markets and boost agricultural production.495 Before rail refrigeration became reliable, local farmers mostly grew crops near large cities and sold them to local markets.496 This began to change in 1915, when Moses (Mose) S. Hutchings became the first farmer to grow and ship lettuce in the Pajaro Valley and Central Coast. He planted three acres of lettuce on the Rowe Ranch, his in-laws’ property at 1767 San Juan Road, Aromas (designed in 1900 by William Weeks). To keep the lettuce cool, he harvested and field-packed it at 2 a.m., driving it to the Pajaro Depot in a wagon for shipment to the H. P. Garin Co. in San Francisco.497 Reliable refrigerated rail cars became commonplace for shipping produce in the Monterey County area by 1923, and this technology dramatically expanded Monterey County’s agricultural production and distribution.498

491 Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 22.
492 “Spreckels & Pajaro Valley Consolidated Railroad, 1880s-1930,” (Monterey County Historical Society Archives, File # 90.53.144), 4.
493 Fabing, Steinbeck Country Narrow Gauge, 67, 94.
495 Nakane, Nothing Left in My Hands, 9.
497 Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 84.
498 Anderson, 124.
In Monterey County, the railroad sped up agricultural shipping times; expanded trade areas to the East Coast and abroad; fostered land speculation; led to Moss Landing’s decline as a shipping center; transported agricultural laborers throughout the region, including thousands of Mexican workers who came to California through the federal government’s Bracero Program (1942-1964); and helped spur community development.

Agricultural facilities built along the rails included packing houses, warehouses, ice factories, cold storage facilities, shipping facilities, and housing for tenant farmers and laborers. Businesses like O. P. Silliman’s warehouses and the Southern Pacific Milling Company (founded by Southern Pacific’s agent in Paso Robles, R. M. “Dick” Shackelford) benefitted from the building boom and their facilities were located in many Salinas Valley communities. An 1889 book about Monterey County described grain warehouses as “conspicuous features of the county” with a warehouse near every railroad station. Salinas’s 1,100-foot grain warehouse was the longest warehouse in interior California.\footnote{Stockdale, *Monterey County Illustrated: Resources, History, Biography*, 29. Clark, *Agriculturally Related Historic Resources in Salinas Valley, Phase I*, Historic Overview, 5.} Consolidating the buildings close to the railroad improved efficiency and lowered costs. Monterey County’s depots and roundhouses are gone, but agricultural buildings along the tracks remain.

4. Advocacy and Social Organizations

Agriculture is a complex, large industry with a wide influence in the professional and personal lives of local residents. Since early in Monterey County’s agricultural history, advocacy and social organizations have promoted agriculture, protected the interests of local farmers and workers, encouraged children to become involved in farming, and served as community activity centers. Many organizations had overlapping functions.
In 1872, cattle ranchers, farmers and others founded the Monterey County Agricultural Society. In 1876, the Monterey Agricultural Fair Association was incorporated to “promote agriculture . . . stock raising . . . mechanics and manufactures.”⁵⁰¹ Later, organizations associated with specific crops, like the Pajaro Valley Orchardists Association and the Watsonville Apple Growers Association, addressed issues specific to their line of business.⁵⁰²

Nationwide, the grange system is one of the best-known agricultural advocacy and social organizations and it is well-represented in Monterey County. Founded in 1867, the Order of Patrons of Husbandry (now the National Grange) was America’s first agricultural fraternity, although it was open to men, women and youth equally. It emphasizes service to agriculture, the community and the country and encourages members to use the democratic process to shape local, state and national policies that impact agriculture.⁵⁰³ At the California State Grange’s first convention in 1873, members proposed legislation to reduce railroad fares, freight and port charges and to develop irrigation. The members also sought to establish a cooperative trade system and to organize banks that would offer farmers reasonable loans. In 1929, the California State Grange became the first statewide organization to advocate for building the Shasta Dam, to conserve water for irrigating the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys.⁵⁰⁴

The Aromas Grange (founded 1913), Prunedale Grange (founded 1920) and Springfield Grange (founded 1933) are discussed in Chapter 5: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds.⁵⁰⁵ The San Bernardo Grange is on Main Street in San Ardo and the Buena Vista Grange is at 518 River Road in Salinas, between the towns of Spreckels and Chualar. The Corral De Tierra Grange meets in the Elk’s Hall at 614 Airport Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 122.⁵⁰⁶

Boulevard in Salinas.\footnote{506} Other Monterey County granges have operated in Lockwood and Hesperia.\footnote{507}

Other organizations are associated with particular ethnic groups who worked in the local agricultural industry. For example, Japanese labor clubs founded in the early 1900s located agricultural jobs for members, negotiated labor contracts, determined wages, aided members with financial and personal transactions, offered lodging and served as meeting places. The Japanese Language School in Castroville (11199 Geil Street; listed in the National Register and the Monterey County Register) and the Chinese School in Pajaro (18 Brooklyn Street; listed in the Monterey County Register) taught the children of immigrant agricultural workers the language and culture of their homelands and served as community meeting places. Chapter 5 describes the Japanese Language School further.

Monterey County advocacy and social organizations welcomed children as members, many of whom likely worked in agriculture as adults. Local 4-H Clubs and the Pajaro Poultry Club (a branch of 4-H) encouraged children to take responsibility for raising farm animals. The grange halls also offer youth memberships.

Other Monterey County social organizations likely had many members who were engaged in agriculture. Examples of social groups in Castroville alone include the Native Sons of Castroville, Masons, Modern Woodmen of America, Odd Fellows, Young Men’s Institute and Legionnaires.\footnote{509} Future research should examine whether buildings associated with these groups may be significant for their association with the region’s agricultural history.

These advocacy and social organizations are significant to Monterey County’s agricultural history because they are associated with the transition of local agriculture from small family farms to farming on an industrial scale. This transition required additional workers, who banded together to further their labor interests, promote agriculture, or maintain cultural ties. As they were financially able, they built grange halls, schools and community meeting houses in Monterey County. Chapter 5 discusses buildings associated with local advocacy and social organizations.

\footnote{507} Meg Clovis, personal communication to PAST Consultants, LLC.  
\footnote{508} Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 86.  
\footnote{509} Clovis, Monterey County’s North Coast and Coastal Valleys, 14, 29, 34.
5. Irrigation

Irrigation was significant to Monterey County agriculture because it accelerated the region’s transition from extensive to intensive agriculture. Intensive crops like sugar beets, berries, lettuce and artichokes require a dependable water supply in an area with unpredictable rainfall. Local soil drains well and little water goes to waste, so irrigation is cost effective and efficient.

Agricultural corporations like the Spreckels Sugar Company, Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company required significant irrigation and were among the first Monterey County property owners to install large, complex irrigation systems. Several of the agricultural colonies established in Monterey County also had good irrigation infrastructure, including the second phase of Fort Romie and the Clark Colony (now Greenfield).

From the outset, farmers irrigating in the dry season were very successful. They increased yields, offered better crops to the market, attracted more customers, increased profits, expanded operations and left new imprints on the cultural landscape. They constructed more buildings to accommodate their growing businesses, especially processing and distribution facilities, and built worker housing to accommodate the large labor pool necessary in intensive agriculture. The irrigation canals, ditches, flumes, dams, pumping plants, pipelines and electric stations also modified the cultural landscape by introducing a network of waterways and infrastructure that traversed farm parcels, delineated property boundaries and followed the paths of local roads.

Spanish missionaries introduced irrigation to Monterey County and gravity systems irrigated fields on both the Soledad Mission and San Antonio Mission. Ranch owners generally relied on surface water for their stock animals until both an overabundance and dearth of water – in the floods and drought of 1862 to 1865 – killed thousands of cattle and spelled the end of Monterey County’s dominant cattle industry. When rancho owners subdivided their grazing and grain lands into smaller farm parcels, the new owners irrigated them to maximize crop production and profits. With irrigation, the shift from extensive agriculture (e.g., cattle and cereal crops) to intensive agriculture (e.g., fruit and vegetables) gained serious momentum.

“Most of California is a semiarid country. Here, the dry farmer is so tremendously handicapped in both quantity and quality of production that he cannot long survive the competition of thoroughly irrigated farms.”

California Orchard Company, circa 1924

510 California Orchard Company, Stockholders Report, 15.
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Monterey County landowners built diversion ditches in the late 1870s and early 1880s. Meyer Brandenstein, founder of the South County town of San Ardo, made the first large water claim in 1882. He and partner Lazard Godchaux had bought two-thirds (8,901 acres) of Rancho San Bernardo in 1871 (Alberto Trescony owned the other third as well as the adjacent Rancho San Lucas), organized the San Bernardo and Salinas Valley Canal and Irrigation Company, and built a six-mile long canal in 1884 to irrigate alfalfa.514 Alfalfa was one of Monterey County’s first irrigated crops and alfalfa plantings increased as grain plantings decreased.515 When farmers introduced alfalfa as cow feed, the County’s dairy industry expanded. Irrigated alfalfa survived rainfall fluctuations, achieved a higher yield, and irrigation was deemed an “absolute necessity” to the crop.516

By 1875, Pajaro Valley strawberry growers used windmills to pump water to their crops. In 1879, the Watsonville Water Works used flumes to release excess water from the Corralitos reservoir for strawberry irrigation. Wells also supplied irrigation water.518 Early irrigation projects were often done property-by-property. When the Japanese-run Y. Kōsansha Company leased Pajaro Valley strawberry fields in 1908, it bought a pumping machine, dug a well and built elevated flumes to transport water.519

Into the early decades of the twentieth century, flumes of long wooden boxes were nestled into the ground and water flowed to the strawberries from holes cut in the side. Pressing a board on top of the water made it flow faster.520

By 1901, farmers had filed seventy water claims for the Salinas River and its tributaries; they also claimed water from the Arroyo Seco, San Lorenzo and San Antonio rivers. However, the claims often exceeded the headgate and ditch capacity, flood control was difficult, and

---
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preserving diversion dams and ditches was challenging so few claims were actually used.\textsuperscript{521} In 1907, the Salinas Valley Irrigation Association was founded. After Miller and Lux’s water rights litigation of 1915 (described above in the Cattle Ranching section), irrigation districts became an important mechanism for distributing water to California agricultural operations.\textsuperscript{522}

Gravity irrigation using canals and flumes was inadequate so farmers experimented with storage ponds and pumping stations. The Spreckels Sugar Company used steam-powered pumps for its sugar beet factory in the Salinas Valley town of Spreckels (established in 1897) and pumped irrigation waste water to its local beet fields. After Spreckels improved the technology, other local farmers added pumping plants. The Soledad Land and Water Company used a pumping plant near the old Soledad Mission to irrigate 800 acres. Pumping plants also supplied the Salvation Army Colony at Fort Romie with 8,000 gallons of water per minute. Domingo Breschini used a pump plant to irrigate alfalfa on 500 acres of the Las Salinas Rancho; a similar pumping plant operated at Buena Vista Rancho. However, when local rivers ran low in the summer, pumping directly from the river did not provide adequate water.\textsuperscript{523}

Next, farmers experimented with underground water supplies. In 1898, one of the first wells was drilled south of Gonzales. The Spreckels Sugar Company also developed deep-well technology and used seventy-foot wells by 1904 to replace pumping and storage ponds. Farmers still used gravity irrigation and pumping plants by 1910, but deep well pumping became more popular.\textsuperscript{524} Starting in 1917, the Salinas Land Company and its subsidiary California Orchard Company developed extensive wells along the Salinas River between Greenfield and King City (described further in the section on Corporate Agriculture). By 1929, row crop irrigation depended entirely upon deep wells.\textsuperscript{525}

Some Monterey County agricultural firms have been in business long enough to experience most or all of the major developments in California irrigation. For example, the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company used furrow irrigation between 1920 and 1960; transitioned to sprinkler irrigation with underground pressure lines, reservoirs and booster pumps in the 1960s; and introduced drip irrigation in the mid-1990s.\textsuperscript{526}

Monterey County farmers have used many canals and dams to deliver water to their crops. The nine-mile Salinas Canal drew water from the Salinas River, the largest submerged stream in America. Dams held water impounded from smaller streams, and ditches carried the water to the fields.\textsuperscript{527} The Salinas Dam was built in 1941 in the upper Salinas Valley.\textsuperscript{528} More dams followed in the 1950s and 1960s.

\textsuperscript{521} Ryan and Breschini, “An Overview of Monterey County Agriculture.”
\textsuperscript{522} Ryan and Breschini, “The California Cattle Boom, 1849-1862.”
\textsuperscript{523} Ryan and Breschini, “An Overview of Monterey County Agriculture.”
\textsuperscript{524} Ryan and Breschini, “An Overview of Monterey County Agriculture.”
\textsuperscript{525} Ryan and Breschini, “An Overview of Monterey County Agriculture.”
\textsuperscript{526} “Salinas Land Company – California Orchard Company.”
\textsuperscript{527} Dunn, Monterey County, California, 7-9.
\textsuperscript{528} Gordon, Monterey Bay Area: Natural History and Cultural Imprints, 237.
Electricity was a key component of Monterey County irrigation. In 1879, George Roe founded the California Electric Light Company and operated America’s first central generating station serving electric customers. In 1898, the Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (PG&E) predecessors first pumped California irrigation water in California, allowing the agricultural industry to flourish. By the 1910s, electricity was available for operating irrigation pumping plants and irrigation became more reliable. From 1912 to 1927, the Coast Valleys Gas and Electric Company provided services to agricultural operations in Monterey County. During this period, the company built many Spanish Eclectic-style electric power buildings in the County. PG&E later acquired the company. By 1952, PG&E represented 520 merged companies, including the Coast Valleys Gas and Electric Company that had served Monterey County agricultural operations in the early twentieth century. In the 1930s, PG&E made another major consolidation, integrating service across Northern California and expanding rural service. By 1950, 98 percent of farms in PG&E’s service area had electricity.

529 Pacific Gas and Electric Corporation, “Energizing California for 150 Years.” PG&E began in 1852 as the San Francisco Gas Company, founded by Peter and James Donahue. After merging with many competitors for a half-century, the company merged with the California Gas and Electric Corporation in 1905 to form PG&E.


532 Pacific Gas and Electric Corporation, “Energizing California for 150 Years.”
6. Corporate Agriculture

In Monterey County, large corporations accelerated the pace of agricultural development, bringing tenant farming, irrigation, railroads, infrastructure, corporate headquarters, worker housing and other agriculture buildings to the area. The large firms of Miller and Lux (described in the Extensive Agriculture section on cattle), David Jacks Corporation, Spreckels Sugar Company, Salinas Land Company, California Orchard Company and Driscoll’s (all described in the Intensive Agriculture section) have been among the most important and influential agricultural companies to shape Monterey County’s cultural landscape.

a. Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company

Three successful Ventura County businessmen and farmers, Abe Hobson (1861–1929), John Lagomarsino (1864-1923) and Charles Teague (1873-1950), founded the Salinas Land Company in 1917 and the California Orchard Company in 1919. In 1917, the Salinas Land Company bought 13,000 acres just north of King City in Monterey County, including the southern half (8,388 acres) of the original 16,939-acre Rancho Posa de Los Ositos. The company’s property was four miles wide and nine miles long, located south of Greenfield (the northern boundary was about one-half mile north of Lagomarsino Avenue), west of the Salinas River, east of the Los Padres National Forest foothills, and north of Pine Canyon, near where the present King City bridge crosses the Salinas River. The main roads within the property are named for the

---

533 Abe Hobson and his brother William operated Hobson Brothers Packing Co., a Ventura meat-packing firm with the largest livestock herd in Southern California. He was also president of the Santa Barbara Packing Co. and Palo Verde Land & Water Co. and a bank director. Italian immigrant John Lagomarsino was president and manager of the Ventura Realty Co. and Del Norte Land Co., vice president of the California Lima Bean Growers Association, and a bank director. Teague was a walnut and citrus expert, president and general manager of the Limoneira Ranch Co. (growing lemons, walnuts and oranges), president and manager of Teague-McKevett Co. (growing lemons in Santa Paula), president of the California Fruit Growers Exchange and the California Walnut Growers Associates, and President Herbert Hoover appointed him to the Federal Farm Board in 1929. (California Orchard Company, California Orchard Company: Developing Nineteen Hundred & Five Acres of Fruit and Farm Land in Monterey County, California [hereafter Developing 1,905 Acres] (Los Angeles: California Orchard Company, 1922), 5, 6, 8.)

534 Sources cite conflicting information about the number of acres that the Salinas Land Company bought from the rancho. Most sources state that the company bought the southern half of Rancho Posa de Los Ositos (variously translated as the well or resting place of the little bears), which would be a little more than 8,000 acres. Other sources state that the company bought 8,000 acres of farmland and 5,000 acres of range land, the total of which almost equals the 16,939 acres that comprised the original rancho. The Salinas Valley Rustler of November 9, 1917 stated that Hobson and Lagomarsino bought 13,000 acres. (Salinas Valley Rustler, 9 November 1917 and that S. L. Shaw was working on buildings on the property. Charles Collins Teague, Fifty Years a Rancher (Los Angeles: The Ward Ritchie Press, 1944), 62. “Salinas Land Company – California Orchard Company,” undated document from the Salinas Land Company files, Greenfield, California, 1, listing highlights for tour guides. Norm Nuck, Antique Advocate – Part 3, series of four articles about the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company (unknown date).)

corporate founders: Teague Avenue, Hobson Avenue and Lagomarsino Avenue, which run parallel to each other and perpendicular to Highway 101.

During the Spanish, Mexican and American eras, the property changed hands the same way other large Monterey County parcels did. In 1839, Spain granted to Carlos Espinosa the 16,939-acre Rancho Posa de Los Ositos, covering the areas around Greenfield and King City. Espinosa Road on the southern side of Greenfield honors the family. The Espinosas had been in Monterey County a long time. Patriarch Salvador Espinosa moved from Spain to Soledad in 1798 and became the Soledad Mission administrator. To prove the family’s rancho ownership to the U.S. Lands Commission, the Espinosas hired William Dunphy to survey the land. Dunphy, an Ireland native, owned Monterey County ranches; South San Francisco slaughter houses and other property; a San Francisco mansion on Sacramento Street; and about 200,000 acres in Nevada with more than 30,000 head of cattle and many horses. The U.S. confirmed the rancho’s title in 1858. As payment for Dunphy’s surveying services, the Espinosas gave him part of the rancho. It was that portion that the Salinas Land Company bought in 1917.

In the 1800s, the Salinas Valley was green in the winter but dry, windy and dusty in the summer, covered in oak trees, California bunch grass, sage brush and willow thickets. Maps of the Salinas Valley labeled the area south of Soledad as the “Salinas Desert” as late as the 1860s. Deemed worthless for farming, the unfenced Rancho Posa de Los Ositos was used for grazing black Mexican cattle, horses and sheep. The soil was mostly chalk rock shale formation and the land was arid; only three places had water, reportedly. As elsewhere in Monterey County, the droughts and floods between 1861 and 1865 killed off about ninety percent of the cattle. However, the floods improved the land for farming, washing rich topsoil from the foothills to the valley floor and pushing rich silt over the Salinas River banks to the adjacent valley. Starting in the late 1910s, the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company took advantage of these soil changes, irrigating the fertile land, planting thousands of acres of orchards and vegetables, and building barns, offices, worker housing and other outbuildings and infrastructure to conduct agriculture as big business.

When the Salinas Land Company bought the Dunphy estate in 1917, farmer Paul Talbott (the “Wheat and Barley King of the Salinas Valley”) had grown wheat and barley there since 1900. He worked the land with horses and mules. After the annual grain harvest, Dunphy’s cattle ate the wheat stubble. Because the stubble was not plowed under, the soil’s fertility declined

539 Teague, Fifty Years a Rancher, 63. Norm Nuck, Antique Advocate, Part 2. Talbott arrived in Monterey County in 1875, bought 2,600 acres in Chualar Canyon and raised cattle. In 1876, he moved to the Jacks ranch near Chualar, where he cultivated 1,500 acres. In 1904, he moved to King City. His business and community interests were closely related to agriculture: he was president of the Salinas Valley Electric and Power Company, vice president of the Salinas Valley Warehouse and Storage Company, and a long-time Monterey County Supervisor.
without the added nutrients. Talbott continued to farm the property after the Salinas Land Company purchased it. The Dunphy estate’s original headquarters were located in the Salinas River bottom across from the intersection of present-day Highway 101 and Hobson Avenue.

In 1917, the King City area had almost no commercial bean, walnut, almond or apricot plantings. However, the residents of Clark Colony (now Greenfield) had shown that the Salinas Valley was suited for growing fruit and nuts. Planning to irrigate the land, the Salinas Land Company’s founders believed they could grow fruit and nut trees (using Teague’s expertise) and beans (Lagomarsino and Teague both grew beans in Southern California), in addition to continuing Paul Talbott’s wheat and barley farming. Talbott was skeptical, doubting the property could yield more than three sacks of beans per acre. In time, he produced twenty bean sacks per acre as a Salinas Land Company tenant farmer.

Although the Salinas Land Company eventually sold 4,125 acres, it took the remaining land off the market when its bean crops became extremely successful. In 1928, it started a tenant farming system, leasing land on a share basis of about 300 acres each. Tenants paid a share of the crop to the company and paid cash to cover interest and depreciation on the irrigation system, but the Salinas Land Company paid the electric bills. Many tenants came from the Ventura and Oxnard areas, where company founders Teague, Lagomarsino and Hobson lived. Some tenants eventually bought their leased land, including Paul Talbott. Tenant Arnold Frew later became superintendent of the California Orchard Company. In the 1920s and ’30s, few lessees had exclusive use of one irrigation well so the superintendent and pump supervisor made irrigation schedules, allowing the tenants to share the water.

“Despite much criticism in the past of tenant farming, there have been a great many successful demonstrations of that method of utilizing the land. One of these is the Salinas Land Company. All of our tenants have done well. Before we took the land off the market some of them had accumulated enough capital to purchase the lands they farmed, while some made enough money to buy ranches in other places in the valley.”

Charles Collins Teague, Salinas Land Company & California Orchard Company co-founder
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Tenants grew many crops on Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company land, including barley, wheat, orchards (covered in more detail under the California Orchard Company, below), the King City Pink Bean (heavily used in soup and barbeque recipes), Fordhook lima beans (started in 1948 for freezing), large and baby lima beans, small white beans, Kentucky wonder beans, seed beans, peas, grapes, lettuce (introduced in 1925), sugar beets (introduced in 1941), spinach, broccoli, paprika peppers, canning and fresh tomatoes, onions (for dehydration), garlic (for dehydration), potatoes for potato chips and processing, chili peppers, and corn for snacks. By the 1960s, the Salinas Land Company and COCO property had produced almost every Monterey County crop except strawberries, artichokes, asparagus and Brussel sprouts. Walnuts and apricots were the main fruit and nut trees until 1971, when they were removed and the land was irrigated for vegetables to become the major crops. Today’s crops include broccoli, lettuce, peppers, tomatoes, cauliflowers, peas, onions, cabbage, seed beans, carrots and parsley. They are primarily sprinkler-irrigated with some furrow irrigation and drip irrigation, which started in the mid-1990s.

The Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company have grown wine grapes since the companies were founded. However, before 1964, Monterey County’s annual agricultural report did not list wine grape acreage separately because they were not a big component of the county’s agricultural production. From 1965 to 1971, vineyards and other insignificant crops were grouped in the “miscellaneous” column. In 1972, Monterey County vineyards became more important, with 2,620 acres and a $22.7 million value. By 1980, the 30,061 vineyard acres under cultivation (but not yet all mature) had a $37 million value. Although the vineyard acreage dropped by 5,000 by 1990 (replaced by row crops), its value increased to $63.7 million in 1990. By 1999, Monterey County farmers grew 34,187 acres of grapes, worth $157.9 million. By 2000, vineyards occupied 45,030 acres worth $216.4 million, the fifth highest crop value in Monterey County after head lettuce, leaf lettuce, broccoli and strawberries.

Today, vineyards occupy much of the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company properties, although their tenants still grow row crops, too. In the early 2000s, tenants grew 2,100 acres of row crops, 1,738 acres of premium varietal wine grapes on Salinas Land Company property, and 1,635 acres of vineyards on COCO land. The motives of soil conservation, water conservation, power conservation, labor reduction, air quality and higher profits spurred the conversion from row crops to vineyards. Over the years, furrow and sprinkler irrigation had washed away valuable topsoil. Using drip irrigation for the vineyards reduced both washout and storm runoff. Grapes also require less water than row crops, reducing pumping expenses and power needs. Vineyards require less tractor work than row crops and are mostly harvested by machine, reducing labor costs, diesel emissions and dust. Vineyard profits are also higher than

---
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row crop profits, partly due to reduced power, water and labor costs.\textsuperscript{552} One of the Salinas Land Company’s current tenants, Scheid Vineyards, has its tasting room at 1972 Hobson Avenue in Greenfield in a barn that is more than 100 years old.\textsuperscript{553}

In 1966, the Salinas Land Company traded its 5,000 acres of range land for the Selva Ranch near Gonzales, which had 100 acres of river bottom land, 220 acres of sprinkler irrigated bench land and 600 acres of range land.\textsuperscript{555} In 1968, a newspaper proclaimed that “Today the Salinas Land Co. property, farmed by enterprising tenants utilizing modern techniques, is one of the most productive row crop areas in the nation. It’s a model success for operations of its type.”\textsuperscript{556}

In 1969, the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company (COCO, described further below) management merged, with the Teague, Lagomarsino and Hobson families still in charge.\textsuperscript{557} Smith-Hobson, LLC, a Ventura-based, family-owned land company established in 1865 by William Dewey Hobson, owns and manages the Salinas Land Company and properties in five California counties. It focuses on cattle ranching, lemons, avocados, row crops leases, oil and gas, and industrial and commercial properties.\textsuperscript{558}

\section*{b. California Orchard Company}

In 1919, fruit and nut grower Carlyle Thorpe proposed to the Salinas Land Company that he and colleagues form a new corporation, buy Salinas Land Company land and plant fruit orchards.\textsuperscript{559} Thus, the California Orchard Company (COCO) was founded with Charles Teague as president, the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company “are splendid examples of what the business enterprise system, actuated by individual initiative and the profit motive, has meant to America. Had it not been possible, under our form of government, to create the capital necessary to finance such enterprises, those lands undoubtedly would have remained much longer in a nonproductive or very low productive state. Even as it was, the Monterey County property lay undeveloped until 1917, long after most of the other fine valleys of California had been brought to a high state of cultivation.”

Charles Collins Teague, Salinas Land Company & California Orchard Company co-founder\textsuperscript{554}

\textsuperscript{552} Norm Nuck, \textit{Antique Advocate, Part 4}. \\
\textsuperscript{554} Charles Collins Teague, \textit{Fifty Years a Rancher} (Los Angeles: The Ward Ritchie Press, 1944), 64. \\
\textsuperscript{555} “Changing Times ’95: Salinas Land Co. has long, strong roots in South County,” Gonzales Tribune, Soledad Bee, Greenfield News, (27 September 1995), 20A. Tom Thwaits, \\
\textsuperscript{556} “50th Anniversary Recalls Salinas Land Co. History,” The Land (March 1968), 3. \\
\textsuperscript{557} “Explanation of the relationship between Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company” (16 May 1995). Meg Clovis’s notes from Salinas Land Company files, Greenfield. \\
\textsuperscript{559} Teague, \textit{Fifty Years a Rancher}, 62. California Orchard Company, Developing 1,905 Acres, 6, 8.
Abe Hobson (and later John Lagomarsino) as vice president and Carlyle Thorpe as general manager. The California Orchard Company’s offices are on Teague Avenue in Greenfield, where it abuts Highway 101.

Like the Salinas Land Company, COCO saw the economic benefits of farming on a big scale. The company told potential investors that “[f]arming is at present the biggest business in America,” with more capital in farming than in railroads, manufacturing or mining. However, it noted that “[m]ost big business is handled with greatest efficiency and to the greatest profit through large corporations. As yet the business of farming is not widely handled in this way.”

But COCO went on to do just that, growing crops on several thousand acres; building its own nurseries; manufacturing its own cement irrigation pipelines from on-site gravel; laying miles of steel and cement irrigation pipelines; building more than eleven miles of roads in its first two years of operation; buying large, expensive equipment to cultivate crops; building permanent housing for employees; and using the tenant farming system. Farming on such a large scale with a wide variety of crops helped to offset negative climatic or market conditions, allowing certain crops to make up for others that drew less profit or failed because of the climate.

In October of 1919, COCO bought 1,905 acres from the Salinas Land Company in a stock trade worth $240,900. The large parcel sloped up towards the Santa Lucia Mountains from Highway 101, which parallels the Salinas River. It was about four miles north of King City, which at that time had 1,500 residents and was a stop on the Southern Pacific’s rail line between San Francisco and Los Angeles. COCO took over the property on January 1, 1920 and made it into “a model orchard property,” as it told stockholders. In 1924, it leased with an option to buy another 2,167 acres from the Salinas Land Company, north and east of the property COCO already owned. The combined parcels created a ranch about two-and-a-half miles long and two-and-a-half miles wide, increasing COCO’s Highway 101 frontage by about two miles.
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COCO told stockholders that it chose the parcel it bought in 1919 for five main reasons: (1) the land was suitable for growing fruit and nuts; (2) it had excellent, easily cultivated soil, a deep silt loam perfect for fruit and nut trees; (3) it was adaptable to irrigation, located only 500 yards from the Salinas River, “one of the best watersheds in California, where an abundance of water is available for irrigation by pumping only fifty feet to the surface”; (4) the adjacent foothills and sloping land protected the parcel from the wind; and (5) the area’s temperature and rainfall allowed trees to escape spring frosts, assuring “more uniform and bounteous crops.”

**Crops.** In spring of 1920, COCO planted 416 acres of fruit and nut orchards with 26,728 trees. The following spring, COCO planted 502 more acres with 36,560 trees. By January of 1922, COCO had planted 56 acres with 27,300 grape vines and 1,602 acres with 102,000 fruit and nut trees, including almonds, apples, apricots, pears, peaches, plums, prunes and walnuts. In 1922, COCO could cultivate forty-eight acres a day with tractors pulling sixteen feet of heavily weighted double discs. By 1924, COCO had planted pears (300 acres), apricots (290 acres), almonds (265 acres), apples (250 acres), prunes (160 acres), peaches (150 acres), walnuts (90 acres), grapes (75 acres) and plums (50 acres). After the orchard trees matured, only the walnuts, apricots and almonds were profitable because although the other trees (and grapevines) gave good fruit, they were overproduced nationally. COCO removed the unprofitable trees and replaced them with additional walnut trees and row crops.

> “... it must be apparent that a large, advantageously located ranch operated by experts, and managed with scientific accuracy and proven business ability, can produce better products, and more to the acre, at lower cost – than the same acreage of land, cut up into small holdings, and operated by various individuals, each working for his own hand and often at cross purposes with his neighbors.”

California Orchard Company, 1922

From the start, the company interplanted beans, peas and other annual crops between the orchard rows until the trees bore marketable fruit. In 1923, COCO interplanted 1,000 gross (600 net) acres of pink and lima beans, producing more than 2,100 pounds of pink beans and 1,500 pounds of lima beans per net acre and making a $22,000 profit on a $37,500 expense. The crop was so successful that COCO planted thirty percent more beans in 1924. The bean profits reduced the orchard’s operating costs and beans became an increasingly important crop. COCO reported that after 1924, some of the orchard trees would be too large to continue interplanting beans.
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Other crops included bush fruit, strawberries, barley and alfalfa. COCO grew enough barley hay to feed its work animals, with over 400 tons of surplus hay by 1922. Foretelling the future importance of Salinas Valley viticulture, the company reported that the “grape vineyard is a picture of vigor and has made a truly wonderful growth this year.” The vines of Alicante Bonschet (then California’s highest priced grapes) were still immature but the product was already under a sales contract.

**Irrigation.** The Salinas Land Company started irrigating its property in 1918 before COCO was founded. The first two wells were drilled near the west end of the King City bridge crossing the Salinas River. By 1919, twelve wells were drilled along the present Highway 101. Eventually, the wells pumped more than 50 million gallons of water daily. In COCO’s first few years of business, it spent almost $209,000 on the irrigation system including wells, concrete and steel pipelines, reinforced concrete pump houses, a switch shed, transformer, derricks, force lines, pumps, motors, reservoirs, wells, and a telephone system. Electricity to run the irrigation system was a big expense. COCO reported “an abundant and unfailing supply” of water even during dry years, with four wells producing 12 million gallons per day. The first four wells operated along the Salinas River at the west end of the Salinas River bridge. Each had a deep-well pump that lifted more than 3 million gallons of irrigation water daily into a steel force line to a booster plant. From there, electric motors drove three centrifugal pumps, which propelled the irrigation water through a concrete pipeline to the ranch’s higher elevations.

Led by Slovenian workers Charlie Ragus, Bill Ragus and Mike Kristich, a fifty-man crew made COCO’s concrete irrigation pipes on-site at the Salinas River using sand and gravel from the property. Pipe was hauled by wagon and engineer Charles Petit laid out the pipe lines. The
first lines were to the foothills. Gravity lines ran off the main line and valves distributed water to blocks of trees with limited waste and labor. Meters and "measuring boxes" tracked how much water the system pumped, how much water was used per block of trees and the cost.

COCO reduced irrigation costs by manufacturing its own pipes, buying cement cheaply and digging trenches with a trencher machine instead of by hand. By 1922, COCO had installed about thirty miles of buried concrete distributing pipes to irrigate the property and almost four miles of steel pipeline. Placed every twenty-five feet along the pipeline, outlet pots regulated the water flow using valves and shutter-regulated outlet gates. Eventually, sixteen wells and one hundred miles of steel and concrete pipelines irrigated 8,000 acres of COCO and Salinas Land Company land. At the time, only a large agricultural corporation could afford to install an irrigation system of this extent, make its own irrigation supplies, and buy such expensive equipment. Irrigation was unsuitable on only sixty or seventy of COCO’s acres, upon which it grew grains instead of irrigated fruit and nut trees.

In the mid-1920s, Pacific Service magazine called COCO’s irrigation system of pumps and booster stations “an excellent example of efficient agricultural engineering.” When COCO leased an additional 2,167 acres from the Salinas Land Company in 1924, a “modern irrigation system” covered 2,103 acres of it with five wells, turbine pumps, motors, twenty-one miles of concrete pipeline and three-and-a-half miles of steel pipeline. The leased property had “eight sets” of farm buildings, including houses, barns, garages and other structures. COCO planted beans on the leased property.

Furrow irrigation was used between 1920 and 1960. Sprinkler irrigation using underground pressure lines, reservoirs and booster pumps started in the 1960s. Drip irrigation started in the mid-1990s.
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Buildings and Equipment. By 1924, COCO had built tool and tractor sheds, at least four barns and other outbuildings. \(^{600}\) By 1922, COCO had built a boarding house for single male workers with twenty-two rooms, a dining room, kitchen, club room, lavatories, showers, baths and other facilities. \(^{601}\) Located next to the boarding house was the superintendent’s large bungalow, which also housed the ranch office and second-floor rooms furnished for officers, directors and stockholders. \(^{602}\) Three five-room bungalows housed the assistant superintendent, pruning foreman, mechanical foreman and their three five-room bungalows for the assistant superintendent, pruning foreman, mechanical foreman and their families. They were “neat, comfortable, and economical of construction” and meant to “insure permanency of [the] employees.” For less than $3,000, COCO also built and furnished a separate guest house for visiting stockholders, “a unique little bungalow” with three bedrooms, large combination living and dining room, kitchen with an electric range, bathroom and a double garage. It was built near the boarding house for unmarried male workers. \(^{603}\)

By 1922, COCO owned four tractors, three cars, three trucks, twenty-two horses and mules, “a complete set of all farming tools and implements” and built appropriate buildings to house all of these assets. \(^{604}\) Although COCO used tractors to pull chisel plows, harrow plows and pea drills in 1924, it also relied heavily on mules to do much of the orchard work because it was cheaper. \(^{605}\) By 1924, it replaced most of its old trucks, tractors and automobiles and bought “fine young animals” to replace “unsatisfactory stock.” \(^{606}\) The company also invested in “frost-fighting” equipment, including smudge-pots, smudge oil, oil storage tanks and other equipment. It protected the almond trees, which usually blossom in mid-February. \(^{607}\) The company also installed a “modern and complete” fruit drying system and bought a “modern almond huller.” \(^{608}\)

In 1923, COCO estimated that it would produce an average of fifteen million pounds of fruits and nuts annually at full capacity. \(^{609}\) Most of its fruit was “suitable for drying, canning, or shipping green” but the “greatest profits accrue where the fruit can be conveniently canned.” \(^{610}\) In 1923, “one of the largest fruit packing concerns in California” purchased a King City cannery site but COCO was unsure whether that cannery would pay well for its fruit or could even handle COCO’s entire crop. Therefore, COCO bought its own ten-acre King City canning site, fronting
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on the Southern Pacific spur tract only two blocks from the town’s business center, to process its
fruit in-house. The company estimated that it could subdivide and sell five of the ten acres yet
recover the purchase price of the entire property. It planned to build the first part of the cannery
when fruit production was heavy enough to make the cannery profitable, in approximately 1925
or 1926.611

**Windbreaks.** COCO spent $6,197 on windbreaks, planting eucalyptus trees in rows spaced
about 800 feet apart to help counteract the Salinas Valley “zephyr” winds.612 In a “lath house”
on the property (an open structure with posts and beams, roofed in lath with space between each
board to allow sun and rain to enter), COCO grew 170,000 eucalyptus trees, 84,000 of which
were planted on the property by 1922.613 Eucalyptus windbreaks have been a hallmark of
Monterey County’s cultural landscape for many decades, ever since they were grown as a crop.
However, some property owners are cutting them down and leaving only the stumps behind, and
eucalyptus windbreaks may be a disappearing component of historic agricultural properties.

> “Our big job is behind us. Where a barren grain field
stood but four years ago, there has since sprung up like
magic one of the most complete and beautiful orchard
properties to be found in the entire State of California. In
response to the garden-like tillage, the thorough irrigation,
the scientific fertilization and pruning, our orchards have
thrived beyond our early expectation.”

**California Orchard Company,**
March 26, 1924614

---
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7. Agricultural Colonies

Monterey County had three main agricultural colonies: Fort Romie Colony, Clark Colony (now Greenfield, the fifth most populated Monterey County town as of 2006) and St. Joseph’s Colony.615 These colonies formed an important component of Monterey County’s settlement history in the late 1890s and early 1900s. The Salinas Valley and South County were still relatively unpopulated at that time and the colonies attracted new residents with little wealth but a willingness to work hard. The promised payoff was a chance to own productive agricultural land. In 1898, a Salinas Daily Index reporter interviewing Fort Romie colonists noted that “One and all said: ‘We worked in the city from daylight until dark, earning a mere pittance, and when the year was at an end, we had nothing. Now we have a future and will improve.’”616

Irrigation allowed these colonies to develop. Without water from the Salinas River and Arroyo Seco River, the colonists would have been unable to convert the sandy, dusty land to fertile orchards and fields.617 Corporate agriculture also factored in the success of agricultural colonies because both Fort Romie and St. Joseph’s Colony supplied sugar beets for the Spreckels Sugar Company’s factory outside of Salinas. Fort Romie and Greenfield still retain structures dating from the agricultural colony days but St. Joseph’s has not fared as well.

a. Fort Romie

The Salvation Army founded three agricultural colonies to help the working poor leave congested cities and become self-supporting through agricultural work: Fort Romie in Monterey County, Fort Amity in Colorado and Fort Herrick in Ohio. In 1897, Monterey County Supervisor Charles T. Romie (brother-in-law of prominent agricultural landowner David Jacks) sold the Salvation Army 520 acres in the Salinas Valley, which became the Fort Romie Colony. It is located four miles southwest of Soledad, west of the Salinas River, and was formerly part of the Soledad Mission’s lands.618 The former colony’s main roads are Fort Romie Road (formerly Mission Road), Colony Road (formerly Washington Road), Foothill Road (formerly Mesa Road), Lucerne Street and Mile End Road.

A sign at the intersection of Fort Romie Road and Mile End Road proudly marked the entrance to the “Fort Romie Salvation Army Colony.”619 The colony’s slogan, “The Landless Man to the Man-less Land” illustrated the hope that families without property would move to this
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615 Plans for other colonies were announced in local papers, including a colony fifteen miles west of Bradley in the South County intended for “about 100 colonists from Kentucky.” The parcel covered 8,000 acres of the Pleyto Rancho. (“Another Colony,” unknown newspaper, August 1897.)


618 Terry, “Fort Romie: The Salvation Army's First Colony.”

619 Clovis and Monterey County Agricultural and Rural Life Museum, Salinas Valley, 56.
unpopulated spot, cultivate the land and prosper.\textsuperscript{620} The Salvation Army solicited funds for the colony from across the country. Donors ranged from New York City residents to Monterey County’s sugar king, Claus Spreckels, who donated $1,000.\textsuperscript{621} Fort Romie and St. Joseph’s colonists supplied sugar beets to the Spreckels factory near Salinas.\textsuperscript{622}

In 1898, the Salvation Army built a reservoir fifty feet square and thirty feet deep and a tunnel to connect it to the river. Pumping plants were designed to supply the colony with 8,000-9,000 gallons of water per minute, enough to irrigate 1,500 to 2,000 acres. The Salvation Army also built an 850-foot long flume to the San Jurjo Ranch, whose owners wanted to purchase extra water that the colonists did not need. Charles Romie donated 2,000 eucalyptus, cypress and other trees for windbreaks for the colonists to plant once the irrigation system began.\textsuperscript{624} Unfortunately, a three-year drought dealt a severe blow to the colony. Rainfall was insufficient to water the crops and irrigation was insufficient. All but one family left, the Frank Oscar Lindstrand family. Like the other colonists, Mr. Lindstrand was not trained as a farmer. Originally from Finland, he had been a railway car conductor before moving to Fort Romie. Nevertheless, his perseverance paid off and he was a Fort Romie resident and local leader in both phases of Fort Romie’s development.

In 1903, the Salvation Army revived Fort Romie with a second wave of settlement and irrigation. It resurveyed the colony, laid it out as a townsite with roads and waterways, resettled it with
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\textsuperscript{624} Ryan and Breschini, “An Overview of Monterey County Agriculture.” Terry, “Fort Romie: The Salvation Army's First Colony.”
colonists experienced with farming, and irrigated it with water from the Arroyo Seco River, used a steam pump for Salinas River water, and used Spreckels’s canals for irrigation. A new pumping plant started operating on February 29, 1912 with a 5,000 gallons per minute capacity, irrigating an average of ten acres every twelve hours. The *Salinas Daily Index* noted that “There are six twelve-inch wells which are apparently inexhaustible.” The Fort Romie Water Company, at the corner of Private #2 Road and Fort Romie Road, still operates.

By 1903, seventy colonists raised sugar beets under contract to Spreckels. Colonists also grew alfalfa, potatoes, beans and onions; raised cows, pigs and chickens; and made cheese, butter and honey. Colonists later sold some of the small farm parcels to Spreckels, Swiss dairy farmers and other residents. The colonists had a wide range of cultural backgrounds. In 1903, thirteen families were from America, two were Scandinavian, and one family each of Finnish, German, Swiss, Dutch and Italian descent.

Each Fort Romie farmstead was ten or twenty acres and the residents lived in very modest, single-story, wooden homes. Families with two children lived in a two-room house; families with three or more children lived in a four-room house with a kitchen, dining room and two bedrooms. They farmed their tracts with plows, seeds, sheds, tools, windmills and equipment from the Salvation Army.

Buildings and stores in Fort Romie included the D.W. Wiley Cheese Factory, R.H. Gilkey’s Blacksmith and Wagon Maker Shop, shoe repair and

![The Pura farmstead in Fort Romie. Jerry Pura’s family arrived in Fort Romie by 1906 and J. M. Pura was elected as a director of the Fort Romie Water Company in 1915. Mrs. Pura kept the Water Company’s books and Margaret Pura Olson delivered water bills to customers when she was a child.](image)
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shop, tobacco and candy store, ice cream parlor, clubhouse, library, school, social hall, creamery and the Salvation Army Central Hall. The second story of the Central Hall was a meeting space and the first floor housed the Rochdale Company, a consumer cooperative which the colonists operated as shareholders. The store was named for a cooperative movement begun in Rochdale, England. The Fort Romie Rochdale Company dissolved in January 1913. The Salvation Army founded the Mission School, which taught Fort Romie students and other pupils in the Mission District. The Fort Romie Telephone Company operated a farm line from Soledad to the colony; residents installed their own wires and poles.  

By 1910, all of the colonists had paid off their mortgages and loans and the Salvation Army withdrew from the colony. When the Salvation Army left, the residents founded the Fort Romie Grange, which served a social function as well as being an agricultural organization. The Grange bought the Salvation Army Central Hall in November 1912 which was rededicated as the Grange Hall on July 11, 1913.

b. Clark Colony (Greenfield)

In 1904, the Arroyo Seco Improvement Company bought 7,000 acres of the Arroyo Seco Rancho, acquired water rights and built canals on the property nine miles south of Soledad. A year later, they sold their interests to the California Home Extension Association which laid out Clark Colony on the property. It was named after Association founder John S. Clark. The town was renamed Clark City and then Greenfield (honoring a Clark Colony man), after the Post Office notified the community that too many cities were called Clark City. Colonists bought parcels of five, ten, twenty and forty acres with water rights attached.

The new settlement was a barley field when residents arrived and they all used one well (on what is now Eighth Street) to meet their immediate needs. The colonists lived in a tent city in the “Three Mile Flat” area while they built homes. For years, the Spreckels Sugar Company grew acres of sugar beets in the Three-Mile Flat area, tended by immigrant workers from India. Dairy farms eventually replaced the sugar beet fields.

630 Terry, “Fort Romie: The Salvation Army’s First Colony.” Clovis and Monterey County Agricultural and Rural Life Museum, Salinas Valley, 57.
631 Terry, “Fort Romie: The Salvation Army’s First Colony.”
632 “Clark Colony’s Substantial Growth,” The Western Empire, August 1905. Clovis and Monterey County Agricultural and Rural Life Museum, Salinas Valley, 73. Monterey County Historical Society, “Colony Settlements.”
634 Monterey County Historical Society, “Colony Settlements.”
By July 1905, three hundred people lived in the colony and had built sixty-two houses in ten weeks. Another eight hundred residents were scheduled to arrive after the grain harvest. The William Page family dug the first house well in the community and later installed the first electric pump around 1912. Early commercial and community buildings housed a grocery store, hardware store, post office and community meetings. A one-room schoolhouse south of town accommodated the colony’s children as well as those of local Swiss farmers.

Founded in 1905, the Clark Colony Water Company used water from the Arroyo Seco River to operate a system of canals, ditches and laterals, which was the largest irrigation and domestic water system in the Salinas Valley at the time. Colony crops included potatoes, beans, alfalfa, grains, gooseberries, almonds, walnuts, apricots, pears, apples, peaches, plums, cherries, blue gum trees (on a parcel where Dust Bowl migrants set up a camp in the 1930s), peas, lettuce and other vegetables. Colonists also raised chickens. The Clark Colony’s apples were

637 Helen E. Lorentzen McDonald, “Ethel Page,” 5 September 1991. In 1916, the Page family opened the Page Hotel in town (renamed the Hotel Greenfield after 1944). J. G. Yeomans built the structure around 1906 and used it as a hardware store and lumber yard; it was also a grocery store before the Pages bought it.
639 “Greenfield gent recalls 1905’s ‘Clark City’ days,” unknown newspaper, 6 September 1980.
excellent, winning more blue ribbons and selling for higher prices than the esteemed apples produced in Watsonville and the Pajaro Valley. To protect crops from the Salinas Valley wind, residents planted eucalyptus windbreaks after about 1907. They shipped crops to and received freight from Metz (formerly Chalone), which had become a stop on the Southern Pacific Railroad in 1886. Row crops eventually replaced the orchards. The area now has an increasing number of vineyards, a rapidly expanding industry in the Salinas Valley.

In 1915, the King City Rustler noted that Greenfield farmers grew “hundreds of acres of alfalfa with dairies and cheese factories, orchards loaded with delicious fruits, groves and alignments of stately gums, fragrant acacias and flower gardens on every hand; wind-mills in all directions spreading their white sails to the wind.” Many Swiss dairymen lived in the area before the Clark Colony developed, with some estimates of more than a dozen Swiss dairies, such as the Vanoli and Rava dairy. After Spreckels bought the Espinosa Ranch, many Swiss families farming there moved to the Clark Colony. Greenfield farmers were successful and the Greenfield Grange was very active. It was originally located in an old shed, then in a granary and finally in its own building.

Based in part on the orchard success of Clark Colony residents, the founders of the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company planted vast orchards between Greenfield and King City starting in 1917. The city of Greenfield is still thriving and is one of Monterey County’s main population centers.

c. St. Joseph’s Colony

From 1897 to 1907, St. Joseph’s Colony operated on part of the former Rancho Cienega del Gabilan, about fourteen miles southeast of Salinas at the junction of Alisal Road and Old Stage Road. Led by N. H. Lang and Superior Judge N. A. Dorn, the San Francisco-based German Colonization Association of California, Inc. distributed promotional materials to German Catholic families across America, enticing them to move to the Salinas Valley to farm sugar beets for the Spreckels plant. The Association’s stationery featured sugar beets wrapped in a banner proclaiming “Sugar Beet Land.”
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The colony was about 10,000 acres and the town settlement covered about 250 acres, with colonists buying ten-acre parcels. It included a post office, store and homes on the east end and a combination church and school and additional homes on the west end. The homes generally consisted of three adjacent rooms: one for the family, the middle for hay storage, and the third for animals. At least some of the colonists bought lots based on misleading information and photographs, arriving in Salinas to find their property almost worthless. Most of the colonists were former merchants and tradesmen, not farmers, and their inexperience, the 1897-98 drought, fluctuating beet prices, small farming parcels, and the unsuitability of the colony’s land for farming spelled disaster. The Association originally sought about 150 families, but peak residence only reached about 90 residents in 1900. The population later declined and the last colonists sold their land in 1907. Although the colony started out as a respectable enterprise, it devolved into a real estate sham.

F. H. Lang repurchased the colonists’ land and sold it to brothers Charles and Henry Bardin, who established a ranch. The Bardins sold St. Joseph’s Catholic Church for $600 to Catholic residents of the town of Spreckels. The new congregants moved the church in two pieces to the corner of Llano Avenue and Second Street in Spreckels, where Bishop T. J. Conaty of Los Angeles dedicated it. Some of the colony buildings still standing in 1978 included Lang’s two-story redwood-framed house, a horse stable, tack shed, two barns, corrals, fences and the original St. Joseph’s School.

652 “Memorandum” from Surveyor Jackson, German Colonization Association of California, undated. Files of the County of Monterey.
656 Monterey County Historical Society, “Colony Settlements.”
F. INDUSTRIAL AGRICULTURE (ca. 1925–1960): TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES, PRODUCT EXPANSION, LABOR CAMPS, ADAPTIVE USE

1. Introduction

Industrial agriculture features specialization on many levels: crop specialization; labor specialization (laborers trained to perform a single task such as harvesting crops versus a single family performing all labor on their family farm); and the complete commercialization of farming. It also requires close connections between growers, labor, scientists, investors, marketing agencies, regional markets, governmental regulators, businesses and consumers. In Monterey County today, most agricultural production is on the industrial scale.

Many of the technological advances of the late nineteenth century and the early twentieth century allowed Monterey County’s intensive agriculture to transform into industrial agriculture. A large, specialized labor pool was equally critical to the transformation and immigrant groups like Filipinos and Mexicans filled labor needs throughout the twentieth century. Employers built labor camps throughout the county to accommodate the workers.

2. Technological Advances and Product Expansion

Pesticides: From the 1880s to 1907, pests and pesticides caused major damage locally. By 1900, pests like the codling moth infested more than a third of Pajaro Valley’s apples. The Federal Bureau of Chemistry found that seventy-one percent of pesticides were too dangerous, potentially killing more crops than pests did. In 1901, California passed the country’s first pesticide law and Pajaro Valley apple growers successfully sued manufacturers who had sold inconsistently formulated pesticides.

U.C. Berkeley entomologists William H. Volck and E. E. Luther came to the area in 1902 and 1905, respectively, and found that the Pajaro Valley’s coastal fog turned pesticides volatile, burning tree leaves. They experimented and formulated gentle, effective pesticides. Volck and Luther pioneered a new type of public-private partnership with the U.C. experiment station that other pesticide companies later followed. Local apple growers helped pay for Volck and Luther’s experiments at first. The two men later founded the California Spray Chemical Company in Watsonville and

---
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distributed their product internationally under the name “Ortho.” They allowed the U.C. experiment station to review their pesticide formulations, achieving extra credibility and selling a new product that the university was financially unable to develop and sell. By 1907, the worst codling moth and pesticide problems ended and the North County’s apple industry continued to expand. In Monterey County’s agricultural history, Volck and Luther were significant because their experiments and pesticide formulas enabled agricultural operations to survive and to continue producing superior crops. Although some local farmers grow organic fruit and vegetables, pesticides are still critical to Monterey County’s agricultural industry.

**Packing and Packaging:** Improvements in agricultural packing and packaging took Monterey County agriculture to a new level of efficiency and sophistication. These changes were significant because they allowed growers to concentrate on cultivation rather than processing. Labor specialization increased, processing tasks were consolidated or outsourced, and new buildings like packing houses and packaging plants were constructed to accommodate these changes.

In 1894, local Croatian apple distributors learned the importance of marketing at the California Midwinter International Exposition in San Francisco, including standardizing fruit grading by size, shape, color, damage and texture; separating fruit into categories like fancy, choice, standard, pie and juice apples; and designing creative, attractive packaging. They also used colorful, creative produce labels for marketing impact. Local companies still use these techniques today, using creative packaging to introduce new product lines.

---
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Agricultural packaging developments were not limited to the apple industry. In 1923, Charles Sambrailo, founder of the Sambrailo Packaging Company, sought to improve packaging. To start, he introduced paper liners to protect produce as workers packed it into wooden boxes. In 1957, Sambrailo developed strawberry packing trays with glued and folded-over windows, which reduced fruit damage by making the packaging stronger. They replaced the old strawberry cartons. Since then, the family-owned business has continued to create innovative packaging for the agricultural industry.668 The Sambrailo Packaging Company has a large plant at 1750 San Juan Road near Aromas, next to the Southern Pacific Railroad crossing. The Salinas Valley Wax Paper Company occupies an Art Deco-style building at 1111 Abbott Street in Salinas.

Innovations in agricultural packing and packaging were significant because they increased efficiency, led to increased labor specialization, and expanded the agricultural industry. Many of the new packing and packaging facilities were built near major transportation networks, such as railroad depots and principal roadways, so the products could ship to market faster.

**Refrigeration:** Distributing fresh Monterey County fruit to distant markets was problematic until the 1920s. Developments that improved the process included the new East Coast produce auction and distribution system (1896), railroad schedule and route standardization (after 1900), the Panama Canal (1914), and reliable refrigerated rail cars (1920s).  

---

Sambrailo Packaging, “Sambrailo Packaging Company History” (Watsonville, CA: Sambrailo Packaging), http://www.sambrailo.com/history.html (accessed 3 May 2010). The company’s corporate headquarters and two other properties are in Watsonville; it has eleven facilities in California and Mexico.
In 1867, J. B. Sutherland invented the first refrigerated rail “bunker” car, with bunkers (insulated containers filled with ice) in each end of the car, cooling produce in between. Several decades of technological development improved car reliability and specialization for meat or fruit. The cars became commonplace for shipping produce in the Monterey County area by 1923, coinciding with the rise of industrial agriculture.

A few years earlier, in 1916, North County farmer Moses (Mose) S. Hutchings shipped the first refrigerated produce out of Monterey County. From his mother-in-law Eva Rowe’s ranch at 1767 San Juan Road in the Pajaro Valley, Hutchings packed a wagon of wooden crates laden with lettuce, using ice as the refrigerant. Spoilage was common in this era, with ice melting and contaminating the produce. Refrigerated rail cars and vacuum coolers were a vast improvement. In 1946, Rex L. Brunsing invented the vacuum cooler, a major technological advancement in lettuce refrigeration. The cooler consisted of an enormous vacuum tube, eight feet long and five feet in diameter, that could hold up to sixteen crates of lettuce. In 1946, Monterey County farmers successfully shipped the first lettuce using this system. At first, farmers shipped their produce on refrigerated bunker cars, but in the 1950s, chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) refrigeration rendered the first bunker cars obsolete.

Developments in cold storage also occurred in the early twentieth century. In 1912, the first cold storage facility was built in Watsonville. In the early twentieth century, Croatian apple distributors founded the Monterey County Ice and Development Company in Salinas, because no pre-cooling plants existed for storing apples and other produce. They also founded the Pajaro Valley Cold Storage Co., still in business in Watsonville.

Frozen food was the next innovation to expand Monterey County’s agricultural industry and alter the cultural landscape. Around 1941, the Pajaro Valley frozen food industry developed to meet
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military food demands. In 1944, the military consumed twenty million pounds of vegetables and one million pounds of fruit, including 274 refrigerated cars from Watsonville. In the late 1940s, freezers in home refrigerators became popular. In 1950, Pajaro Valley frozen food packers produced 17.5 percent of the statewide total and 3.2 per cent of the national total. By the early 1950s, the Pajaro Valley was the “frozen food center of the West,” with thirteen plants processing fruits and vegetables. Five plants operated year-round and the other plants operated seasonally, processing apples, berries and artichokes. The frozen food industry first concentrated on bulk production for the military, hotels, restaurants, and hospitals, but by the mid-1950s it also produced frozen food for sale at grocery stores.676

All of these refrigeration-related developments were significant in Monterey County’s agricultural history because they allowed local growers to ship their products to distant markets. Businesses built new processing and distribution facilities along major Monterey County transportation routes, adjacent to railroad tracks and main roads.

Research: Research, especially in the strawberry industry, improved agricultural output in the twentieth century. Additional research by the University of California cooperative extensions, other educational institutions and independent scientists also improved production. Among other things, the Pajaro Valley strawberry industry supports a University of California fruit breeding program. The research has developed high-yield strawberry varieties for fresh market sales and for processing.677 Researchers improved cultural systems, including soil fumigation, annual planting, drip irrigation, fertilizers and bed size and configuration.678 These developments changed the type of equipment used on farms, altered the appearance of fields and required laborers to learn new skills.

The United States Department of Agriculture Research Station located near the Salinas airport is the former site of the United States Natural Rubber Research Station, a guayule (used to make rubber) research station from World War II.679

All of these technological advances pushed Monterey County’s intensive agriculture into the new realm of industrial agriculture. Property owners and growers subsequently hired more workers to keep up with the high production demands.


678 “Fruit and Nut Crops,” A Guidebook to California Agriculture, 157, 159.

679 Meg Clovis, personal communication to PAST Consultants, LLC, June 2011.
3. Agricultural Workers and Labor Camps

For industrial agriculture to be successful, it requires large labor pools, historically comprised mostly of immigrants. Seasonal crops like grapes, apricots, peaches, prunes, sugar beets and berries have peak labor needs around harvest time, requiring more migratory labor than do year-round crops. With seasonal crops maturing mostly in the summer when warm temperatures and rainless days prevail, permanent housing for laborers was unusual. Charles Teague, co-founder of the Salinas Land Company and the California Orchard Company, noted that “The cost of permanent housing to a producer of seasonal crops would often equal the value of his farm or orchard” and was simply unaffordable. In contrast, year-round crops require a permanent workforce with permanent housing.

To accommodate the new workers and to establish some standard living conditions when the government forced that on employers, hundreds of labor camps were established in Monterey County. Many were segregated by ethnicity. During the industrial agriculture era, many farm workers have been Filipino, Dust Bowl migrants, and Mexicans.

Filipinos: Filipino immigrants arrived in Monterey County in the 1920s. They labored in the local fields before World War II, following the Japanese immigrants as a major source of farm labor. As anti-Filipino racial tensions mounted, a race riot occurred in 1930 and Filipino agricultural worker Fermin Tobera was shot and killed in a bunkhouse on the Murphy ranch on San Juan Road. The federal government restricted Filipino immigration by 1934.

Filipino workers in T. J. Horgan’s lettuce field on Lewis Road in the 1920s. (Courtesy of Pajaro Valley Historical Association.)
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Italians have been synonymous with Castroville since the early 1920s, when they started growing artichokes on Andrew Molera’s Mulligan Hill Ranch on Molera Road. Traveling through Monterey County, Angelo Del Chiaro and Egidio Maracci saw Molera’s first artichoke crop on his property and leased 150 acres from him immediately. They planted artichokes with Daniel Pieri and Del Chiaro’s cousin Amerigo. The Del Chiaro, Pieri, Tottino and Bellone families founded the California Artichoke and Vegetable Growers Corporation by 1924, now called Ocean Mist.687

During World War II, Italian prisoners of war were held at Ford Ord in Monterey. Local Italian-American families were allowed to visit them there and host them in Castroville. Prisoners like Giuseppe Sbarra married local girls and became artichoke growers. During the war, the federal government imposed a curfew and painted a white line down Castroville’s Merritt Street. About seventy Italians families lived in Castroville but Italy natives could not cross the line. Dino Lazzerini, who farmed artichokes for forty-six years, managed his ranch from across the white line in the road, yelling instructions to his workers. Despite the conflict, Lazzerini’s artichoke-packing shed hosted many festivities for the Ford Ord Italian prisoners. In 1942-1943, some Castroville residents who had served in the Italian military were sent to internment camps.688 After the war ended, the Italians resumed farming artichokes in Castroville.

**Dust Bowl Migrants:** In the 1930s, a terrible drought, severe dust storms and the Great Depression forced many residents of Texas, Arkansas, Oklahoma and surrounding states to flee their homes. Some Dust Bowl refugees moved to the Pajaro and Salinas Valleys, seeking work.690 Alisal, now within the City of Salinas, was once called “Little Oklahoma” because many Dust Bowl migrants settled there and worked in the Salinas Valley lettuce fields and packing sheds.691 They also settled in Prunedale, raising cows, chickens and vegetables. Some sold milk in Salinas.692 Local farmers offered them forty-five cents an hour to work in packing sheds,
which they considered “great pay” and “easy money,” versus working in the fields. Many migrants lived in “cardboard communities” and Alisal had hundreds of such shelters. The long harvesting season allowed Dust Bowl migrants to live in the area for most of the year, but they moved to areas like Yuma and Phoenix for the winter. In 1959, former Dust Bowl refugees still living in the area created the annual “Oldtimers Shed Workers Potluck Picnic” to reminisce about their lettuce packing days. The annual picnic occurred at least until 1982.693

**Mexicans:** Mexican farmers continued to live in Monterey County after California became an American state.694 Mexican immigration to the United States was slow in the last half of the nineteenth century because of discrimination and lack of opportunities, but increased in the 1920s when Mexicans came to work in farming, ranching and mining to replace dwindling Asian labor.695 The thriving American economy and Mexican political unrest also drew them. From 1910 to 1930, the Mexican population in America rose from 200,000 to 600,000. The actual population was likely higher but fluctuated as immigrants re-crossed the border.696

As World War II dawned, many growers sought workers to fill low-paying agricultural jobs vacated by new military personnel or to replace Japanese workers whom the government had forcibly removed to internment camps. On August 4, 1942, the United States and Mexico created the Mexican Farm Labor Program for the temporary use of Mexican agricultural labor on American farms. From 1942 to 1964, the government signed 4.6 million worker contracts; many workers returned several times. In 1951, Congress formalized the Bracero Program as Public Law 78, concerned about agricultural production as the country entered the Korean conflict. The controversial Bracero Program worried farm workers already living here, who feared job competition and lower wages. The government established rules and standards for employment and living and working conditions, but many violations occurred and employers reaped big profits while the workers struggled with the arduous, low-paid work. Between the 1940s and mid-1950s, farm wages dropped sharply as growers took advantage of the Braceros and other laborers.697 Many Mexicans moved to the Pajaro and Salinas Valleys during the federal government’s Bracero Program.698 In August of 1942, trains brought 600 Mexicans to the Salinas Valley to work in the Spreckels factory.699 Thousands more followed.700 The program peaked in 1956-58. Public Law 78 expired in December 1964.701
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Monterey County labor camps once dotted the landscape, with Salinas Valley towns and farms containing most of them. Between 1920 and 1934, Salinas had at least ninety-eight labor camps. Other valley towns, including Chualar, Soledad and King City each had more than a dozen camps during that time. Camp McCallum was a guayule labor camp that housed German and Italian prisoners of war during World War II. It later housed Mexican braceros and is now a labor co-operative.703

Major operations like Spreckels, the California Orchard Company, the Salinas Land Company, and the H. P. Garin Company had many camps, some divided by ethnicity. Camps ranged in quality, from uniform, relatively solid construction to mere shacks made of found materials. Because these camps were not valued highly or were made poorly, many have been demolished. The company town of Spreckels, designed by renowned architect William Weeks, is perhaps the best example of agricultural worker housing in Monterey County (described above in the discussion of the Spreckels Sugar Company). The company provided housing based on the worker hierarchy at the factory, building larger homes for bosses, smaller homes for other workers and their families, and a dormitory for single men. The Salinas Land Company provided housing similar to the Spreckels model, although not as a large company town. The superintendent’s bungalow also functioned as the ranch office, foremen had smaller bungalows, and single men lived in a dormitory (described further in the discussion of the Salinas Land Company and California Orchard Company, above).704
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Labor camps existed throughout Monterey County and records from the California Department of Industrial Relations identify their general locations from about 1920-1934. To aid future researchers in finding extant potentially significant labor camps, this section presents historical information about where Monterey County labor camps were known to be located, and the types of buildings that labor camps may contain.

A labor camp of about thirty-three homes is located at 56490 Cattlemen Road in San Lucas (South County). The Toro Labor Camp at 266 Hitchcock Road in Salinas and the Martin Work Camp at 36571 Foothill Road in Salinas are also examples of agricultural labor camps. These three labor camps are presented in Chapter V: Historic Themes, Associated Property Types, Eligibility Criteria and Integrity Thresholds under Theme 6: Community Development.

The Salinas area had at least ninety-eight labor camps for ranch, potato, dairy, lettuce, beet and berry workers, including Japanese and Filipino lettuce camps. Camp operators included names like Abirmido, American Fruit Growers, Avilla, Bergschicker, Blanco, Burns, Canete, Catalla and Bordges, Catiel, Chin, Christensen, Crown Fruit Extract Co., Daugherty, Earl Fruit Co., Eckels, Emery, Farley Fruit Co., Farm Produce Sales Co. of Hayward, Foster Wrinkler Bros., Fugimoto, George Rianda, Guidotti, Hart, Holdridge, Holme, H. P. Garin, Hudson of Monterey, J. Clemente, Joschicka, Kahn Co., Chong Hing Lee, Machado, Madson, Moreno, Morse & Co. of San Francisco, Nagasaki, Nakata, Nishi, Nissen, Ohashi Estate, Olivete, Oni, Oune, Patrick and Reichmut (or Reicmuth), Patrick Farm, Porter, Repri, Ritchie, Russell (Tracy Waldron Fruit Co.), Sales, Salinas Vegetable Farm, Sampayan, Speigl, Storm, Ward Fruit Co., Yamaguchi, Yamani, and Yonemura Berry Farm.705 In Cooper (Salinas area), at least six labor camps existed for potato and lettuce workers. Operators included Bordges, Eckels, Mills Packing House, Garwin, Lee Hung Hing, Speigl and Strobel.706

The Spreckels plant operated more than thirty labor camps in Monterey County, including two Japanese camps, two Filipino camps and three Mexican camps. Operators Banta, Kilot and Kondo operated other Spreckels-area labor camps for lettuce workers.707 Spreckels also had a labor camp in Soledad.708

Chualar had at least seventeen labor camps operated for lettuce, sugar beet and dairy farmworkers, including Japanese and Filipino employees. Labor camp operators included Spreckels, the California Vegetable Exchange, Chualar Farm Co., Arca, Patrick Farm, and individual names like Chung, Iwakiri, Okamurata, Silva, Sargentti, Oune and Cune.709

Gonzales also had at least seventeen labor camps that housed dairy and lettuce workers, including Japanese and Filipino camps. Camp operators included names like Arena, Bardino
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Bedolla, Claussen, Cochran, Filipino Farmers Inc., Fuki, Gezzi and Vosti, Healion Bros., 
Jacopetti, Martella and Moretti, Migotti Bros., Morisoli and Reanhold, Pedrazzi and Torroni, 
Porra and Selachi, and the Vezzlo Bros.  

At least thirteen labor camps operated in King City for dairy, fruit, orchard and ranch laborers. 
The camp operators included the Salinas Land Company, California Orchard Company, Folleta, 
Possi and Adams.  

Blanco had at least nine labor camps for ranch, lettuce, beet and vegetable workers. Operators 
included Anadon, Balister, Bergshicker, Breschini, Olivete, Schwein, Smith, Salinas, Spiegl, and 
Takashi.  

Soledad had at least six labor camps for hay ranch, cattle ranch, dairy, beet and pea workers. Operators included David Jacks, Romie Jacks, Garcia, Pura, and Somera.  

At least three labor camps existed in the Castroville vicinity: Lee Hing operated a labor camp for 
laborers working in potato fields; Breschini operated a similar camp; and the Molera artichoke 
rand operated a labor camp.  

At least six labor camps existed in Pajaro, including four lettuce worker camps operated by the 
Pajaro Valley Lettuce Company, J. Ojeda, R. Mapa and Sing Wo Kee. Kee also operated a 
ranching labor camp near Pajaro. Thomas Porter’s berry farm labor camp was located about four 
miles southeast of Pajaro. At least six labor camps were located south of the Pajaro River, 
likely along San Juan Road. Pajaro farmer Frank Eaton employed Japanese workers by 1907.  

Three miles east of town, Eaton operated a labor camp for berry and lettuce workers and ran 
another ranching labor camp in the area. The Porter berry farm operated a labor camp five miles 
southeast of Watsonville. Trafton’s ranch labor camp was three-and-a-half miles west of 
Watsonville. James Waters operated a labor camp for lettuce workers three miles east of 
Watsonville and a labor camp for berry workers five miles east of Watsonville.  

A former forty-six unit camp was built in the 1920s on Kent’s Court in Pajaro. Originally 
occupied by railroad workers, it later housed agricultural workers. In the 1990s, manufactured 
housing replaced the dilapidated homes and only one historic building (with significant integrity 
loss) remains at this location. Because the building is not a labor camp itself, it would not be 
eligible for listing as such, but it may be historically significant as one of the last remaining labor 
camp buildings in the North County.
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The number of California agricultural labor camps rose more than fifty percent during the Bracero Program.\textsuperscript{718} In 1957, Monterey County had 247 such camps. The United States and Mexico drafted a standard work contract for Bracero workers, which required employers to provide free “hygienic lodgings” that were “not inferior to those of the average type which are generally furnished to domestic agricultural workers” in the area, including beds or cots and blankets or mattresses, “when necessary.” Overcrowding was forbidden and sanitary facilities were required. Because most agricultural housing was already poor, the requirement that Bracero facilities not be “inferior” was a very low standard. During the first five years of the program, the United States and Mexico did not create more specific standards, beyond that the buildings be in good condition with adequate toilets, clean cooking and eating facilities. In 1956, the U.S. Department of Labor defined what “adequate” and “sanitary” meant, but employers and the California and Texas legislatures complained, forcing the Labor Department to reissue the standards in 1957. California also had its own labor camp code, which the State Division of Housing enforced; county health officers could also inspect the camps and enforce regulations.\textsuperscript{719} The quality of Bracero housing ranged from shockingly substandard to military-style barracks or slightly better. Four general types of camps existed: (1) association camps, (2) corporation or large-scale grower camps, (3) fringe or marginal camps and (4) family camps.\textsuperscript{720}

Groups of employers maintained association camps, housing as many as 1,000 or more men. Some camps had new sleeping, dining and sanitary facilities; others were remodeled domestic farm labor camps. Some had army barracks or public housing units moved to the site; concrete and steel structures became more common because they were easily maintained. These camps had a fluctuating population throughout the year because the growing seasons of as many as 200 association members overlapped. The facilities were generally in good shape because farmers paid membership fees and for the labor they used (per man-hour); most the group’s income went towards maintaining the labor pool’s central housing.\textsuperscript{721} Future research may discover if any employers built association camps in the North County.

Corporations or large-scale growers also ran big camps but the facilities were generally inferior to association camps. The for-profit corporations housed workers for only part of the year and did not maintain the facilities as well as the associations did. The Braceros lived in the same housing that the corporations had offered for years, previously occupied by Dust Bowl migrants and Filipinos. They rarely built new housing for Braceros and infrequently repainted them or repaired problems in the older housing, yet the facilities were “reasonably close to standard.”\textsuperscript{722} It is likely that Monterey County farmers offered this type of housing; future research may locate specific sites with extant buildings.

\textsuperscript{719} Anderson, \textit{The Bracero Program in California}, 61-64.
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\textsuperscript{722} Anderson, \textit{The Bracero Program in California}, 67.
The “fringe camps” were small, isolated, hidden by vegetation and built with flimsy materials, perhaps no better than chicken coops. Short-term, speculative farmers who leased land for a season were most likely to ignore housing standards and operate fringe camps. Family camps were mostly under the radar, since the California Labor Camp Act exempted employers with five or fewer Braceros. Workers generally lived in good conditions because the employer often worked with them personally. It is highly likely that both of these types of camps existed in Monterey County. Because they were either so poorly made or offered very small quarters, it may be difficult to locate many extant structures.

4. Adaptive Use

In an industry as dynamic as agriculture, adaptive use is common and maintaining a building’s historic integrity can be challenging. In Monterey County, some of the large architect-designed single-family farmstead residences are now corporate offices or worker housing. For example, the office of Reiter Berry Farms is located in the William Weeks-designed Rowe Ranch on 1767 San Juan Road in Aromas (1900). Barns find new uses and instead of storing hay from the days of extensive agriculture, they store machinery for cultivating intensive crops. In the North County, former chicken coops are now used to grow mushrooms.

Profit margins can be slim in agriculture and companies may want to invest more money in crops and land than in historic buildings. When routine maintenance is deferred, historic agricultural buildings can deteriorate quickly. The problem can be magnified on large parcels with many small, obsolete outbuildings. Structures like chicken coops and greenhouses may have been cheaply built, be difficult to reuse, and are likely to be in poor condition. Property owners are more likely to maintain large, well-constructed buildings like cold storage facilities and distribution centers, which are also easier to adaptively reuse.

Property owners and governmental entities trying to encourage preservation of historic agricultural buildings, structures and objects face many challenges. Providing education and incentives are keys to preserving these somewhat ephemeral agricultural buildings. The best solution is to keep the buildings in active use, so helping property owners brainstorm alternative purposes for their buildings can help save them.
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