

California Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit conversation
September 11, 2013

Attendees:

Oakland Chamber of Commerce: Solomon Ets-Hokin

City of Oakland: Rachel Flynn

Oakland: Niccolo De Luca, Chris Pattillo, Naomi Schiff

OHP: Carol Roland-Nawi, Tim Brandt, Mark Huck

Additional: Robert Chattel (CHS), Linda Dishman and Adrian Scott Fine (LAC)

1. Two Routes to follow

- **Administrative:** OHP to submit a legislative request through California State Parks and the California Natural Resources Agency to the Governor's Office.
- **Legislative:** This will require sponsorship, stewardship, and support to get the program bill through the legislative process.

2. Schedule

- OHP to distribute the blank Resources template to the committee for initial review.
 - Think about justifications and cost questions.
- OHP to complete the template and distribute to the committee for review and comment.
- A conference call is to be scheduled for review of the document.
 - Note: Call scheduled for Wednesday, 9/25/13 at 10:30 am.

3. Pros and Cons of Routes

- Consensus is that the administrative route is the best route to take, although we will still have to go through the chain of command
- Administration may not be interested
- Can always join efforts

4. Updates

- There has been no update from CPF on a budget or schedule.
- Although CHS could play a role in the legislative process, it would probably not be a lead.
- The Los Angeles Conservancy would be happy to be involved in a statewide coalition
- The involvement of several preservation organizations executive directors was discussed as part of the steering committee for a coalition. It was acknowledged that one organization will have to take the lead. These included:
 - Berkeley Architectural Heritage Association, California Historical Society, California Preservation Foundation, Los Angeles Conservancy, Oakland Heritage Alliance, Preservation Action Council of San Jose, San Francisco Heritage, and Save Our Heritage Organisation.
 - **OHP will produce a list with contact information and distribute.**
- Steering Committee will minimally: assign tasks and provide follow-up to ensure tasks are being completed.

5. Need for Coalition

- Absent success through the administrative route, a Coalition will be needed to take an active lead for the legislative route.
- Need to pitch to the administration that the program would be a benefit to the larger community.
- Cite: loss of redevelopment funds, economic development tool, way to achieve environmental goals, and thrift.

6. Outreach

- **Linda and Adrian to contact ULI on their level of interest**
- Reaching out to developers that are friends of the governor but not necessarily preservation oriented include:
 - Phil Tagami
 - Eddie Orton

7. Consensus

- Get administrative application going.
- Establish one entity with one person responsible for first option as discussed in previous phone consultations.

Additional Notes

- Legislative session ends September 13, 2013.
- Also refer to minutes from first meeting (7/12/13) for additional information
- This is Tim's interpretation of the meeting. Please contact him at tim.brandt@parks.ca.gov with any major revisions and/or corrections.